BEFORE THE COMMISSION FOR COMMON-INTEREST COMMUNITIES AND CONDOMINIUM HOTELS STATE OF NEVADA

Sharath Chandra (Formerly Joseph (J.D.) Case No. 2015-291 Decker), Administrator, Real Estate Department of Business Division, Industry, State of Nevada,

Petitioner.

VS.

1

Anthem Highlands Community Association, Pennie Puhek, James Lauth, and Charles Hernandez,

NOV 30 2016

NEVADA COMMISSION OF MON INTEREST COMMUNITIES AND CONDOMINIUM HOTELS

FILED

Respondents.

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR

PARTIAL SETTLEMENT OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION

This matter came before the Commission at its regularly scheduled meeting on November 17, 2016. James Lauth appeared through his attorney of record, Gregory Kerr, of Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & Rabkin, LLP. Michelle D. Briggs, Senior Deputy Attorney General with the Nevada Attorney General's Office, appeared on behalf of the Real Estate Division of the Department of Business and Industry, State of Nevada (the "Division"). Mr. Kerr and Ms. Briggs presented the terms of a settlement of the Complaint as to Mr. Lauth. The Commission voted to approve the settlement as stipulated by the parties.

JURISDICTION AND NOTICE ALLEGED IN THE COMPLAINT

- During the relevant times mentioned in this complaint, RESPONDENTS 1. PENNIE PUHEK, JAMES LAUTH, and CHARLES HERNANDEZ were directors of ANTHEM HIGHLANDS COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION (the "Association"), a homeowners' association located in Henderson, Nevada.
- 2. RESPONDENTS are subject to the provisions of Chapter 116 of each the Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") and the Nevada Administrative Code ("NAC") (hereinafter collectively referred to as "NRS 116") and are subject to the jurisdiction of the

Division, and the Commission for Common-Interest Communities pursuant to the provisions of NRS 116.750.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS ALLEGED IN THE COMPLAINT

- 3. The Association is a master association with approximately 1,549 homes.
- 4. In February 2014, the Association entered into an Informal Conference Agreement ("ICA") with a homeowner, Robert Stern, to settle legal matters and resolve approximately 10 intervention affidavits filed by Mr. Stern against the Association.
 - 5. In May 2014, the Association alleged Mr. Stern violated terms of the ICA.
- 6. In October 2014, the Association filed a civil action against Mr. Stern with the District Court regarding the ICA.
- 7. In January 2015, the Division received an intervention affidavit filed by a homeowner, Robert Stern, against the Association and its board members.
- 8. The intervention affidavit alleged Mr. Stern was the victim of retaliation based on disparaging remarks posted by RESPONDENT PENNIE PUHEK on a community blog.
- 9. The posts were made on a social networking site for neighborhoods called Nextdoor.
- 10. On or about January 16, 2015, Mr. Stern posted an announcement of a book signing for a book he wrote entitled, "HOA Wars: What Happens in Vegas Can Happen Anywhere."
 - 11. RESPONDENT PUHEK commented to Mr. Stern's posting as follows:

You are pathetic and shameless. You have terrorized the community and its last two management companies, so you can make a buck. I am asking all homeowners in Anthem Highlands to help finally get rid of this cancer and boycott anything he does or sells and make sure you vote against him and anyone else he supports in upcoming Board elections. He is trying to write another book at our associations expense. His primary residence is North Carolina, but he comes back to Las Vegas during election time to terrorize our community so he can write more books. Please mark your calendars for the next Board meeting in February to come find out what you can do to get rid of pure evil.

12. In another comment, RESPONDENT PUHEK states that Mr. Stern filed a number of complaints against the Association with the Division, and that the "state shut

him down by basically dismissing his petty complaints," even though a large portion of Mr. Stern's complaints were resolved as part of the ICA settlement.

- 13. Being aware of the long history the Association has with Robert Stern as well as the pending litigation over the ICA, the Division's Administrator met with board members RESPONDENT PUHEK and RESPONDENT HERNANDEZ to discuss this and other pending matters.
- 14. RESPONDENT PUHEK was hostile and argumentative when the Administrator expressed concerns over her posts, and had to be asked to leave.
- 15. The Administrator informed RESPONDENT HERNANDEZ that the Association and other board members would not be included in the investigation if they provided a statement to the Division that they did not condone RESPONDENT PUHEK'S actions.
- 16. Instead of disavowing RESPONDENT PUHEK'S posts, RESPONDENT HERNANDEZ, as president of the Association, informed the Division that the Association does not have the authority to censure RESPONDENT PUHEK.
- 17. During the Division's investigation of the matter, the Association provided an affidavit from RESPONDENTS HERNANDEZ and LAUTH, and another board member Ronnie Young stating that "the board had no business or authority to censure her free speech rights as a private citizen."
- 18. Board Member Ronnie Young later recanted his affidavit and provided an affidavit against RESPONDENT PUHEK'S conduct.

VIOLATIONS OF LAW

ALLEGED IN THE COMPLAINT AS TO LAUTH

19. RESPONDENTS HERNANDEZ and LAUTH knowingly and willfully violated NRS 116.3103 (through NAC 116.405(2)) by failing to act in good faith and in the best interests of the Association by acting for reasons of self-interest, gain, prejudice, or revenge by failing to disavow the actions of RESPONDENT PUHEK and placing the Association at risk for liability.

2

41

5

62.

88. 9

104. 11 12

13

145. 136.

16 177.

18 19

208.

22 23

21

2425

26

2728

DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED

Pursuant to the provisions of NRS 116.615; NRS 116.755; NRS 116.785; and NRS 116.790 the Commission has discretion to take any or all of the following actions:

- 1. Issue an order directing RESPONDENTS to cease and desist from continuing to engage in the unlawful conduct that resulted in the violation.
- 2. Issue an order directing RESPONDENTS to take affirmative action to correct any conditions resulting from the violation.
- 3. Impose an administrative fine of up to \$1,000 for each violation by RESPONDENTS.
- 4. IF RESPONDENTS ARE FOUND TO HAVE KNOWINGLY AND WILLFULLY COMMITTED A VIOLATION of NRS or NAC 116 AND it is in the best interest of the Association, such RESPONDENTS may be removed from his/her position as a director and/or officer.
 - 5. Order an audit of the ASSOCIATION, at the expense of the ASSOCIATION.
- 6. Require the BOARD MEMBERS to hire a community manager who holds a certificate.
- 7. Require RESPONDENTS to pay the costs of the proceedings incurred by the Division, including, without limitation, the cost of the investigation and reasonable attorney's fees.
- 8. Take whatever further disciplinary action as the Commission deems appropriate.

The Commission may order one or any combination of the discipline described above. If the Commission finds that the RESPONDENTS knowingly and willfully violated the provisions of NRS or NAC 116, the Commission may order that RESPONDENTS be personally liable for all fines and costs imposed.

SETTLEMENT

Ms. Briggs and Mr. Kerr presented the settlement to the Commission as follows:

1. RESPONDENT LAUTH does not admit to liability as alleged in the

1

2.

5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13 14 15

16

17 18 19

20 21

22

23

28

RESPONDENT LAUTH agrees not to serve on any board of directors for a common-interest community located in the state of Nevada for a period of 5 years from the date this Stipulation is approved by the Commission.

The following are additional terms agreed to by the parties:

- 3. The Division agrees not to pursue any other or greater remedies or fines in connection with RESPONDENT LAUTH'S alleged conduct referenced herein.
- 4. RESPONDENT LAUTH and the Division agree that by entering into this Stipulation, the Division does not concede any defense or mitigation RESPONDENT LAUTH may assert and that once this Stipulation is approved, the Division will remove RESPONDENT LAUTH as a respondent in this matter.
- This Stipulation includes any claims that could have been included in a supplemental or amended complaint arising from the same operative facts, transactions and occurrences in existence as of the effective date of this Agreement. However, this Settlement does not include claims arising from facts or circumstances which have been concealed by RESPONDENT LAUTH.
- RESPONDENT LAUTH agrees that if the terms and conditions of this 6. Stipulation are not met, the Division may, at its option, rescind this Stipulation and proceed with prosecuting the Complaint before the Commission.
- RESPONDENT LAUTH agrees and understands that by entering into this 7. Stipulation, RESPONDENT LAUTH is waiving his right to a hearing at which RESPONDENT LAUTH may present evidence in his defense, his right to a written decision on the merits of the Complaint, his rights to reconsideration and/or rehearing, appeal and/or judicial review, and all other rights which may be accorded by the Nevada Administrative Procedure Act, the Nevada Common Interest Ownership statutes and accompanying regulations, and the federal and state constitutions. RESPONDENT LAUTH understands that this Stipulation and other documentation may be subject to public records laws. The Commission members who review this matter for approval of this Stipulation may be the

same members who ultimately hear, consider and decide the Complaint if this Stipulation is not performed by RESPONDENT LAUTH.

- 8. Each party shall bear its own attorney's fees and costs.
- 9. <u>Stipulation is Not Evidence</u>. Neither this Stipulation nor any statements made concerning this Stipulation may be discussed or introduced into evidence at any hearing on the Complaint, except as it pertains to the hearing regarding the remaining Respondents, if the Division must ultimately present its case based on the Complaint filed in this matter.
- 10. Release. In consideration of execution of this Stipulation, RESPONDENT LAUTH for himself, his heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns, hereby releases, remises, and forever discharges the State of Nevada, the Department of Business and Industry and the Division, and each of their respective members, agents, employees and counsel in their individual and representative capacities, from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, judgments, executions, claims, and demands whatsoever, known and unknown, in law or equity, that the RESPONDENT LAUTH ever had, now has, may have, or claim to have, against any or all of the persons or entities named in this section, arising out of or by reason of the Division's investigation, this disciplinary action, and all other matters relating thereto.
- 11. Indemnification. RESPONDENT LAUTH hereby indemnifies and holds harmless the State of Nevada, the Department of Business and Industry, the Division, and each of their respective members, agents, employees and counsel in their individual and representative capacities against any and all claims, suits, and actions brought against said persons and/or entities related to the Release set forth in Section 10 hereof, including against any and all expenses, damages, and costs, including court costs and attorney fees, which may be sustained by the persons and/or entities named in this section as a result of said claims, suits, and actions.
- 12. Nothing contained in this Stipulation shall hinder the Division's pursuit of the Complaint as to the Respondents, excluding LAUTH. The Division specifically reserves the

1	right to pursue the Complaint as to the Respondents, excluding LAUTH.	
2	Submitted by:	
3	ADAM PAUL LAXALT	
4	Attorney General	
5	8y: 7	By:
6	Michelle D. Briggs Senior Deputy Attorney General	Gregory Kerr Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro,
7	555 E. Washington Ave. Ste 3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	Schulman & Rabkin, LLP 3556 E. Russell Road, 2nd Floor
8	(702) 486-3420 Attorneys for Real Estate Division	Las Vegas, Nevada 89120
9		
10	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Stipulation is approved in full.	
11	Dated this day of November, 2016.	
12		Commission for Common-Interest Communities
13	and Condominium Hotels Department of Business & Industry State of Nevada	
14		
15		By:
16		James Rizzi, Vice-Chairman
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	g	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	25	
28		79

	2	
1	right to pursue the Complaint as to the Respondents, excluding LAUTH	
2	Submitted by:	
3	ADAM PAUL LAXALT	
4	Attorney General	
5	By: By: Gregory Kerr	
6	Senior Deputy Attorney General Wolf, Rifkin, Shapira 555 E. Washington Ave. Ste 3900 Schulman & Rabkin, 1717	
7	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 3556 E. Russell Road, 2nd Floor (702) 486-3420 Las Vegas, Nevada 89120	
8	Attorneys for Real Estate Division Attorney for James Lauth	
9		
10	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Stipulation is approved in full.	
11	Dated this <u>30</u> day of November, 2016.	
12	Commission for Common-Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels Department of Business	
13	& Industry State of Nevada	
14	V Qc	
15	By: James Rizzi, Vice-Chairman	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		