24

25

26

27

28

BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION

STATE OF NEVADA

SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator, REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA,

Petitioner,

VS.

MANISH (TOM) PATEL,

Respondent.

Case No. 2015-566



FFR 2 2 2019

Suly Tatte

COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF HEARING

The REAL ESTATE DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY OF THE STATE OF NEVADA ("Division") hereby notifies RESPONDENT MANISH (TOM) PATEL ("RESPONDENT") of an administrative hearing before the STATE OF NEVADA REAL ESTATE COMMISSION ("Commission"). The hearing will be held pursuant to Chapters 233B and Chapter 645 of the Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") and Chapter 645 of the Nevada Administrative Code ("NAC"). The purpose of the hearing is to consider the allegations stated below and to determine if the RESPONDENT should be subject to an administrative penalty as set forth in NRS 645.235 and/or NRS 622.400, and the discipline to be imposed, if violations of law are proven.

JURISDICTION

RESPONDENT acted as a broker as defined in NRS 645.030 at all relevant times mentioned in this Complaint, and is therefore subject to the jurisdiction of the Division and the Commission, and the provisions of NRS chapter 645 and NAC chapter 645.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. RESPONDENT MANISH (TOM) PATEL owns and operates Mehul Realty LLC, a Nevada limited liability company (currently revoked) and 1111 Investment Holdings LLC, a Nevada limited liability company.
 - 2. RESPONDENT does not hold a license from the Division.
 - 3. In March 2015, the Division received a complaint against RESPONDENT and his

entities alleging he was paid \$32,000 through 1111 Investment Holdings LLC for procuring the sale of residential property located at 5120 Jamaica Coast Ct, North Las Vegas (the "Property").

- 4. The complainant/buyer knew RESPONDENT was involved in real estate transactions before he was approached about the Property.
- 5. The buyer believed the Property was being sold at a discount because the seller wanted to reduce his inventory.
- 6. RESPONDENT said the purchase price was \$58,000, with \$26,000 to the seller and \$32,000 directly to 1111 Investment Holding, LLC as a finder's fee.
- 7. RESPONDENT did not disclose his ownership interest in 1111 Investment Holding, LLC.
- 8. The buyer believed RESPONDENT was knowledgeable about real estate transactions and trusted him.
- 9. The Property had been purchased by the seller at an association foreclosure sale on or about January 20, 2015 for \$4,001.00.
 - 10. On January 29, 2015 the following events transpired:
 - a. RESPONDENT was present when the seller gave the purchase agreement to the buyer for signature. The purchase agreement states the purchase price is \$26,000.
 - b. RESPONDENT then traveled with the buyer to the recorder's office where RESPONDENT gave the buyer a document labeled assignment of contract to sign.
 - c. Once the buyer signed the assignment, RESPONDENT gave him the deed executed by the seller, and the buyer filed the deed for recording.
 - d. Buyer met seller outside the recorder's office and paid seller with a cashier's check for \$26,000.
 - e. RESPONDENT and buyer then went to Wells Fargo where buyer paid \$32,000 to the account of 1111 Investment Holding, LLC.
- 11. The assignment of contract references a contract between the buyer and "1111 Investment" concerning the Property, but no such contract existed.
 - 12. RESPONDENT is the manager of 1111 Investment Holdings LLC, but had his business

- 13. A disclaimer and disclosure was attached to the purchase agreement that informed the buyer that the prior owner's lender paid the super priority lien prior to the association's foreclosure sale.
 - 14. The buyer initialed the page, but did not understand the legal significance.
 - 15. The Property was sold at a public auction by the lender on March 24, 2015.
- 16. RESPONDENT claims the \$32,000 was "a finder's fee for nothing more than putting him, the Buyer, in touch with Operture, the Seller."

VIOLATIONS OF LAW

17. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.230(a) as he acted as a real estate broker as defined by NRS 645.030 without an appropriate license when he solicited the buyer for the Property and received compensation through his company 1111 Investment Holding, LLC.

DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED

Pursuant to NRS 645.235, the Commission is empowered to impose an administrative fine up to the amount of any gain or economic benefit that RESPONDENT derived or \$5,000 per violation, whichever is greater.

Additionally, under NRS Chapter 622, the Commission is authorized to impose costs of the proceeding upon RESPONDENT, including investigative costs and attorney's fees, if the Commission otherwise imposes discipline on RESPONDENT.

Therefore, the Division requests that the Commission take such disciplinary action as it deems appropriate under the circumstances.

NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that a disciplinary hearing has been set to consider the Administrative Complaint against the above-named Respondent in accordance with Chapters 233B and 645 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and Chapter 645 of the Nevada Administrative Code.

THE HEARING WILL TAKE PLACE on March 26, 2019, commencing at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the Commission is able to hear the matter, and each day thereafter commencing at 9:00 a.m. through March 28, 2019, or earlier if the business of the Commission is

concluded. The Commission meeting will be held on March 26, 2019, at the Nevada State Business Center, 3300 West Sahara Avenue, 4th Floor – Nevada Room, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102. The meeting will continue on March 27, 2019 at the Nevada State Business Center, 3300 West Sahara Avenue, 4th Floor – Nevada Room, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102, commencing at 9:00 a.m., and on March 28, 2019, should business not be concluded, starting at 9:00 a.m. at the Nevada Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation, 2800 W. St. Louis Avenue, Conference Room, Las Vegas, Nevada 89104.

STACKED CALENDAR: Your hearing is one of several hearings scheduled at the same time as part of a regular meeting of the Commission that is expected to last from March 26, 2019 through March 28, 2019, or earlier if the business of the Commission is concluded. Thus, your hearing may be continued until later in the day or from day to day. It is your responsibility to be present when your case is called. If you are not present when your hearing is called, a default may be entered against you and the Commission may decide the case as if all allegations in the complaint were true. If you have any questions please call Evelyn Pattee, Commission Coordinator (702) 486-4074.

YOUR RIGHTS AT THE HEARING: except as mentioned below, the hearing is an open meeting under Nevada's open meeting law, and may be attended by the public. After the evidence and arguments, the commission may conduct a closed meeting to discuss your alleged misconduct or professional competence. A verbatim record will be made by a certified court reporter. You are entitled to a copy of the transcript of the open and closed portions of the meeting, although you must pay for the transcription.

As the Respondent, you are specifically informed that you have the right to appear and be heard in your defense, either personally or through your counsel of choice. At the hearing, the Division has the burden of proving the allegations in the complaint and will call witnesses and present evidence against you. You have the right to respond and to present relevant evidence and argument on all issues involved. You have the right to call and examine witnesses, introduce exhibits, and cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter relevant to the issues involved.

You have the right to request that the Commission issue subpoenas to compel witnesses to testify and/or evidence to be offered on your behalf. In making the request, you may be required to demonstrate the relevance of the witness' testimony and/or evidence. Other important rights you have are listed in NRS 645.680 through 645.990, NRS Chapter 233B, and NAC 645.810 through 645.875.

The purpose of the hearing is to determine if the Respondent has violated NRS 645 and/or NAC 645 and if the allegations contained herein are substantially proven by the evidence presented and to further determine what administrative penalty is to be assessed against the RESPONDENT, if any, pursuant to NRS 645.235, 645.633 and or 645.630.

DATED this 21 day of February, 2019.

STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY
REAL ESTATE DIVISION

By:
SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator
SHARON JACKSON, Deputy Administrator
3300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 350
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

AARON D. FORD Attorney General

MICHELLE D. BRIGGS (Bar No. 7617)

Senior Deputy Attorney General 555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

(702) 486-3240

Attorneys for Real Estate Division