21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BEFORE THE COMMISSION FOR COMMON-INTEREST COMMUNITIES AND CONDOMINIUM HOTELS STATE OF NEVADA

Sharath Chandra, Administrator, Real Estate Division, Department of Business & Industry, State of Nevada,

Petitioner.

vs.

Yvonne Marie Jones.

Respondent.

Case Nos.

2016-4196; 2015-3804; 2015-3806; 2015-3807; 2015-3809; 2015-3811; 2015-3813

FILED

MAY 16 2017

NEVADA COMMISSION OF COMMON INTEREST COMMUNITIES AND CONDOMINIUM HOTELS

COMPLAINT FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND NOTICE OF HEARING

The Real Estate Division of the Department of Business and Industry, State of Nevada (the "Division"), by and through its counsel, Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Michelle D. Briggs, Senior Deputy Attorney General, hereby notifies Respondent YVONNE MARIE JONES (the "RESPONDENT" or "JONES") of an administrative hearing before the Commission for Common-Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels, State of Nevada, which is to be held pursuant to Chapters 233B and Chapters 116 and 116A of the Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") and Chapters 116 and 116A of the Nevada Administrative Code ("NAC"). The purpose of the hearing is to consider the allegations stated below and to determine if an administrative penalty will be imposed on JONES pursuant to the provisions of NRS and NAC including, but not limited to, NAC 116A.360.

JURISDICTION AND NOTICE

 JONES engages in the management of common-interest communities as defined by NRS 116A.110 in the state of Nevada under certificate number (CAM.0001220-SUPR).

20

15

16

17

21

23

22

25

24

26

27 28

11.

2. JONES is subject to the provisions of Chapters 116 and 116A of both the Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") and the Nevada Administrative Code ("NAC") and is subject to the jurisdiction of the Division, and the Commission for Common-Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 3. On September 22, 2015, this Commission accepted a settlement with Leslie White whereby Ms. White voluntarily surrendered her community manager certificate.
- 4. The settlement involved multiple investigations of the Division some of which had resulted in complaints before this Commission and some had not yet been filed.
- 5. The settlement detailed investigations of the Division involving approximately 21 associations which, among other things, alleged that Ms. White provided forged contracts and checks to the Division; managed associations without board members for several years; managed associations without a management contract for several years; provided false information to the Division over the course of several years regarding the associations; took multiple payments over the course of several years by electronic transfer; and was the sole signor on multiple association checks.
- 6. The settlement required payment of an administrative fine and costs in the amount of \$41,000.
- 7. Ms. White had been providing community management services under her company NCF Corporation, doing business as, Associated Community Management.
- 8. After surrendering her certificate, Ms. White hired two community managers to work for her under her new name, Path Community Management.
- 9. The Division requested contracts and other documents from the new community managers to determine compliance with NRS 116.
 - 10. JONES was hired by Ms. White in September of 2015 along with Jodi Mehr.
- JONES and Ms. Mehr were the only community managers working for Ms. White's company.

- 12. By letter dated October 9, 2015, the Division notified JONES of the settlement between the Division and Ms. White that was approved by the Commission.
- 13. Based on the issues the Division had with the associations managed by Ms. White, the Division requested the following documents for each association:
 - 1. The management contract;
 - 2. Names, addresses and phone numbers for each board member;
 - 3. Copies of meeting minutes/elections that evidence the election of each board member; and
 - 4. Copies of bank signature cards.
- 14. By email dated October 23, 2015, JONES provided management contracts for the associations she managed.
- 15. The management contracts permitted management fees to be taken by electronic transfers.
- 16. By letter dated January 8, 2016, the Division requested from JONES bank statements and financial statements.
- 17. By letter dated February 2, 2016, JONES and Ms. Mehr complained about the investigation to the ombudsman saying "we feel like we are being singled out and attacked simply because of where we are employed."
- 18. JONES and Ms. Mehr state in the letter that they "are also aware that [they] are the licensed community managers that are responsible for ensuring that the communities and boards are in compliance with the law."
 - 19. The Division continued requesting bank records and financial statements.
- 20. Upon review of the financial records provided by JONES, the Division found that payments to the management company were much higher than what was stated in the income and expense statements and were in excess of the contracted fee.
- 21. Income and expense statements provided to board members did not accurately reflect the association money paid to the management company.
 - 22. In May 2016, Jones was notified that amounts paid to the management

company were much higher than the amounts noted in the income and expense statements.

- 23. JONES terminated her employment with Ms. White on May 20, 2016 and met with the Division's investigator on June 17.
- 24. JONES reported to the Division that she was unaware of the payments to the management company and that she never saw any invoices from the management company.
- 25. JONES said some board members complained about not seeing management company invoices.
- 26. JONES stated that Ms. White controlled all the financial records of the associations, prepared the board packets, and used board members' signatures on checks without approval.
- 27. From October 2015 through May 20, 2016, the associations managed by JONES overpaid the management company approximately \$267,000 as follows:

1. Bella Lago Homeowners Association	\$24,000.00
2. Benton Homeowners Association	\$6,763.53
3. Carmel Ridge Association	\$12,754.19
4. Centennial and Lamb Association	\$22,017.96
5. Chatham Hills Association	\$22,500.00
6. Cherry Lane Association	\$18,274.85
7. Fiore Homeowners Association	\$14,513.48
8. La Siena Association	\$18,215.58
9. Manchester at Westlake Association	\$36,500.00
10. Newbury Homeowners Association	\$20,886.00
11. Sheffield Homeowners Association	\$1,800.00
12. Somerset Landscape Maintenance Association	\$13,853.17
13. Sterling Court Homeowners Association	\$4,579.13
14. Sunrise Valley Estates Association	\$2,200.00

15. Terraza Homeowners Association\$23,750.0016. Trailwood Homeowners Association\$6,136.78

17. Whisper Rock Homeowners Association

VIOLATIONS OF LAW

\$18,361.21

- 28. JONES violated NRS 116A.630(1)(a) more than 17 times by failing to act as a fiduciary in her relationship with the associations she managed by allowing Ms. White to control the financial records of the associations.
- 29. JONES violated NRS 116A.630(1)(b) more than 17 times by failing to exercise ordinary and reasonable care in the performance of her duties by allowing Ms. White to control the financial records of the associations.
- 30. JONES violated NRS 116A.630(6)(a) more than 136 times by failing to ensure that the financial transactions of a client are current, accurate and properly documented.
- 31. JONES violated NRS 116A.630(6)(b) 17 times by failing to establish policies and procedures that are designed to provide reasonable assurances in the reliability of the financial reporting.
- 32. JONES violated NRS 116A.630(15) 17 times by failing to maintain internal accounting controls, including, without limitation, segregation of incompatible accounting functions.
- 33. JONES violated NAC 116A.355(1)(a)(1) (NAC 116A.355(4)(g)) more than 136 times by committing unprofessional conduct by failing to act in the best interests of the associations by failing to disclose to the associations that Leslie White controlled the financial records of the associations.
- 34. JONES violated NAC 116A.355(1)(a)(2) (NAC 116A.355(3)(a)) more than 136 times by committing professional incompetence by demonstrating a significant lack of ability, knowledge or fitness to perform a duty or obligation owed to a client.
- 35. JONES violated NAC 116A.355(1)(a)(2) (NAC 116A.355(3)(b)) more than 136 times by committing professional incompetence by failing to exercise reasonable skill and

 care with respect to a duty or obligation owed to a client.

DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED

Pursuant to the provisions of NAC 116A.360 the Commission has discretion to impose discipline as it deems appropriate, including, but not limited to one or more of the following actions:

- 1. Revoke or suspend the certificate;
- 2. Refuse to renew or reinstate the certificate;
- 3. Place the community manager on probation;
- 4. Issue a reprimand or censure to the community manager;
- 5. Impose a fine of not more than \$5,000 for each violation of a statute or regulation;
 - 6. Require the community manager to pay restitution;
- 7. Require the community manager to pay the costs of the investigation and hearing;
- 8. Require the community manager to obtain additional education relating to the management of common-interest communities; and
 - 9. Take such other disciplinary action as the Commission deems appropriate.

The Commission may order one or any combination of the discipline described above.

NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that a disciplinary hearing has been set to consider this Administrative Complaint against the above-named RESPONDENT in accordance with Chapters 233B and 116 and 116A of the Nevada Revised Statutes and Chapters 116 and 116A of the Nevada Administrative Code.

THE HEARING WILL TAKE PLACE at the Commission meeting scheduled for June 27-29, 2017, beginning at approximately 9:00 a.m. each day, or until such time as the Commission concludes its business. The Commission meeting will be held at the Nevada State Business Center, 3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Nevada Room, Suite 400, Las

Vegas, Nevada 89102 with videoconferencing to Department of Business & Industry, Director's Office, 1830 East College Parkway, Suite 100, Carson City, Nevada 89706.

STACKED CALENDAR: Your hearing is one of several hearings that may be scheduled at the same time as part of a regular meeting of the Commission that is expected to take place on June 27-29, 2017. Thus, your hearing may be continued until later in the day or from day to day. It is your responsibility to be present when your case is called. If you are not present when your hearing is called, a default may be entered against you and the Commission may decide the case as if all allegations in the complaint were true. If you need to negotiate a more specific time for your hearing in advance because of coordination with out of state witnesses or the like, please call Claudia Rosolen, Commission Coordinator, at (702) 486-4606.

YOUR RIGHTS AT THE HEARING: Except as mentioned below, the hearing is an open meeting under Nevada's open meeting law, and may be attended by the public. After the evidence and arguments, the commission may conduct a closed meeting to discuss your alleged misconduct or professional competence. A verbatim record will be made by a certified court reporter. You are entitled to a copy of the transcript of the open and closed portions of the meeting, although you must pay for the transcription.

As a RESPONDENT, you are specifically informed that you have the right to appear and be heard in your defense, either personally or through your counsel of choice. At the hearing, the Division has the burden of proving the allegations in the complaint and will call witnesses and present evidence against you. You have the right to respond and to present relevant evidence and argument on all issues involved. You have the right to call and examine witnesses, introduce exhibits, and cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter relevant to the issues involved.

You have the right to request that the Commission issue subpoenas to compel witnesses to testify and/or evidence to be offered on your behalf. In making this request, you may be required to demonstrate the relevance of the witness' testimony and/or evidence. Other important rights and obligations, including your obligation to answer the

complaint, you have are listed in NRS Chapters 116 and 116A and NAC 116 and 116A, including without limitation, NRS 116.770 through 116.780, and NAC 116.500 through NAC 116.635 and NRS Chapter 233B.

Note that under NAC 116.575, not less than five (5) working days before a hearing, RESPONDENT must provide to the Division a copy of all reasonably available documents that are reasonably anticipated to be used to support his or her position, and a list of witnesses RESPONDENT intends to call at the time of the hearing. Failure to provide any document or to list a witness may result in the document or witness being excluded from a RESPONDENT'S defense. The purpose of the hearing is to determine if the RESPONDENT has violated the provisions of Chapter 116A, and to determine what administrative penalty is to be assessed against RESPONDENT.

DATED this _____ day of May, 2017.

REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND USTRY, STATE OF NEVADA

By:
Sharath Chandra, Administrator
3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Ste 350
Las Vegas, NV 89102
(702) 486-4033

ADAM PAUL LAXALT, Attorney General

By: Michelle D. Briggs, Esq.

Senior Deputy Attorney General

555 East Washington Avenue, Ste 3900

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

(702) 486-3420

Attorneys for Nevada Real Estate Division