26

27

28

BEFORE THE COMMISSION FOR COMMON-INTEREST COMMUNITIES AND CONDOMINIUM HOTELS STATE OF NEVADA

Sharath Chandra, Administrator, Real Estate Division, Department of Business & Industry, State of Nevada,

Petitioner,

vs.

Kristen Gillis,

Respondent.

Case No. 2017-2088

FILED

JUL 06 2018

NEVADA COMMISSION OF COMMON INTEREST COMMUNITIES AND CONDOMINIUM HOTELS

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

This matter came on for hearing before the Commission for Common-Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels, Department of Business and Industry, State of Nevada (the "Commission"), during a regular agenda on June 19, 2018, at the Nevada State Business Center, 3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Nevada Room, Suite 400, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 with videoconferencing to Department of Business & Industry, Director's Office, 1830 East College Parkway, Suite 100, Carson City, Nevada 89706 (the "Hearing"). The RESPONDENT, KRISTEN GILLIS, did not appear or submit an answer to the Complaint. Michelle D. Briggs, Esq., Senior Deputy Attorney General with the Nevada Attorney General's Office, appeared on behalf of the Real Estate Division of the Department of Business and Industry, State of Nevada (the "Division"). Commissioner Williams recused himself from the Hearing based on his personal relationship with GILLIS and her family.

Ms. Briggs informed the Commission that she sent GILLIS a notice of default. The Commission accepted testimony from Case No. 2017-1743 regarding the last known address for GILLIS as provided to the Division. The Commission Coordinator confirmed that the complaint and notices for this matter were sent to the same address. Due to GILLIS'S failure to appear for the Hearing or answer the complaint, the Division requested a finding of default against GILLIS. The Commission voted unanimously (with

one recusal) to find GILLIS in default. The Commission enters the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order. Under Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapters 116 and 116A, the Commission has legal jurisdiction and authority over this matter.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the Commission's finding of a default against GILLIS for failing to appear and failing to answer the complaint, the Commission accepted as true all of the following factual allegations by unanimous vote (with one recusal):

- 1. In June 2017, RESPONDENT KRISTEN GILLIS appeared before this Commission as the manager of Pecos Estates Homeowners Association (the "Association").
- 2. A complaint against the Association alleged underfunded reserves and a failure to respond to the investigation.
- GILLIS was sworn in at the hearing and represented to the Commission that she did not have an opportunity to speak with the board as she was just hired the night before.
- 4. The Association entered into a contract with GILLIS on or about June 21, 2017.
- 5. GILLIS signed and submitted a change of community manager filing addendum to the Division on April 1, 2017, but had not been hired by the board at that time.
- 6. The Association's prior manager, Jeffrey Frederick, had his community manager certificate revoked in March 2017.
- Mr. Frederick and his wife, Penny, started working for GILLIS at her office shortly after his revocation.
- 8. GILLIS informed the Commission that Mr. and Ms. Frederick were no longer in her office and were only there to help with the transition.
 - 9. After the hearing, the Division opened an investigation against GILLIS.

10. GILLIS did not respond to the Division's investigation.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based on the foregoing factual findings, the Commission concludes by unanimous vote (with one recusal) that the following violations of law occurred:

- 11. GILLIS violated NRS 116A.630(1)(a) and NAC 116A.320 by failing to act as a fiduciary in her relationship with the Association.
- 12. GILLIS violated NRS 116A.630(1)(b) and NAC 116A.320 by failing to exercise ordinary and reasonable care in the performance of her duties.
- 13. GILLIS violated NRS 116A.630(2)(a) and NAC 116A.320 by failing to comply with state laws.
- 14. GILLIS violated NRS 116A.630(10) and NAC 116A.320 by failing to cooperate with the Division in resolving complaints filed with the Division.
- 15. GILLIS violated NRS 116A.640(2)(a) and NAC 116A.345(2)(a) by impeding or otherwise interfering with an investigation of the Division by failing to comply with a request of the Division to provide documents.
- 16. GILLIS violated NRS 116A.640(2)(c) and NAC 116A.345(2)(c) by impeding or otherwise interfering with an investigation of the Division by concealing facts or documents relating to the business of a client.
- 17. GILLIS violated NRS 116A.620 and NAC 116A.325 by performing community management services for the Association without a signed management contract approved by the Association.
- 18. GILLIS violated NAC 116A.355(1)(a)(1) (through NAC 116A.355(2)(b)) by committing unprofessional conduct by failing to disclose to the Association's board that she was responsible for managing the Association.
- 19. GILLIS violated NAC 116A.355(1)(a)(1) (through NAC 116A.355(2)(f)) by committing unprofessional conduct by failing to cooperate with the Division in the investigation of a complaint including, without limitation, failure to produce any document, book or record in the possession or control of the community manager after the

 III

III

Division requests the production of such document, book or record in the course of an investigation of a complaint.

- 20. GILLIS violated NAC 116A.355(1)(a)(1) (through NAC 116A.355(2)(i)) by committing unprofessional conduct by exceeding the authority granted to her by the Association.
- 21. GILLIS violated NAC 116A.355(1)(a)(2) (through NAC 116A.355(3)(a)) by committing professional incompetence by demonstrating a significant lack of ability, knowledge or fitness to perform a duty or obligation owed to a client.
- 22. GILLIS violated NAC 116A.355(1)(a)(2) (through NAC 116A.355(3)(b)) by committing professional incompetence by failing to exercise reasonable skill and care with respect to a duty or obligation owed to a client.
- 23. GILLIS violated NAC 116A.355(1)(a)(1) and (2) (through NAC 116A.355(4)(g)) by committing unprofessional conduct or professional incompetence by failing to act in the best interests of the Association.

ORDER

The Commission being fully apprised in the premises, and good cause appearing to the Commission, by a unanimous vote, ORDERS as follows:

- 1. GILLIS'S community manager certificate is revoked for a period of no less than ten years from the date of this Order, but in no event sooner than all fines imposed by the Commission are paid in full.
- 2. GILLIS shall pay an administrative fine to the Division in the total amount of \$15,647.77 which includes a fine of \$13,000 for the violations of law and \$2,647.77 representing the total amount due for the Division's attorney's fees and costs no later than 60 days from the date of this Order.

- 1	
1	3. The Division may institute debt collection proceedings for failure to timely
2	pay the total fine, including action to reduce this Order to a judgment. Further, is
3	collection goes through the State of Nevada, then GILLIS shall also pay the costs
4	associated with collection.
5	Dated: June 3, 2018.
6	Commission for Common-Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels, Department of Business
7	& Industry, State of Nevada
8	
9	Michael Burke, Chairman
10	Submitted by:
11	ADAM PAUL LAXALT
12	Attorney General
13<	By:
14	Michelle D. Briggs, Esq. Senior Deputy Attorney General
15	555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 486-3420
16	Attorneys for Nevada Real Estate Division
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	