2

3

4

5 || ,

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

# BEFORE THE COMMISSION FOR COMMON-INTEREST COMMUNITIES AND CONDOMINIUM HOTELS STATE OF NEVADA

Sharath Chandra, Administrator, Real Estate Division, Department of Business & Industry, State of Nevada,

Petitioner,

vs.

Margaret Thayer,

Respondent.

Case No. 2016-628



# COMPLAINT FOR DISCIPLINARY

# ACTION AND NOTICE OF HEARING

The Real Estate Division of the Department of Business and Industry, State of Nevada (the "Division"), by and through its counsel, Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Michelle D. Briggs, Senior Deputy Attorney General, hereby notifies Respondent MARGARET THAYER (the "RESPONDENT" or "THAYER") of an administrative hearing before the Commission for Common-Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels, State of Nevada, which is to be held pursuant to Chapters 233B and Chapters 116 and 116A of the Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") and Chapters 116 and 116A of the Nevada Administrative Code ("NAC"). The purpose of the hearing is to consider the allegations stated below and to determine if an administrative penalty will be imposed on RESPONDENT pursuant to the provisions of NRS 116A.900.

#### JURISDICTION AND NOTICE

- 1. During the relevant times mentioned in this complaint, Respondent MARGARET THAYER engaged in the management of a common-interest community as defined by NRS 116A.110 in the state of Nevada.
- 2. THAYER is subject to the provisions of Chapters 116 and 116A of both the Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") and the Nevada Administrative Code ("NAC") and is

subject to the jurisdiction of the Division, and the Commission for Common Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels.

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 3. On or about December 2, 2015, a homeowner within Los Prados Community Association (the "Association") filed a complaint against the Association, its board members and RESPONDENT MARGARET THAYER.
- 4. The Association is a master planned community with approximately 1,120 units, and an annual budget of over \$4.8 million.
- 5. THAYER provides services to the Association under an employment agreement dated October 1, 2011.
- 6. The complainant alleged in his complaint that THAYER made misrepresentations to the board when she was hired as the Association's "Chief Operating Officer" and "General Manager"
- 7. THAYER has never had a certificate from the Division to perform community management.
  - 8. THAYER'S employment contract states:

WHEREAS, the COO is not a licensed community association manager within the State of Nevada and the Association shall at all times during the Term of this Agreement (as hereinafter defined) retain the services of a licensed community manager in compliance with NRS Chapter 116 to advise the Board and COO with respect to issues of compliance with statutes, Nevada regulations, CC&R's, Articles of Incorporation, Association Rules and Regulations, and Bylaws.

- 9. In 2011, the Association employed the services of Gerry Northfield, a certificated community manager.
  - 10. Annual filings with the Division state that the Association is self-managed.
- 11. Mr. Northfield stopped working for the Association on April 30, 2012 and no other community manager was hired at that time.
- 12. According to THAYER'S contract, she performs "management of the Association's financial affairs in accordance with the provisions of policies adopted by the

- v. Negotiate and execute contracts for grounds area maintenance;
- w. Evaluate subcontractor's bids and made recommendations to the board;
- x. Oversee activities of subcontractors; and
- y. Negotiations of warranty claims, insurance claim investigation, and accounting activities associated with any special assessments or special billings to an individual unit.
- 14. THAYER'S contract requires that her activities be supervised by a community manager.
- 15. After Mr. Northfield ended his agreement with the Association, THAYER performed her duties without any supervision from a community manager.
- 16. THAYER signed over 95 Association checks from December 2012 through the beginning of 2015.
- 17. By letter dated March 9, 2016, the Division issued a Cease and Desist to THAYER directing her to stop performing community management services without a certificate.
- 18. By email dated March 18, 2016, the Association's counsel informed the Division that a community manager was retained on a temporary basis.
- 19. In response to the investigation, THAYER stated that the Association is self-managed and does not need a community manager.
- 20. Through counsel, THAYER responded to the Division saying she never served on the board or as an officer, but is an employee of the Association.
- 21. The community manager hired by the Association serves as a consultant "on an as-needed basis," and the Association remains self-managed.
- 22. THAYER continues to perform community management duties under the terms of her employment contract without the supervision of a community manager.
  - 23. According to her contract, THAYER'S annual salary is \$65,000 per year.

#### VIOLATIONS OF LAW

24. RESPONDENT violated NRS 116A.400(1) by engaging in the management of a common-interest community without a community manager certificate from the

Division.

## DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED

Pursuant to the provisions of NRS 116A.900 the Commission has discretion to impose discipline as it deems appropriate, including, but not limited to one or more of the following actions:

- 1. Impose a fine not to exceed the amount of any gain or economic benefit RESPONDENT derived from the violation or \$10,000, whichever is greater.
- 2. Require the RESPONDENT to pay the costs of the investigation and hearing; and
  - 3. Take such other disciplinary action as the Commission deems appropriate.

The Commission may order one or any combination of the discipline described above.

#### NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that a disciplinary hearing has been set to consider this Administrative Complaint against the above-named RESPONDENTS in accordance with Chapters 233B and 116 and 116A of the Nevada Revised Statutes and Chapters 116 and 116A of the Nevada Administrative Code.

THE HEARING WILL TAKE PLACE at the Commission meeting scheduled for June 27-29, 2017, beginning at approximately 9:00 a.m. each day, or until such time as the Commission concludes its business. The Commission meeting will be held at the Nevada State Business Center, 3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Nevada Room, Suite 400, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 with videoconferencing to Department of Business & Industry, Director's Office, 1830 East College Parkway, Suite 100, Carson City, Nevada 89706.

STACKED CALENDAR: Your hearing is one of several hearings that may be scheduled at the same time as part of a regular meeting of the Commission that is expected to take place on June 27-29, 2017. Thus, your hearing may be continued until later in the day or from day to day. It is your responsibility to be present when your case

is called. If you are not present when your hearing is called, a default may be entered against you and the Commission may decide the case as if all allegations in the complaint were true. If you need to negotiate a more specific time for your hearing in advance because of coordination with out of state witnesses or the like, please call Claudia Rosolen, Commission Coordinator, at (702) 486-4606.

YOUR RIGHTS AT THE HEARING: Except as mentioned below, the hearing is an open meeting under Nevada's open meeting law, and may be attended by the public. After the evidence and arguments, the commission may conduct a closed meeting to discuss your alleged misconduct or professional competence. A verbatim record will be made by a certified court reporter. You are entitled to a copy of the transcript of the open and closed portions of the meeting, although you must pay for the transcription.

As a RESPONDENT, you are specifically informed that you have the right to appear and be heard in your defense, either personally or through your counsel of choice. At the hearing, the Division has the burden of proving the allegations in the complaint and will call witnesses and present evidence against you. You have the right to respond and to present relevant evidence and argument on all issues involved. You have the right to call and examine witnesses, introduce exhibits, and cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter relevant to the issues involved.

You have the right to request that the Commission issue subpoenas to compel witnesses to testify and/or evidence to be offered on your behalf. In making this request, you may be required to demonstrate the relevance of the witness' testimony and/or evidence. Other important rights and obligations, including your obligation to answer the complaint, you have are listed in NRS Chapters 116 and 116A and NAC 116 and 116A, including without limitation, NRS 116.770 through 116.780, and NAC 116.500 through NAC 116.635 and NRS Chapter 233B.

Note that under NAC 116.575, not less than five (5) working days before a hearing, RESPONDENT must provide to the Division a copy of all reasonably available documents

that are reasonably anticipated to be used to support his or her position, and a list of witnesses RESPONDENT intends to call at the time of the hearing. Failure to provide any document or to list a witness may result in the document or witness being excluded from a RESPONDENT'S defense. The purpose of the hearing is to determine if the RESPONDENT has violated the provisions of Chapter 116A, and to determine what administrative penalty is to be assessed against RESPONDENT.

DATED this 19th day of May, 2017.

REAL ESTATE DIVISION
DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS &
INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA

By:\_

Sharath Chandra, Administrator 3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Ste 350 Las Vegas, NV 89102 (702) 486-4033

ADAM PAUL LAXALT, Attorney General

By:

Michelle D. Briggs, Esq. Senior Deputy Attorney General

555 East Washington Avenue, Suite 3900

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

(702) 486-3809

Attorneys for Real Estate Division