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E. REVIEW
Here we examine the nature of real estate agency as identified in Nevada statute, regulation, and as amplified by case law. We review Nevada's laws on real estate licensee agency and its parameters - what it is and isn't, its raison d'être. We look at how real estate agency is created, the legally recognized types of agency in Nevada, the legal sources of the licensee's agency duties, the minimum legal parameters of agency, what activities aren't included in real estate agency and what terminates an agency relationship.

A. REPRESENTING THE CLIENT

1. GENERAL AGENCY LAW

Under general agency law, agency occurs when one person (the agent), with the consent of another person (the principal), undertakes to represent and act on the principal's account with third-persons and usually in business matters. It is voluntary, consensual and as a rule - when dealing with real property - is founded upon an express or implied contract.¹

Nevada recognizes two types of agency concerning real property: first, there is general agency in which the agent is authorized under a general power-of-attorney to perform all duties for the principal that the principal could perform to convey real property (general agency requires a written power-of-attorney with its special recording requirements);² second, there is special agency in which the agent is given limited authority to act for the client within certain restrictions and for specific transactions.³ Real estate brokerage agreements create a special agency wherein the broker's authority is limited to facilitating a real estate transaction for his or her principal. Unless otherwise noted, all agency referred to in The Nevada Law and Reference Guide, is concerned with real estate agency in which the broker is the agent of the client.

Nevada's real estate brokerage statutes (NRS 645) define “agency” as the relationship between a principal (client) and an agent (broker) arising out of a brokerage agreement in which the agent agrees to do certain acts on behalf of the principal in dealings with a third party.⁴ Real estate related acts are identified in the definition of “brokerage agreement” and include the broker assisting, soliciting or negotiating the sale, purchase option, rental or lease of real property, or the sale, exchange, option or purchase of a business.⁵ However, by statute, an agency relationship cannot be established solely from a licensee's negotiations or communications with a client of another broker if the licensee has received written permission from that party's broker.⁶

A brokerage agreement is an employment contract wherein the broker agrees to provide real estate related services for valuable consideration or compensation. It may be either oral or written. The client does not need to be the one paying the

¹. 2A Corpus Juris Secundum, Agency §4 (c), (1972).
². NRS 111.450.
⁴. NRS 645.0045.
⁵. NRS 645.005.
⁶. NRS 645.0045(2).
broker’s compensation. The compensation may be paid to the broker by either the client or another person. Though the brokerage agreement is an employment contract, without some type of alternative agreement, a real estate broker is an independent contractor and not the employee of the client.

Real estate related services include, but are not limited to, any of the following acts: the negotiation of, or the sale, exchange, option, purchase, rent or lease, of any interest in real estate (improved or unimproved); any modular, used manufactured or mobile home (when conveyed with any interest in the underlying real estate); public lands; or in a business. It also covers the listing or soliciting of prospective purchasers, lessees or renters, or the taking of an advance fee.

Once agency is established, all the duties and responsibilities of representation attach to the broker (and through the broker, to the broker-salesperson or salesperson). Those duties are found in statute (NRS), administrative regulation (NAC), and as expanded upon in case law (Nevada Reports).

Nevada does not recognize “transactional” agency, or limited agency representation. Transactional agency is where the broker agrees that he or she is not representing either party but only is hired to facilitate the transaction. Limited agency is a truncated form of agency wherein the broker contractually limits his duties and liabilities with the client by agreeing to perform only certain acts of representation. In Nevada, with one exception, no duty of a licensee as found in NRS 645.252 or NRS 645.254, may be waived. This is true even if a client and broker agree by contract to limit the broker’s duties; legally, the broker is always vested with the full duties, responsibilities and liabilities of representation identified in law. To ensure a client understands the

licensee’s basic duties, the licensee is required to provide the client and each unrepresented party with a state mandated form called the “Duties Owed by a Nevada Real Estate Licensee”.

a. Single or sole agency – Single agency is the most common form of agency and the one least likely to create liability for a broker. Single agency is where the broker represents only one party in a given transaction. The broker’s duty, loyalty and responsibilities are focused on promoting the interests of that client.

b. “Acting for More Than One Party to the Transaction” – Nevada law provides that a broker may represent more than one party in a real estate transaction. “The same person or entity may act as the agent for two parties interested in the same transaction when their interests do not conflict and where loyalty to one does not necessarily constitute breach of duty to the other.” When representing more than one party in a transaction, the broker must disclose this representation and obtain the written consent of each party before proceeding.

7. NRS 645.005.
8. NRS 645.030.
9. NRS 645.255. The exception is when a client authorizes in writing the broker to waive the duty to present all offers (NRS 645.254(4)).
10. NRS 645.252(3).
12. NRS 645.252(1)(d).
There are several types of possible multiple representations. The most typical is where a broker seeks to represent both the buyer and seller. Not as prevalent but more common in sellers markets (where there are more buyers than properties), is when the broker represents two or more buyers in competition with each other for a single property. Theoretically, a broker could also simultaneously represent a seller and multiple competing buyers. Under existing law, regardless of which parties are being represented, seller and buyer or another mixture, each party must be given a Consent to Act form and the opportunity to reject this type of agency relationship.

Even though a licensee acting for more than one party to the transaction is permitted by law, the law does not provide for any modification of a broker’s duties when representing multiple clients with adverse interests. The broker (and each licensee under him or her) owes to each client all of the duties provided for in law. The law does acknowledge such representation creates a conflict of interest in the licensee as the clients have interests opposed to one another.

The state mandated disclosure form, called “Consent to Act”, outlines for the client the consequences of the licensee's multiple representation and requires the client’s written authorization before the licensee may proceed with such representation. The Consent to Act form is designed with the seller/landlord and buyer/tenant relationship in mind but may be reasonably altered to reflect various combinations of conflicts of interests, i.e., buyer versus buyer.

Every licensee must be aware of the appearance of such undisclosed representation. For example, undisclosed representation may inadvertently occur when a licensee representing a seller provides the buyer with client services such that the buyer is under the reasonable expectation that the licensee is working for him. Another scenario is when a seller's agent seeks to concurrently represent a buyer in the sale of the buyer's other properties without disclosing that relationship to the seller. Unless the licensee makes each party fully aware of the licensee's lines of representation, the licensee may be participating in an undisclosed multiple representation.

c. Assigned Agency – To lessen the conflict of interest impact created when a broker represents more than one party in a transaction, the law provides an “Ethical Wall” wherein the broker is allowed to assign a separate agent to each client. Upon this assignment the broker does not need to use the “Consent to Act” disclosure form nor receive the approval of the clients.

Black’s Law Dictionary defines an “Ethical Wall” as a legal construct designed to shield (in our case) a broker from the liability of multiple party representation. It prohibits the respective assigned agents from exchanging the confidences of the clients and restricts the transfer and distribution of the clients’ personal information and documents. The statute reiterates the licensee’s duty of confidentiality to his or her assigned client.

To ensure a client’s confidences are not inadvertently disclosed, the broker should assure that assigned client’s files are kept apart and secured.

d. Change in Licensee’s Relationship – A licensee must disclose to each party in a real estate transaction when the licensee’s relationship with any party changes. The disclosure must be made as soon as practicable and must be in writing. A new Duties Owed form should be provided to each client. If a client’s consent is required (as in when acting for two or more parties to the transaction) consent must be obtained – disclosure alone is insufficient to ensure consent.
2. AGENCY DISCLOSURE FORMS

When an agency relationship is established the broker is required to provide the client with a state mandated disclosure form called the “Duties Owed by a Nevada Real Estate Licensee”. Should the broker at any point in a transaction be deemed to represent more than one party, the broker must also provide the parties with a “Consent to Act” form and receive their permission before proceeding with the representation. The appropriate agency disclosure form (Duties Owed or Consent to Act if applicable) must be used in all real estate agency relationships regardless of the type of representation, i.e., single, more than one party, and assigned; or the type of real estate transaction, e.g., purchase, property management. The Duties Owed form must also be given when a licensee is a principal in a transaction.

The forms are prepared and distributed by the Real Estate Division and reflect not only the requirements of statute (NRS 645.252(1)) but also of the real estate administrative code (NAC 645.637). Each form must be fully filled-in, signed and kept in the broker’s transaction file for five years.

It is important to note that an agency relationship is not created because a party signs either a Duties Owed or Consent to Act form. These forms are strictly disclosure documents. Each form specifically states that it does not constitute a contract for services nor an agreement to pay compensation. Accordingly, it is irrelevant whether a brokerage agreement is oral or written, or whether a licensee is acting on his or her own behalf, a Duties Owed form or Consent to Act form, must be given to and signed by the licensee’s client. Additionally, if a party is unrepresented the broker must keep that party’s signed form with their client’s transaction file.

A licensee who refers a potential client to another licensee does not need to provide the Duties Owed disclosure form if the referring licensee’s only activity is the referral. For example, a seller contacts a broker about representing him in the sale of his Fallon ranch. The broker does not regularly deal with ranch or rural properties therefore, he refers the client to a broker who regularly works with this type of property. The first broker is not required to provide the rancher with a Duties Owed form.

a. Duties Owed By A Nevada Real Estate Licensee form – The Duties Owed By A Nevada Real Estate Licensee form (Duties Owed) is divided into three main sections and several subsections. The first section is a box for the identification of the licensee, his or her license number, the client’s name, the broker’s and brokerage’s names, and finally, the name of client who the licensee is representing, e.g., seller, buyer, landlord, or tenant.

The second section is a paraphrase of the statutes outlining the licensee’s duties to all parties in the transaction and those duties specific to the licensee’s representation of the client. There is a single sentence reference to a licensee’s duty of confidentiality under assigned agency and a notice of the activities which require the licensee to provide the client with the Consent to Act form.

The third section is where the client signs acknowledging receipt of a copy of the form. The client, in signing the acknowledgement, also attests that he or she has read and understands the disclosure.
DUTIES OWED BY A NEVADA REAL ESTATE LICENSEE

This form does not constitute a contract for services nor an agreement to pay compensation.

In Nevada, a real estate licensee is required to provide a form setting forth the duties owed by the licensee to:

a) Each party for whom the licensee is acting as an agent in the real estate transaction, and
b) Each unrepresented party to the real estate transaction, if any.

Licensee: The licensee in the real estate transaction is ____________________________.
whose license number is ____________________________. The licensee is acting for [client’s name(s)] ____________________________ who is/are the □ Seller/Landlord; □ Buyer/Tenant.

Broker: The broker is ____________________________, whose company is ____________________________

Licensee’s Duties Owed to All Parties:
A Nevada real estate licensee shall:
1. Not deal with any party to a real estate transaction in a manner which is deceitful, fraudulent or dishonest.
2. Exercise reasonable skill and care with respect to all parties to the real estate transaction.
3. Disclose to each party to the real estate transaction as soon as practicable:
   a. Any material and relevant facts, data or information which licensee knows, or with reasonable care and
tenacity the licensee should know, about the property.
   b. Each source from which licensee will receive compensation.
4. Abide by all other duties, responsibilities and obligations required of the licensee in law or regulations.

Licensee’s Duties Owed to the Client:
A Nevada real estate licensee shall:
1. Exercise reasonable skill and care to carry out the terms of the brokerage agreement and the licensee’s duties in
the brokerage agreement;
2. Not disclose, except to the licensee’s broker, confidential information relating to a client for 1 year after the
revocation or termination of the brokerage agreement, unless licensee is required to do so by court order or the
client gives written permission;
3. Seek a sale, purchase, option, rental or lease of real property at the price and terms stated in the brokerage
agreement or at a price acceptable to the client;
4. Present all offers made to, or by the client as soon as practicable, unless the client chooses to waive the duty of the
licensee to present all offers and signs a waiver of the duty on a form prescribed by the Division;
5. Disclose to the client material facts of which the licensee has knowledge concerning the real estate transaction;
6. Advise the client to obtain advice from an expert relating to matters which are beyond the expertise of the
licensee; and
7. Account to the client for all money and property the licensee receives in which the client may have an interest.

Duties Owed By a broker who assigns different licensees affiliated with the brokerage to separate parties.
Each licensee shall not disclose, except to the real estate broker, confidential information relating to client.

Licensee Acting for Both Parties: You understand that the licensee may or may not, in the future act
for two or more parties who have interests adverse to each other. In acting for these parties, the licensee has a conflict of
interest. Before a licensee may act for two or more parties, the licensee must give you a “Consent to Act” form to sign.

---

We acknowledge receipt of a copy of this list of licensee duties, and have read and understand this disclosure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seller/Landlord</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Buyer/Tenant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seller/Landlord</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Buyer/Tenant</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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b. The Consent to Act form – The Consent to Act form is used when a broker (broker-salesperson or salesperson), is acting for two or more parties to a transaction. The form is divided into approximately seven parts. Parts one and three are informational giving the property address, licensee’s name and license number, brokerage, seller’s and buyer’s names. Part two recites the legal authorization that allows a broker to act for more than one party in a real estate transaction and states the requirement for written client authorization. Part four identifies the licensee’s conflict of interest, the duty of confidentiality, and the requirement for each client to have also received a Duties Owed form. Part five lets the client know he or she is not required to consent to this type of representation. Part six is the client’s acknowledgment of receipt of the form and a statement that consent is being granted without coercion. Part seven is the client’s signatures box.

A substantial number of division disciplinary hearing cases concern agency disclosure form violations. These violations include forms not given to clients, forms not completed correctly, and those lacking required signatures or missing necessary information. The Real Estate Commission, the body charged with hearing the Division’s disciplinary cases, has found the incorrect execution of these forms amounts to gross negligence by the licensee, therefore, it is incumbent upon each broker to ensure the Duties Owed and Consent to Act forms are properly completed and signed. Anytime there is a change in the identity of the parties or licensees, a new form must be completed and signed.

3. CREATION OF AGENCY

Historically, an agency relationship could have been created in several ways: by expressed statement, wherein both the client and the broker agreed to the agency; or by unintentional or implied agency. Unintentional agency is where the licensee did not intend to create or continue with the representation of the client, however; the client reasonably assumed the licensee was representing him or her. Implied agency is where the licensee acts as the agent of the client with the intention of representation and the client tacitly accepts those services even though there is no expressed (oral or written) brokerage agreement.

In 2007, the Nevada legislature defined “agency” for real estate licensees as the relationship between a principal and an agent arising out of a brokerage agreement in which the agent is engaged to do certain acts on behalf of the principal in dealings with a third party. Therefore, to create an agency relationship, there must first be a brokerage agreement.

A brokerage agreement is defined as an oral or written contract between a client and a broker in which the broker agrees to provide real estate related services in exchange for valuable consideration.

This definition of agency seems to preclude the trap of unintentional agency, however; as brokerage agreements may be oral, there is the possibility that a licensee’s conduct may lead a party to the reasonable expectation that an oral brokerage agreement exists and therefore, the licensee is that party’s agent.

The Nevada Supreme Court found agency when a licensee’s representation and advice were sufficient to support a client’s conclusion that the licensee impliedly agreed to the agency. In Keystone Realty v. Glenn Osterhus (1985), the Osterhuses contacted Keystone Realty, who represented a builder/developer, about purchasing a new home lot in the Northwood Estates subdivision. The real estate agent went to the buyers’ home, explained certain terms of the purchase
agreement and answered the couple's questions. The agent also told them he would ensure that the developer delivered their deed to them as payment was being held outside of escrow on the advice of the agent. Additionally, the purchase agreement was contingent on the sale of the couple's current residence which the agent agreed to sell for them. At no time did the agent inform the Osterhuses he only represented the developer. When the Osterhuses did not receive their deed after they moved into their new home, they made inquiries and discovered title to their home was still in the developer's name and that the developer had declared bankruptcy. The couple filed a law suit against the developer and brokerage for breach of agency and negligence.

The broker argued there was no agency relationship with the Osterhuses as the broker only represented the developer. Without agency, there was no duty to the Osterhuses to ensure they received their title. The court rejected this argument and said, 

"After reviewing the record, we conclude that the interrelated transactions of the parties, along with the representations and advice given by [the agent], constituted substantial evidence to support the conclusion that the [brokerage] impliedly agreed to act as the [couple's] agent in their purchase of the Northwood Estates lot and home."

Furthermore, the court held the agent negligent in that representation when he failed to ensure proper title was given. The Osterhuses were awarded approximately $58,000.

Referral – A licensee who refers a client to another licensee does not create an agency relationship with the person being referred if the licensee's only activity was the referral. However, a referring licensee must still be careful not to create in the clients' minds the perception that the licensee continues to represent them.31

Authorization to Negotiate - To forestall implied agency, a licensee is prohibited from negotiating a sale, exchange or lease of real estate with another broker's client unless that licensee has received written authorization from the other broker for such direct communication.32 By law, such direct communication does not create an agency relationship between the authorized broker and the other broker's client.33

To this end, the Real Estate Division has created a form authorizing a buyer's broker to negotiate directly with a seller.34 The type of communication authorized by the RED form is limited to the delivery, communication, or facilitation of an offer, counteroffer, or proposal; discussion and review of the terms of an offer, counteroffer, or proposal; and the preparation of any responses as directed.

Even with a signed "Authorization" form, if the buyer's broker starts performing agency duties for the seller outside those authorized by statute, the broker may be held liable for creating an "implied agency". When the "Authorization" form is used, the buyer's broker still retains all the agency duties and responsibilities to his or her client, the buyer. This authorization is not a "Consent to Act" form substitute.

The assumption of the existence of agency rests with the client's reasonable expectations. To determine whether the client's expectations are reasonable, the court will look at the licensee's statements, representations and actions.


32. NRS 645.635 (2). This statute also seeks to prevent the possible tort "intentional reference with a contractual relationship."

33. NRS 645.0045 (2)

34. See RED form "Authorization to Negotiate Directly with Seller"
CONSENT TO ACT

This form does not constitute a contract for services nor an agreement to pay compensation.

DESCRIPTION OF TRANSACTION: The real estate transaction is the ☐ sale and purchase or ☐ lease of _______________________.

Property Address: _____________________________________________

In Nevada, a real estate licensee may act for more than one party in a real estate transaction; however, before the licensee does so, he or she must obtain the written consent of each party. This form is that consent. Before you consent to having a licensee represent both yourself and the other party, you should read this form and understand it.

Licensee: The licensee in this real estate transaction is ___________________________ (“Licensee”) whose license number is ________________ and who is affiliated with ___________________________ (“Brokerage”).

Seller/Landlord ___________________________ Print Name ___________________________

Buyer/Tenant ___________________________ Print Name ___________________________

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: A licensee in a real estate transaction may legally act for two or more parties who have interests adverse to each other. In acting for these parties, the licensee has a conflict of interest.

DISCLOSURE OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION: Licensee will not disclose any confidential information for one year after the revocation or termination of any brokerage agreement entered into with a party to this transaction, unless Licensee is required to do so by a court of competent jurisdiction or is given written permission to do so by that party. Confidential information includes, but is not limited to, the client’s motivation to purchase, trade or sell, which if disclosed, could harm one party’s bargaining position or benefit the other.

DUTIES OF LICENSEE: Licensee shall provide you with a “Duties Owed by a Nevada Real Estate Licensee” disclosure form which lists the duties a licensee owes to all parties of a real estate transaction, and those owed to the licensee’s client. When representing both parties, the licensee owes the same duties to both seller and buyer. Licensee shall disclose to both Seller and Buyer all known defects in the property, any matter that must be disclosed by law, and any information the licensee believes may be material or might affect Seller’s/Landlord’s or Buyer’s/Tenant’s decisions with respect to this transaction.

NO REQUIREMENT TO CONSENT: You are not required to consent to this licensee acting on your behalf. You may
- Reject this consent and obtain your own agent,
- Represent yourself,
- Request that the licensee’s broker assign you your own licensee.

CONFIRMATION OF DISCLOSURE AND INFORMATION CONSENT

BY MY SIGNATURE BELOW, I UNDERSTAND AND CONSENT: I am giving my consent to have the above identified licensee act for both the other party and me. By signing below, I acknowledge that I understand the ramifications of this consent, and that I acknowledge that I am giving this consent without coercion.

I/We acknowledge receipt of a copy of this list of licensee duties, and have read and understand this disclosure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seller/Landlord</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Buyer/Tenant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seller/Landlord</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Buyer/Tenant</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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AUTHORIZATION TO NEGOTIATE DIRECTLY WITH SELLER

Nevada law permits a real estate licensee to negotiate a sale or lease directly with the seller or lessor with written permission from the listing broker. This form grants that permission with respect to the below-named Seller(s) and the listed property.

- Seller agrees, and the Seller's broker authorizes, that a Buyer's agent or broker may present offers (including subsequent counteroffers) and negotiate directly with the Seller.

- "Negotiate" means (a) delivering or communicating an offer, counteroffer, or proposal; (b) discussing or reviewing the terms of any offer, counteroffer, or proposal; and/or (c) facilitating communication regarding an offer, counteroffer, or proposal and preparing any response as directed.

- Seller understands and agrees that, after accepting an offer, additional contact from the Buyer's agent may be required to obtain disclosures and other documents related to the transaction.

- Seller acknowledges and agrees that Buyer's agent does not represent the Seller, and negotiations pursuant to this authorization do not create or imply an agency relationship between the Buyer's agent and the Seller. Seller understands that he/she should seek advice from Seller's broker and/or financial advisers or legal counsel.

- Seller acknowledges that Seller's broker will provide a copy of this authorization to the Buyer's agent or broker upon request, prior to presenting an offer.

Seller's Name(s): __________ __________ __________ __________

Seller's Signature(s): ____________________________ ____________ Date / Time

Property Address: ________________________________

City: __________ __________ __________ __________ Zip: __________ Contract Listing Date: __________ __________

Company Name: ________________________________

Seller's Agent Name: ____________________________ Signature: __________ __________ Date / Time

Seller's Broker Name: __________________________ Signature: __________ __________ Date / Time

06/26/2007
B. DUTIES — SOURCES

A real estate licensee when performing real estate related activities is subject to certain duties and legal responsibilities to the client, broker, peers, the public, and the Real Estate Division. These duties are found in statute, regulation, and expounded upon in case law.

The main sources of a licensee's duties are found in both Nevada and federal law, i.e., the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) and the United States Code (U.S.C.). Duties may also be found in state or federal administrative regulation - Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) and the Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.). Nevada regulations (NAC) have the force and effect of law.

Traditionally, real estate agency law originated with the common law. The common law is that body of law derived from judicial decisions rather than from statutes or constitutions. Most duties emanated from the common law and though, by statute, the common law is abrogated where a duty is statutorily defined the common law may still be used today to illuminate how the statutes should be interpreted and what behavior to avoid.

The replacement of common law duties by statute is limited to those duties identified in NRS 645.252 to 645.254. However, the statutes alone may not give a licensee specific direction as to what behavior a duty requires or what behavior must be avoided. For this the licensee must look to case law. For example, NRS 645.252(1)(a) requires the licensee to disclose any material fact relating to the property which he knows, or which by the exercise of reasonable care and diligence, should have known. The question remains what constitutes a material fact. We find in case law that "A fact is material… if it is one which the agent should realize would be likely to affect the judgment of the principal in giving his consent to the agent to enter into the particular transaction on the specified terms."

A broker may also have duties to the client which were agreed to by contract. Some contracts extend the authority of the licensee to act for the client beyond the traditional limits of special agency. For example, a property manager is given a greater range of authority to act for the landlord than the usual authority afforded to a listing broker. This extension of authority for a property manager is found in contract as authorized by statute. A breach of a contractual duty can create liability and either party (broker or client) may file a civil law suit to obtain a remedy.

A licensee's duties are divided into two types; affirmative prescriptions - "you shall", and prohibitions - "you shall not". An example of an affirmative duty would be NAC 645.605(6) that states a licensee has an "obligation to deal fairly with all parties to a real estate transaction", while a prohibition would be NRS 645.3205, "[a] licensee shall not deal with any party to a real estate transaction in a manner which is deceitful, fraudulent or dishonest."
Breach of NRS 645.3205 creates liability, while NAC 645.605(6) is used by the Commission to determine if a licensee is guilty of a breach.

The law recognizes three types of behavior that can create liability - not doing what one is required to do, doing what one is not supposed to do, and doing something one is supposed to do but doing it in a wrong (negligent) way. The legal terms are nonfeasance, malfeasance, and misfeasance.

Nonfeasance occurs when a licensee is supposed to act and does not. For example, NRS 645.252(1)(e) requires a licensee to disclose to each party to the real estate transaction when there is any change in his relationship to a party in that transaction. If a licensee is removed from the transaction by the broker, the parties are required to be informed that the licensee is no longer representing the client. A broker would be guilty of nonfeasance if he or she failed to inform the parties.

Malfeasance is a wrongful or unlawful act. For example, NRS 645.254(4) requires a licensee to present all offers to the client as soon as practicable. If a licensee intentionally withhold from the client another broker’s offer, that is malfeasance.

Obviously, it is not malfeasance if a client has signed an appropriate waiver under NRS 645.254(4).

Misfeasance is where a licensee performs a lawful act but in an unlawful or negligent manner. In Fleshman v. M. Hendricks (1977) broker Hendricks took a listing from Fleshman. The listing stated the property was subject to a non-transferable lease. The broker obtained a buyer who was ready and willing to purchase the property but who would not take it with the lease. When the transaction failed because the seller refused to break the lease (he would have been sued by the tenant), and the buyer refused to purchase with the lease in place, the broker sued the seller for his commission. The court found that in order for the broker to collect his commission he would have had to produce a ready, willing and able buyer. This buyer would not purchase because of the lease. The court stated it was the broker’s misfeasance in not ensuring the buyer would purchase with the lease in place that caused the transaction to fall apart therefore, the broker should not “be permitted to recover by virtue of his own misfeasance.”
1. CLIENT'S MISSTATEMENT

A licensee may not be held liable for the misrepresentations made by his client unless the licensee knew of the misrepresentation and failed to inform the person to whom the misrepresentation was made. In *Prigge v. South Seventh Realty* (1981), a purchaser sued both the seller and the listing broker for misrepresentation as to how the property was constructed. The purchaser declared that both the seller and the broker misrepresented the property as being a frame and stucco house when in fact it was not. The broker argued that he had taken the word of the seller and should not be held liable for the seller’s misrepresentations. The court relying on established agency principles found for the broker and refused to find him liable.

The court quoted a learned treatise in finding:

> [A]n agent who makes untrue statements based upon the information given to him by the principal is not liable because of the fact that the principal knew the information to be untrue. An agent can properly rely upon statements of the principal to the same extent as upon statements from any other reputable source.

This protection has its limitations. The court in the same case said it was making its findings on the limited arguments presented in the appeal. It went on to state that the buyer failed to argue that the broker “knew or should have known the true facts through the exercise of reasonable care”. If the buyer had argued this level of diligence, the outcome of the case could have been different.

The “knew or should have known” criteria is found as an affirmative prescription in NRS 645.252(1) which states a licensee shall disclose any material and relevant facts, data or...
information which he knows, or which by the exercise of reasonable care and diligence he should have known, relating to the property. In Prigge the question could have been whether the broker "should have known" the house was not a frame and stucco building. This level of diligence – should have known - is so well established in law that it has withstood legislative attempts to remove it.44

2. ITEMS OF PUBLIC RECORD

A licensee cannot be held liable for a seller’s failure to disclose information that is of public record and which is readily available to the client.45 Under current Nevada law, a seller of a residential property is required to complete a state mandated form called the Seller’s Real Property Disclosure.46 Answers to many of the questions asked on the form would only be known by the seller who must disclose what he or she knows. Some of those items may be of public record thereby, theoretically, available to anyone. Should the seller not disclose a material fact that is of public record, this statute provides that the licensee cannot be charged with non-disclosure if the licensee reasonably had no knowledge of the item and the public record was readily available to the client. The licensee is not required to search the public records to ensure the seller told the truth.

The caveat is that the licensee must reasonably not know of the client’s misstatement or concealed fact. If a material fact, data or information concerning the property is readily discernable to the licensee or, it is a fact, data or information that a reasonably prudent licensee would have knowledge of, the licensee will be held liable for the non-disclosure even if that item is of public record.47 The Nevada Supreme Court in Prigge found that parallel courts in other jurisdictions, “have refused, in similar circumstances, to hold an agent for a disclosed seller responsible for an independent search for concealed facts, in the absence of any information which would have put the agent on notice.” (emphasis added)48

3. PROPERTY INSPECTIONS

Unless otherwise agreed to in writing, a licensee owes no duty to any party to the real estate transaction to independently verify the accuracy of a statement made by an inspector certified under NRS 645D, or by any other appropriate licensed or certified expert.49

NRS 645D is the set of statutes that require the certification of inspectors generally known as “home inspectors”, who perform the physical examination of the mechanical, electrical or plumbing systems of a structure.50 Other experts may include, but are not limited to, licensed contractors, pest inspectors, roofers, electricians, plumbers, appraisers, and any of the other licensed or certified building-trade professionals.

There is a caveat to this release from liability; it is that the professional is certified or licensed. A real estate licensee will not be released from liability if the “professional” upon whose word or inspection report the licensee is relying, is unlicensed or uncertified. For example, a licensee cannot escape liability by relying on the proclamation of a local handyman that the house’s wiring is in good order. It is also incumbent upon the licensee, if the licensee has a reasonable doubt as to the

---

44. See SB 319, Nevada's 73rd Legislative Session (2005).
45. NRS 645.259(2).
46. NRS 113.130 and NRS 113.135.
47. NRS 645.257(3).
49. NRS 645.252(4)(a).
50. NRS 645D.060.
accuracy of a material fact or an inspection report, to tell the client of that doubt and urge the client to verify the inspection. In Ewing v. Bissell (1989), Jaeger, a real estate licensee acting as a dual agent, sold the Ewing’s a parcel of land in Las Vegas which reportedly contained 1.34 acres.\(^{51}\) The plat map identified the parcel as having 1.34 acres and the purchase agreement stated there were approximately 1.34 acres. Jaeger testified he “inspected the property and thought there might be a question as to the total acreage of the lot; however, he could not determine the actual size of the lot by viewing it.” Also, he “knew that a better legal description of the property was needed.” Jaeger told the sellers, but not the buyers, they could get a survey of the property. Additionally, the buyer had informed Jaeger acreage was important to him as he wanted to place two homes on the property and the zoning law required .50 acres per home. Acreage was therefore a material fact for the buyers.

After the close of escrow, the Clark County Assessor informed the buyers they had received only .83 acres. The buyers sued the sellers, brokerage and agent for the difference between what they received and what they thought they were purchasing. The court found for the buyers allowing them an abatement of the purchase price by reducing the price by the percentage of acreage the buyers did not receive. The court reviewed Jaeger’s testimony as to his concern about the size of the lot and held him liable. It said Jaeger was the individual in the best position to ensure the size of the lot was ascertained before escrow closed and Jaeger was under the duty to disclose material facts that may affect the buyer’s decision to purchase.

At the same time, a licensee is not required to re-inspect or personally verify the accuracy of a valid inspection. In the Ewing case above, if a survey had been done, Jaeger would not have had to verify the accuracy of the surveyor’s work.\(^{52}\) Additionally, the licensee is not required to become a property inspector by conducting the investigation of the condition of the property which is the subject of the real estate transaction.\(^{53}\) However, this clause should not be used by the licensee in an attempt to remove from the licensee his or her responsibility to disclose “material and relevant facts, data or information which the licensee knows, or by the exercise of reasonable care and diligence… should have known relating to the property.” (emphasis added).\(^{54}\)

The licensee is always charged with reasonable care and diligence. It is not reasonable that a licensee ignore patent or observable problems or questions about the property’s condition because he or she “owes no duty to investigate.” The level of investigation stated here is the level expected of a professional in a particular field such as a licensed property inspector. The licensee owes no duty to reach that level of investigation.
4. FINANCIAL AUDIT OF A PARTY

A licensee owes no duty to conduct an independent investigation of the financial condition of a party to the real estate transaction. Nevertheless, a licensee is still responsible for disclosing to his or her client all material facts of which the licensee has knowledge concerning the transaction. For example, if a licensee representing a buyer has knowledge of the seller being in bankruptcy, it is incumbent upon the licensee to disclose that information to the client. However, the licensee has no duty to personally examine the financial condition of a party.

Nor does the licensee have a duty to review a principal's credit history. This may specifically come into play if the client participates in alternative forms of financing such as the seller carrying back some of the purchase price. A seller “carries back” when he or she acts as a lender to the purchaser by “carrying” some of the purchase price in the form of a promissory note which is usually secured by a deed of trust on the property.

The caveat on this limitation of liability is that the licensee can waive this protection by his or her statements or actions. If a licensee presumes to investigate a principal’s financial condition, gives specific credit or financial advice or guidance, reviews a party’s credit report, or designs an alternative financing plan for the parties, the licensee may have waived any protection this statute could provide.

In Charles v. Lemons & Associates, (1988) the sellers, Mr. & Mrs. Charles, sued their brokerage and agent, Century Realty and Larry Geisendorf, for misrepresentation and failure to disclose material information. Geisendorf negotiated the sale of the Charles' home with some buyers wherein the buyers would assume the existing first mortgage, obtain a second in the buyers' names, and have the sellers carry back a third deed of trust. The Charles claimed Geisendorf told them the buyers were financially capable of purchasing the property and that they were qualified to make payments on the loans. What Geisendorf failed to tell his clients was that the buyers had a combined income of only $2,400 per month, that they expected to use the income from a speculative gold investment to meet their financial obligations, and that the buyer's second mortgage had an APR of 30.85%.

When the buyers did not make any payments, the Charles’ sued their agent and brokerage. They stated they would not have made the deal with the buyers if it hadn’t been for the statements by Geisendorf concerning the buyers’ financial soundness. That, coupled with their agent’s failure to disclose material facts about the transaction, i.e., the buyers’ tenuous loan arrangements, breached the agent’s fiduciary duty to the sellers and caused the sellers loss.
5. PROVIDING OTHER "PROFESSIONAL" SERVICES

A licensee is not required to perform services or give advice if the service or advice requires expertise outside the realm of real estate related services or for which a separate certification or license is required.\(^{58}\) Again, this protection may be waived should the licensee undertake to provide such services or advice.

A licensee who performs unauthorized services may be held liable not only to the client, but to the various licensing entities and to any third-party who acts on the licensee’s representations and is damaged. In Epperson v. Roloff, Epperson, a buyer, sued the Roloffs who were the sellers, and Alexander, the sellers’ agent, for fraud and breach of contract. The sellers told their agent the property had “a solar storage area for auxiliary heating”. Alexander, without ensuring a solar heating system existed, told the buyers and their agent that “solar really saves on your gas bill” and proceeded to make other statements of fact about the system. Needless to say, there was no solar heating system. When the buyers sued, the court stated the agent could be held liable to the third-party buyers if they justifiably relied on the agent’s statements or advice.\(^{59}\)

Giving legal advice or determining the market value of a property are services a licensee is most commonly asked to provide.\(^{60}\) Because these activities are so intricately woven into the fabric of a real estate transaction the licensee can easily slip into giving answers and thus providing unauthorized services. If a licensee does so, he or she not only violates NRS 645, but also the statutes regulating those professions.

Other services a licensee may be asked to provide include but are not limited to, home inspection,\(^{61}\) tax and income advice (accountant),\(^{62}\) and property management.\(^{63}\) The fact that these activities, or some semblance of them, are performed daily by licensees does not in and of itself transform the activities from being unauthorized or illegal unless the licensee is duly certified or licensed in that profession. A licensee must be especially conscientious when asked to do any of the above activities and always refer the client to the appropriate professional.\(^{64}\)

To that end, a licensee must be aware of the pitfalls of relying on a client’s request or permission to perform unauthorized activities. No client can authorize a licensee to perform services for which a certification or license is required and a licensee cannot escape liability just because the client requested such service or advice. The Nevada Supreme Court has stated “that one may not legitimize his otherwise unlawful practice of the law by contractually obligating himself to achieve legal effectiveness.”\(^{65}\) Thus, as with many areas of real estate agency law, a client’s instruction does not make an illegal act legal or place it within the scope of the licensee’s authority. Should a licensee be requested to perform services beyond the licensee’s expertise or authorization, the licensee has an affirmative duty to advise the client the matter is beyond his or her expertise and suggest the advice or service be obtained from an appropriate certified or licensed professional. Otherwise, a licensee will be subject to Commission discipline if found guilty of attempting to provide specialized professional services or advice that are outside the licensee’s licensed authority.\(^{66}\)
D. TERMINATION OF AGENCY

Nevada has no specific statute that provides which event or action terminates agency. Agency can end in various ways, such as:

- when the object of the agency is fulfilled, or
- the agency agreement terminates by contract date, or
- the broker and client mutually agree to terminate the agency, or
- the agency is abandoned, or
- the agency is terminated due to a party’s breach, or
- it ends because of the death of either the broker or the client.

Here we must draw a distinction between the end of the agency and the termination of a party’s rights under the brokerage agreement. An agency relationship may end but each party may continue to have certain rights stemming from that relationship. Some of those rights, such as the licensee's duty of confidentiality, are statutorily identified as extending past the revocation or termination of the brokerage agreement - in the case of confidentiality it is one year. Other rights include the broker’s right to compensation. The broker may have a right to collect compensation even if the agency has ended and the broker is no longer providing real estate related services to the client.

1. END OF TRANSACTION OR CONTRACT DATE

Most special agency relationships, such as real estate agency, terminate when the object of the agency ends. In real estate, this is usually when there has been a successful transaction and the object for which the agency was created, the sale or lease of real property, has been fulfilled.

Agency may also end when the brokerage agreement has an end or termination date and that date comes and goes without the broker's efforts procuring a successful transaction. Each exclusive representation brokerage agreement is required to have in writing a specified and complete termination date. That date is generally read as ending the agency. A licensee should be aware that the licensee's statements or actions may extend the agency past the termination date and create an unintentional agency.

67. NRS 645.254(2).
68. NRS 645.320(2).
2. MUTUAL AGREEMENT

Unless there is an agreement to the contrary, a brokerage agreement may be terminated by either party. Depending on the circumstances, this end of agency may be conditional or unconditional. An unconditional release is when both the broker and the client mutually agree to end the agency. At this point the broker and client walk away from their agency relationship without any obligation to the other. A conditional release is when the broker agrees to stop representing the client but holds the client to the client's obligations, such as the payment of compensation.

3. ABANDONMENT OR INVOLUNTARY TERMINATION – CLIENT OR BROKER

Another, more difficult type of termination is when the agency is abandoned or involuntarily terminated (being fired) by either the client or the broker.

Abandonment occurs when one party ceases to continue with the relationship. It may happen suddenly or through lack of contact over a period of time. There is no set time frame in which lack of communication between the broker and client creates abandonment. The courts look at whether a reasonable period of time has elapsed. What makes a “reasonable” period of time is fact specific. In one case, Reese v. Utter (1976), the broker, Utter, had an exclusive listing with Reese. During the listing period Utter submitted an offer which Reese rejected. After the rejection Utter abandoned all efforts at negotiating the sale of the property. A year after the listing expired, Reese sold the property to the original offeror on substantially different terms and without the assistance or participation of Utter. Utter then sued Reese for his commission. The court found Utter was not entitled to a commission as he had abandoned his efforts to sell the property and there was no hint of fraud or bad faith on the part of the seller.

A client may abandon a broker. In Bartsas Realty v. Leverton (1966), Mary Bartsas, a broker, responded to an open listing agreement from an institutional seller, First National Bank of Nevada, to find a buyer for an estate of which First National was the executor. Mrs. Bartsas submitted an offer from Davidson, president of a construction company. Without communicating with Bartsas, Davidson then contacted broker Leverton and resubmitted a similar offer through him. When First National told Leverton of the first offer (which had not yet been
accepted or rejected), Leverton replied "Well, he (Davidson) has changed brokers and he has come to me now." (Italics added.)

Mrs. Bartsas was then told by First National of the second offer. She attempted to speak with Davidson who never again communicated with her. She attempted to communicate with Leverton without satisfaction. Leverton continued the negotiations with First National and eventually the sale was consummated. Bartsas sued Leverton and First National. The court held for Bartsas finding that a client cannot in bad faith ignore or abandon the broker or otherwise intervene so as to deprive a broker of his or her commission. The broker must be given an opportunity to consummate the sale if the broker has not abandoned the negotiations.

A client or broker can “fire” the other at any time. The Nevada Supreme Court stated “[a]bsent a contractual provision to the contrary, an independent contractor/principal agency relationship is terminable at any time at the will of the principal or the agent.”

Once fired, a broker is required to stop representing the client and inform the other parties in the transaction of the change in his or her agency status. A client can refuse to be represented by, or work with, a broker-salesman or salesman; however, the brokerage agreement continues in force as the agency is with the broker not the salesperson. At this juncture, the broker may release the client (conditionally or unconditionally), or the parties may agree to have another licensee under the broker continue with the representation. The fact that a client does not want to continue being represented by a certain licensee does not automatically release the client from an obligation to pay compensation under the brokerage agreement.

It is important to remember that certain duties of the licensee to the client remain in full force and effect even if active agency has ended. For example, the licensee may not divulge the client’s confidences for one year, nor may the licensee take any action in violation of the provisions of NRS 645 which may harm the ex-client.

4. DEATH – CLIENT OR BROKER

It is old law in Nevada that a client’s death terminates the agency. Nevertheless, the broker may still have rights under the original brokerage agreement for the collection of compensation.

Upon the death of a licensee (broker, broker-salesperson, or salesperson) his or her real estate license automatically expires. Without a valid real estate license, there can be no legal representation. Since the brokerage agreement is with the broker, if a broker-salesperson or salesperson dies, the brokerage agreement continues in place. It is then between the broker and client to determine if the brokerage agreement should continue with the services of a different broker-salesperson or salesperson or whether the brokerage agreement will be canceled. If the broker dies, the broker’s agency representation as evidenced by the brokerage agreement ends. In either case, whether the death is of the broker or his or her broker-salesman or salesman, the client continues to be responsible for paying any compensation earned by the broker before the licensee’s death.

73. NRS 645.252(1)(e).
74. NRS 645.633(1)(b), and NAC 645.605(6).
75. Wayman v. Torreyson, 4 Nev. 124, 135 (1868).
76. NRS 148.420 and NRS 148.330.
77. NAC 645.350(2).
E. REVIEW

The basis of all real estate brokerage representation is founded upon agency law. This law encompasses the duties and responsibilities of the broker (and by extension all licensees under him or her). In Nevada, the broker’s duties and responsibilities are codified – turned into statute and made a part of the NRS or NAC. Case law explains by example how those statutory duties (and their common law antecedents) are applied by the courts. Most agency occurs with the representation by a broker of one party to a real estate transaction. Nevertheless, Nevada law allows the broker to represent multiple parties with conflicting interests when such representation is disclosed to, and approved of, by the parties. To that end, Nevada requires each licensee to provide a written agency disclosure form called the Duties Owed by a Nevada Real Estate Licensee, and if there is multiple representation, the licensee is required to have the clients complete the Consent to Act form. The law limits the licensee’s liability in certain situations with caveats and exceptions to such liability waiver. Finally, the broker’s agency relationship may be terminated in various ways from fulfilling the terms of the brokerage contract to the death of the client or broker.
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The laws and regulations that govern the licensee and the real estate transaction come from various sources. The main three sources are statute, regulation, and common law. Besides state law, the licensee is governed by applicable federal statutes and regulations. The focus here is on state law and regulation as they are modified by applicable case law.

A. EVOLUTION OF THE COMMON LAW TO STATUTORY DUTIES

The common law has its origins in previous judicial decisions. The United States derives its common law from England. When deciding a case, a judge looks at how similar cases were previously decided. This helps the judge and the legal system to maintain consistency.

Over the years a body of law was compiled from these cases addressing common topics such as contracts, agency, and real property. Nevada has adopted this English common law.\(^1\) It covers any legal issue not addressed by statute or regulation. Statutes or regulations control unless otherwise stated. In 1995, many of the licensee’s common law duties were put into statute and for these duties (NRS 645.252, 645.253, and NRS 645.254) the common law was expressly rejected.\(^2\) Even so, judicial decisions and traditional common law terms direct how statutes or regulations are interpreted.

Common Law Terms: The rules of agency evolved from the common law. Agency law defines the duties and responsibilities a real estate licensee has to the client. However, the legislators, when writing the statutes, often used traditional common law terms but did not define them. Without an understanding of what those common law terms mean, the licensee will not know what must be done or avoided. For example, a licensee must have absolute fidelity to the client’s interest. (NAC 645.605(6)) What is
absolute fidelity? The licensee must look at case law to understand the parameters of absolute fidelity.

Common Law Agency: Under common law, a broker’s main duty is to ensure the client’s business and interests are carried out to the client’s best advantage.³ This is the broker’s fiduciary duty. It includes:

1. Absolute fidelity to the client’s interests;
2. Honesty;
3. The broker’s use of reasonable skill and care in all aspects of the transaction, and
4. Full disclosure of all issues and facts concerning the property or the transaction.⁴

B. CLIENT BEFORE SELF—ABSOLUTE FIDELITY

A licensee has the duty of absolute fidelity to the client’s interests. Absolute fidelity means a licensee must put the client’s interests ahead of the licensee’s interest. A licensee “will not be permitted to pervert his authority to his own personal gain in severe hostility to the interest of his principal.”

For example, absolute fidelity is breached when a licensee withholds an offer from the client in order to purchase the property himself. In *Holland Realty v. Nevada Real Estate Commission* (1968) Grant Holland, a Las Vegas broker, sued the Real Estate Division when it revoked his license. The Real Estate Commission had found him guilty of violating NRS 645 for misrepresentation, making false promises to induce performance, and receiving a secret and undisclosed profit. Holland had double escrowed a property without disclosure to either party and kept the profit. In that transaction, he misrepresented who was taking title, his agency status, and who were the real parties. He then sold the property a third time as an undisclosed buyer’s agent while acting as the seller’s agent under a net listing agreement. He did not disclose to the seller the property’s true sale price. Again, he kept the difference.

After the Commission revoked his license, Holland sued the Division. The court upheld the Division’s discipline and found Holland’s behavior breached his basic fiduciary duty of absolute fidelity to his clients. The court stated:

[a] broker when pursuing his own interest cannot ignore those of his principal and will not be permitted to enjoy the fruits of an advantage taken of a fiduciary relationship, whose dominant characteristic is the confidence reposed by one in another.

There are two prongs to the duty of absolute fidelity. First, the duty to disclose the licensee’s interest and, secondly, the prohibition against taking advantage of any situation, even if disclosed, that would harm the client’s interests.

1. DISCLOSURE OF LICENSEE’S INTEREST

A licensee must disclose in writing to the parties whenever the licensee has a personal interest in either the transaction or the property. Such disclosure ensures everyone is aware of the licensee’s potential conflicting loyalties.

The licensee should be aware that some laws prohibit certain acts even if the licensee’s interest is disclosed. As an example, a salesperson may not receive compensation from anyone other than his or her broker: taking such payment is illegal whether or not it is disclosed.

a. Interest in the Transaction — Any time the licensee has an interest in the transaction, that interest must be disclosed in writing. An “interest in the transaction” occurs when:
1. The licensee receives, or expects to receive, compensation from more than one party;\(^1\)

2. Is a party in the transaction, or

3. Has a personal relationship with one of the principals.

Compensation: The licensee is under an affirmative duty to disclose to each party to the real estate transaction each “source” from which the licensee will receive compensation.\(^2\) The statute does not require the licensee to disclose the amount of the compensation, only its source - the identity of the person or company giving the compensation.\(^3\)

Compensation includes referral fees and other payment such as fees received from vendors to be on a broker’s list of service providers. Even though the broker may give a service provider list to a client without charge, the broker must disclose if a vendor paid to be on that list.\(^4\)

Compensation includes money the broker may accept, give or charge as a rebate or direct profit on expenditures made for the client.\(^5\) For example, a buyer asked the broker to have a new air conditioning unit installed. The buyer paid for the unit in escrow. The air conditioning manufacturer offered a $200 rebate. The broker, when he purchased the unit, applied for and kept the rebate without disclosing it to his buyer. This is a violation of the broker’s absolute fidelity to the client.

Party to the Transaction: When a licensee is acting as a principal, it is a material fact and must be disclosed.\(^6\) This ensures all parties to the transaction are fully aware of where the licensee’s loyalties may lie. Moreover, the licensee may not acquire (purchase), lease or dispose of (sell), any time-share or real property without revealing the licensee’s licensed status.\(^7\)

Relationship with a Principal: The licensee must disclose whenever he or she has a personal relationship with a principal to the transaction.\(^8\) A licensee (including permitted property managers) must disclose the licensee’s affiliation with, or financial interest in, any person or entity that furnishes maintenance or other services related to the property.\(^9\)

RESPA: RESPA (Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act) prohibits referrals from one business to another business when owned by the same company unless there is full disclosure. These are affiliated business arrangements.\(^10\) If a licensee has an ownership interest in a business and refers clients to that business, the licensee must disclose that ownership interest.

b. Interest in the Property – A licensee, whether acting as an agent or a principal, has an “interest in the property” whenever the licensee has, or anticipates, an ownership interest.\(^11\) Failure to disclose the licensee’s interest is an element in the Real Estate Commission’s determination of whether the licensee was deceitful or dishonest.\(^12\)

Any anticipated interest must be disclosed even if it is only a pass-through interest. For example, a licensee must disclose when the licensee takes title, however briefly, during a “double escrow.”\(^13\)

Engaging in an undisclosed double escrow transaction is a breach of the licensee’s absolute fidelity to the client. The Nevada Supreme Court has found it illegal when,

[t]he broker or salesman purchases a principal’s property in the first escrow, and sells it to a third party at a profit in a second escrow without a full disclosure to both the principal and the third party. The broker or salesman receives a commission on the sale in the first escrow and a secret profit on the closing in the second escrow.\(^14\)
A licensee may not take an undisclosed profit at the expense of another party, nor may the licensee purchase or sell the property of a client through the use of a third person without full disclosure and the client's consent. 25

2. DISCLOSURE IN WRITING

Whether the licensee's interest is in the transaction or in the property, the disclosure must be in writing - an oral disclosure does not satisfy the regulations. 26 When disclosing the licensee's interest in the property, the disclosure must state the licensee is acquiring or selling the property for him or herself and that the licensee is a broker, broker-salesperson, or salesperson. The Real Estate Division will recognize the disclosure if the licensee includes the term "agent," "licensee," or "broker, broker-salesperson, salesperson," whichever designation is appropriate. 27

Timing: The disclosure must be made "as soon as practicable," but not later than the date and time on which any written document is signed by the parties. The Nevada Supreme Court has defined "as soon as practicable" to mean "promptly" or "within a reasonable length of time" considering the facts and circumstances of each particular case. 28

Advertising: If the licensee advertises a property, the advertisement must identify the licensee's licensed status. This disclosure must be made whether the licensee is acting as an agent or as a principal. 29 Accordingly, the licensee must state "for sale by owner-broker" (agent, salesperson, etc.) 30 or substantially similar words in any advertisement for the property in which the licensee is a principal.

3. CONFIDENTIALITY

A licensee may obtain confidential information during the course of the brokerage relationship when the licensee is told, or inadvertently discovers, information harmful to the client's interests.

What constitutes a client's confidential information varies from transaction to transaction and from client to client. The Real Estate Division has previously identified confidential information as "the client's motivation to purchase, trade or sell, which if disclosed, could harm one party's bargaining position or benefit the other." 31 It includes any information that a reasonable person would expect, or request, to be kept confidential. The licensee should consider confidential any information that, if disclosed to the other party, would harm the client's position. A licensee is under the duty not to disclose the client's confidential information for one year after the revocation or termination of the brokerage agreement. 32

Disclosure Duty: At no time does a client's request for confidentiality control the licensee's disclosure duty. A licensee must disclose to all parties any material and relevant facts relating to the property. 33 This affirmative duty overrides a client's request that the licensee not disclose relevant property facts such as defects. What facts are material and relevant? Any fact about the property that is likely to influence a principal about the property's desirability. 34

Confidential Material Facts: By law, certain facts that a party may consider material, are deemed not material. Since the law says those facts are not material, the seller and licensee may consider them confidential. The licensee is not liable for their non-disclosure. 35
In Nevada, it is not material if the property was the site of a homicide, suicide or death. The exception is if the property caused the death, for example, someone was electrocuted by the home’s bad wiring.

It is not material if the property was occupied by a person with AIDS or any other disease not transmitted through occupancy of the property.

With one exception, it is not material if the property was the site of a felony. The exception is if the property was used to manufacture methamphetamine and the property was not rehabilitated.

It is not material if the property is located near a licensed facility under NRS 449.0055, transitional living for released offenders. (This does not include a halfway house for recovering alcohol and drug abusers.)

Finally, by law it is not material if a sex offender lives, or is expected to live, in the community. This information does not need to be disclosed unless the broker and a buyer have agreed otherwise.

The licensee may not be held liable to the other party for non-disclosure of any of the above items. However, a buyer-client and licensee may agree the licensee will disclose the information if the licensee knows the answer. Any such agreement should be in writing and cleared with the broker.

No Misinformation: A licensee is not allowed to lie or give misinformation. If asked a direct question regarding a confidential matter, the licensee should state the information is confidential and refuse to answer. The client may authorize the licensee to direct the individual to a reliable source.

Required Disclosure: When may a licensee disclose confidential information? The law provides protection for disclosure of confidential information under any one of four circumstances: first, when a court of competent jurisdiction orders disclosure; second, when the client authorizes disclosure; third, when the licensee discloses information to the broker; and fourth, when the information is required to be disclosed by law. The courts will not allow the licensee to hide behind a client’s instruction to keep information confidential that the licensee is obligated by law to disclose.

The right of confidentiality is held by the client, not the licensee. That means it is the client’s decision on whether confidential information may be disclosed. If there is any question whether a fact or information is confidential, the licensee must clear it with the client before disclosure.

AIDS stands for acquired immune deficiency syndrome, a deadly disease caused by infection and transmitted through blood or bodily secretions.

Methamphetamine (meth) is an illegal street drug. During the making of meth toxic fumes seep into the walls, floors, sinks and counters. General cleaning or painting does not remove the residue. The property must be “rehabilitated” (made habitable again). Only the government (for example, a health department) or a certified entity can legally rehabilitate a meth property NRS 40.770(6).

NRS 179D.400 defines a “Sex Offender” as a person who is: (a) Convicted of a sexual offense listed in NRS 179D.410; or (b) Found guilty by a court of a sexual offense, such as: (1) A sexually violent predator, or (2) A nonresident sex offender who is a student or worker within this state.

NRS 209.081 “Offender” means any person convicted of a crime under the laws of this state and sentenced to imprisonment in the state prison.
C. DUTY OF HONESTY

1. NO DECEIT, FRAUD OR DISHONESTY

Whether acting as a principal or an agent, a licensee has a duty to all parties not to be deceitful, fraudulent or dishonest. Deceit is the act of intentionally or recklessly giving a false impression or statement, so that another person will rely on it. It includes any conviction involving bad faith, dishonesty, a lack of integrity, or moral turpitude. Dishonesty is the act of not telling the truth. In other words, the licensee must at all times be honest. Webster’s defines honesty as “adherence to the facts.”

Licensee’s Personal Affairs: The duty of honesty extends past the licensee’s business activities and into his or her personal affairs. Nevada’s Supreme Court found, “Moral turpitude” is conduct contrary to justice, honesty, or morality and includes offenses involving fraud, breach of trust, perjury and intentional dishonesty for personal gain. State Bar of Nevada v. Claiborne, 104 Nev. 115, 756 P.2d 464 (1988). See also RED Open House, Fall 2007, page 3.

Dishonest Activities: Activities that constitute deceitful, fraudulent or dishonest behavior include, but are not limited to, any material misrepresentation or false promises of a character likely to influence, persuade or induce the listener’s reliance on the misrepresentation; guaranteeing future profits on the resale of property; submitting any false or fraudulent appraisal to a financial institution or other interested person; naming false consideration in a document; filling with the Division any false documents with willful, material misstatements of fact, and misrepresentation in the sale of home protection insurance.

How certain brokerage fees are labeled may cause RED concern. Calling a charge a name that implies the fee is required by law when it is not, will subject the broker to RED discipline. For instance, regulations require a broker to maintain brokerage transaction files for five years. Some brokers have charged their clients for this file storage by calling the charge, among other terms, a “regulatory compliance fee.” The Division has stated this is misleading “conduct which constitutes deceitful, fraudulent or dishonest dealing…”

The licensee’s duty of honesty includes the duty to deal fairly with all parties to a transaction, not just the client.
Discipline: The Real Estate Commission may discipline any licensee convicted of any crime involving fraud, deceit, misrepresentation or moral turpitude. It may discipline whether or not the licensee pled nolo contendere (no contest) or guilty. The Division may file criminal charges against any person, licensee or not, who sells real property by intentional misrepresentation, deceit or fraud.

2. SILENCE AS DECEIT

A corollary to the duty to be honest is the duty to speak the whole truth and not be misleading by silence. Generally, mere silence is not a misrepresentation unless there is a duty to speak. Licensees have such a duty. Nevada’s agency laws impose upon the licensee the duty to disclose to each party all known material facts about the property. Additionally, the licensee must disclose to the client all known facts about the transaction.

Nevada’s Supreme Court has said a licensee’s willful silence in the face of a client’s expressed misunderstanding is “more deceit than any other category that the court can find...” A party may have a mistaken belief about the property, elements of the transaction, or be ignorant of a material aspect or defect. If the licensee knows of the mistaken impression, the licensee has the duty to correct the misunderstanding. If the licensee remains silent, this is deceit as much as an affirmative lie.

If a fact is required to be disclosed by the licensee, no instruction from a client can absolve the licensee from liability for willfully withholding the information.

50. NRS 645.990 (1)(b).
52. NRS 645.252 (1).
53. NRS 645.254 (3)(c).
D. REASONABLE SKILL AND CARE: COMPETENCY

1. STANDARD OF CARE

In any transaction, the licensee is required to exercise reasonable skill and care. What is “reasonable skill and care?” It is the degree of care that a reasonably prudent real estate licensee would exercise in similar circumstances. In other words, the licensee must not act incompetently or with gross negligence.

At a minimum, a licensee is expected to have the knowledge required to obtain a then current real estate license and to act on that knowledge. The minimum is just that, a minimum. A licensee may be held to a higher level of competency and skill if a licensee has, or claims to have, greater knowledge, expertise, or a specialization.

The licensee must keep informed of the current laws and regulations that impact the licensee’s real estate practice. Brokers who have agents are required to familiarize their licensees with all relevant current federal and state laws. Additionally, the broker must ensure there is a system in place for monitoring the licensee’s compliance with this regulation.

2. NEGLIGENCE

The licensee will have liability if the licensee’s gross negligence causes harm. What is negligence? Negligence is a legal term used to identify unreasonable, but generally unintentional behavior that causes another damage or harm.

Elements of Negligence: Briefly, there are four elements to negligence. All four must exist before there is a legal cause of action. Those elements are duty, breach, cause and harm.

To have liability there must first be a duty. The licensee’s duties are found in statute, regulation, common law, and contract. Those sources outline what must be done and avoided in the licensee’s relationship with his or her client, other parties in the transaction, the public, the licensee’s peers and broker, and the Real Estate Division.

The second element is a breach of that duty. A licensee may breach a duty by not doing something one is obligated to do (“nonfeasance”); by doing something one is not supposed to do (“malfeasance”); or by doing something in a careless or haphazard manner (“misfeasance”). For example, it is nonfeasance if the licensee does not provide a copy of the brokerage agreement to the client (NRS 645.300); malfeasance if the licensee discloses a client’s confidences (NRS 645.254(2)); and misfeasance when a licensee overlooks some material term of the purchase agreement (NRS 645.254(4)).

The third element requires the breach of duty to have caused the harm. For instance, a listing agent inadvertently tells the buyers that the sellers are divorcing and need to sell quickly. This is an unauthorized disclosure of a seller confidence. Several weeks later...
the buyers, unhappy with the property condition, terminate the escrow. The sellers have lost the sale. In this scenario the listing agent breached his duty to the sellers when he disclosed the sellers’ confidential information; however, the sellers’ loss was not a result of the agent’s breach. There is no causal link between the licensee’s breach and the sellers’ harm. The agent’s negligent act of disclosing the divorce was not the cause of the buyers rejecting the property.

Nevertheless, this does not mean the sellers are without recourse for the agent’s breach. The sellers may file a complaint with the Division and the licensee may be subject to regulatory discipline.65

Finally, there must be damages or harm. Harm occurs when the licensee breaches a duty and that breach causes an injury, loss or detriment to the client. Often, a client’s loss may be remedied by money (damages).66 There are various legal restrictions on the amount and type of damages a person may claim under negligence. At no time should a licensee discuss negligence damages with a client.

Strict Liability: Under certain circumstances the law may impose liability strictly for violating a statute or regulation without anyone being harmed.67 For example, failing to put a definite termination date on an exclusive brokerage agreement eliminates the broker’s right to collect a commission regardless of whether the client was harmed.68

“Gross negligence” is negligent behavior with a reckless disregard for the consequences of one’s actions. It requires the licensee to have understood and ignored the potential harm.69 The statutes generally impose regulatory liability on the licensee for the licensee’s gross negligence.

NAC 645.605 lists a series of actions that if not followed, the Commission may consider the lack of doing those things, gross negligence.

---

65. NRS 645.633 (1)(b).
67. NRS 645.630, 645.633 and 645.635.
69. Hart v. Kline, 61 Nev. 96, 116 P.2d 672 (1941). See also NAC 645.605
E. DISCLOSURE: “SHOULD HAVE KNOWN” – DUTY TO INVESTIGATE

1. SOURCE

The licensee has a duty to disclose to each party to the transaction any material and relevant facts, data, or information which he or she knows, or which, by the exercise of reasonable care and diligence, should have known, relating to the property.\(^70\)

Standard of Care: The standard of care is the legal level against which a person’s behavior is judged. It stems from the idea that a “reasonable person” will act in a certain way - usually with prudence, diligence, and care not to harm anyone or anything. This is the general standard of care.

A real estate licensee is assumed to have a superior knowledge of real estate transactions. With that superior knowledge there is a heightened standard of care. For a real estate licensee, the minimum standard of care is the knowledge to pass a then current real estate license exam.\(^71\)

This heightened standard of care requires a licensee to know and follow all the duties imposed by law. Disclosure is a core duty. A licensee must disclose any material facts relating to the property of which the licensee has actual knowledge.\(^72\)

Actual Knowledge: What is actual knowledge? The court has found,\(^73\)

- [a]ctual knowledge consists not only of what one certainly knows, but also consists in information which he might obtain by investigating facts which he does know and which impose upon him a duty to investigate.\(^73\)

The law will not allow a licensee to ignore “danger signals” or “red lights,”\(^74\) to gloss over conflicting information, or to act carelessly in obtaining material facts about the property under the excuse that the licensee did not “know for certain” relevant facts.

The question becomes what facts, data or information must the licensee investigate and how thoroughly? A licensee is required to investigate “any material and relevant facts, data or information relating to the property” using “reasonable care and diligence.”\(^75\)

2. RELATING TO THE PROPERTY

The duty to investigate covers those facts relating to the property. “Relating to the property” normally means items specific to the individual property, but may include the investigation of some information which may impact the property or its use such as a proposed highway, shopping center, or school.\(^76\)

a. When Must A Licensee Investigate – Generally, a licensee is responsible for the reasonable investigation of information, data or facts if: 1) the information concerns an item that directly impacts the property; 2) the licensee has volunteered, or claims an expertise in, any relevant information; 3) the information is required to be disclosed by law; or 4) the broker has explicitly contracted with the client to be responsible for obtaining certain information.

Impacts the Property: The licensee must investigate any item directly related to, or that impacts, the physical condition of
for the property. For example, in Epperson v. Roloff, (1986), the listing agent was told by the seller the home had a “solar implication.” The agent did not ask the client to explain what a solar implication might be. Nevertheless, he told potential buyers the house had a solar feature which really saves on winter heating costs. The feature turned out to be a hole in the roof covered with corrugated sheet metal painted black. The buyers sued the broker and sellers for misrepresentation. The listing agent had a duty to the buyers to disclose all relevant facts about the property. He breached that duty by not investigating and disclosing what the “solar feature” really was.

The level of investigation imposed by the law does not require the licensee to become a “property inspector,” NRS 645.252(4)(c) but it does require reasonable and common sense inquiry into the physical condition of the property.

Volunteered Information: When the licensee has volunteered specific information or claims an expertise in a certain topic, the licensee will be held responsible for its reasonable accuracy. This does not mean the licensee guarantees the information; however, the licensee must take reasonable steps to insure the information is up-to-date and sufficiently correct. For example, a property fronts an extensive tract of undeveloped land. If the licensee states the land is controlled by the Bureau of Land Management and won’t be developed, that volunteered statement must be accurate and current. Before the licensee volunteers facts, he or she has a duty to investigate the truthfulness of any statement.

Disclosure Required by Law: A licensee has a duty to investigate property facts that may require certain disclosures obligatory by law. For example, Washoe County, Nevada, has a Solid-Fuel Burning Device (wood stove) regulation. The real estate licensee has a duty to investigate whether or not a unit has a fireplace or wood burning stove and then to provide the seller with the required disclosure form. However, the licensee would not be required to determine if an existing wood stove was in compliance with Code. (That type of inspection requires a county certificate.)

Contracted Investigation: Finally, the broker may, by contract, assume a duty to investigate and disclose facts that are beyond those required by law. For example, the broker and client may agree that the broker will investigate whether the property can be zoned for a particular future use. At all times the broker must be careful not to provide services requiring a license, permit or certification which the broker does not have.

Remember, the purpose of the duty to investigate is to find and disclose material and relevant facts about the property so that a principal may make an informed decision.

b. What Must Be Investigated – When a fact relates to the property, the licensee is required to investigate if it is “material and relevant.” The Nevada Supreme Court, quoting the Restatement of Agency §390, stated,

A fact is material… if it is one which the agent should realize would be likely to affect the judgment of the principal … which he should realize [is] likely to have a bearing upon the desirability of the transaction…

Anything impacting the physical condition of the property is material and relevant. Anything that affects the property’s value or use is material and relevant.
c. Exemptions – Certain material facts that may be relevant to the client are by law not considered material to the transaction. With certain restrictions, these facts are exempted from the licensee’s duty of disclosure and investigation. Thus, the licensee does not have any liability if those facts are not disclosed.\(^81\)

There are restrictions to each of these that the licensee must know. For example, a seller does not need to disclose if the property was a site of a felony. The restriction is if the property was the site of a meth lab and not “rehabilitated,” then the seller, and licensee, must disclose. These exemptions may be waived if the broker has an agreement with the client to investigate or disclose any of the above.\(^82\)

Statements by Experts: A licensee is not responsible for investigating or verifying any statements of, or work performed by, a licensed or certified professional, expert, or property inspector licensed under NRS 645D.\(^83\) For example, if a licensed roofer has given the buyer a report that the roof is sufficient, the licensee is not responsible for climbing on the roof to verify the roofer’s professional opinion.

d. Representations of the Client – The licensee has the right to rely on the client’s representations about the property unless the licensee knows, or should know, the information is false. The Nevada Supreme Court has said it will not “hold an agent… responsible for an independent search for concealed facts, in the absence of any information which would have put the agent on notice.”\(^84\)

By law, it cannot be assumed that the licensee has the client’s knowledge about the property.\(^85\) Furthermore, a licensee cannot be held liable for the Seller’s non-disclosure of information on the Seller’s Real Property Disclosure Statement, if:

1. The licensee did not know of the non-disclosure, and

2. The information is of public record.\(^86\)

The licensee will be held liable for non-disclosure if the licensee knows the client made a misrepresentation and did not tell the recipient that the statement was false.\(^87\) As with absolute fidelity, the courts will not allow a licensee who knew better to hide behind a client’s misrepresentation.\(^88\) The bottom line? The licensee may rely on information provided by the client unless such reliance is unreasonable. If a licensee, has information which would serve as a “red light” to any normal person of her intelligence and experience [or]... is aware of facts from which a reasonable person would be alerted to make further inquiry, then he or she has a duty to investigate further and is not justified in relying on the seller’s description of the property.\(^89\)

3. CONCERNING THE TRANSACTION

Facts that concern the transaction must be disclosed to the licensee’s client.\(^90\) This disclosure may require the licensee to reasonably investigate certain aspects of the transaction. These may include, but are not limited to, facts about the escrow, relevant loan information, or the status of other transactions (for example, contingency sale property).

However, a licensee is not required and has no duty to conduct an independent inspection of the financial condition of a party to a real estate transaction. The licensee should not be acting as a loan officer, financial or tax consultant.\(^91\) A licensee should not request, investigate, or review a party’s credit report.
A licensee may reasonably rely on financial information provided by the client. In Collins v. Burns (1987), Golanty was the listing agent of a small liquor store owned by Burns. He prepared a sales-and-expense fact sheet and a profit chart using financial figures provided by his client. Relying on the chart and the licensee’s fact sheet, Collins purchased the store. She later defaulted on the note and Burns sued for the unpaid principal. Collins countersued and claimed she was defrauded as the information on the fact sheet and chart were grossly inaccurate. The court found for Collins. Although Golanty drafted the chart and fact sheet, he was not a party to the Supreme Court case. He had reasonably relied on the information provided by his client and there was nothing in that information that would have alerted Golanty to his client’s misrepresentation.

4. LEVEL OF INVESTIGATION

A licensee is not required to conduct an investigation of the condition of the property which is the subject of the real estate transaction. (NRS 645.252(4)(c)) This statute relieves the licensee of the duty to act as a property inspector. Property inspectors are licensed individuals and are experts in their field. Under this statute, a licensee is not required to perform acts that should be done by licensed property inspectors. However, this does not relieve the licensee from his or her duty to exercise reasonable care and diligence. If a question arises as to the condition of the property, the licensee must address that issue by referring the client to a qualified expert. A licensee will not escape liability for not exercising reasonable care and diligence by using the argument that he or she has no duty to investigate.

Once a licensee is required to investigate, how detailed should that investigation be? The statute says the licensee must investigate using reasonable care and diligence. Reasonable care is taking the time and effort necessary to gather the required paperwork and review it for “red lights”. It means looking at the property and comparing it with the seller’s statements to ensure the property is what is represented. During this review, the licensee is looking for something out of the ordinary that either contradicts what has been stated, differs from what the licensee knows, or is otherwise amiss.

This reasonable level of investigation does not mean a licensee should interpret documents, determine their legal consequences, or act as an appraiser, property inspector, loan officer, lawyer, or private investigator. As discussed elsewhere, the licensee should not attempt to perform any service not specific to the real estate transaction.

The duty to investigate does require the licensee to have sufficient knowledge to identify any warning signs and to point out these warning signs to the client. Once a concern is identified, the licensee should suggest the client obtain further professional services.

“Statement” refers not just to what is said, but to all the various representations of the seller such as the multiple listing service property profile print-out, the Seller’s Real Property Disclosure Form, and relevant advertising.
F. SUPPLEMENTARY SERVICES

1. BEYOND THE LICENSEE’S EXPERTISE

If a licensee is asked by a client to perform services outside the licensee’s expertise, the client must be told the matter is beyond the licensee’s current proficiency and advise the client to obtain guidance from an expert. This does not mean the licensee cannot expand into new areas. It does mean if the licensee is unfamiliar with a property type or service, the licensee is required to disclose that fact to the client and obtain the assistance of a qualified authority before proceeding.

2. BEYOND THE LICENSEE’S AUTHORITY

A licensee may be disciplined for providing specialized professional services outside the licensee’s authority. It does not matter if the advice is accurate or the service well done. Liability lies in doing the thing, not in its result. “Matters beyond the expertise of the licensee” include, but are not limited to, activities that requires a license, permit or professional certification. If the licensee does not have such a license, permit or certification, the activity is automatically beyond the licensee’s authority.

94. NRS 645.254 (3)(d).
95. NAC 645.605 (3).
A licensee must be aware of the boundary between authorized real estate services and unauthorized services. Often a licensee is requested by a client to advise or act on a matter for which the licensee is not authorized. Sometimes however, the licensee, willingly and without instruction, crosses this boundary.

In Goldstein v. Hanna (1981), Callahan was the listing agent for a condominium owned by Hanna. Goldstein and Hanna signed a lease with option to purchase to close by December 9, "unless exercised prior thereto." During the lease period, Goldstein exercised the option and signed a purchase agreement with another buyer using a double escrow. Both closing dates were set for August 29. Prior to the closing, the ultimate buyer backed out. Goldstein contacted Callahan who advised him the option was still good until December 9. This was both a misstatement of the law and beyond Callahan's authority. Goldstein, justifiably relying on Callahan's statement, did not close on August 29. The seller then cancelled the escrow and attempted to get the property back. Goldstein sued Hanna for specific performance citing his reliance on Callahan's statement. Though the court's decision was split, Hanna eventually lost the property.

The two areas in which a licensee is most likely to provide unauthorized services are with legal and appraisal activities. Clients will ask a licensee to tell them their rights under a contract, or ask what their legal options are. Additionally, clients often ask the licensee what a property is worth or the value of a business. Because these activities are so intricately woven into the fabric of a real estate transaction, the licensee can easily slip into providing unauthorized answers and services. If he or she does so, it is a violation of not only NRS 645, but of the statutes regulating those professions.

Unauthorized Practice of Law: The unauthorized practice of law is strictly prohibited in Nevada. It is monitored by the State Bar of Nevada under the control of the Nevada Supreme Court. Unfortunately, there is no statute or regulation which defines what activities constitute the practice of law. Through case law, the courts have provided a list of some activities that are considered "practicing law." These include discussing with a client the legal effect of an act; interpreting legal provisions in a document; discussing the rights or responsibilities of the parties; selecting and providing pre-printed legal documents; or determining the legal sufficiency of any action or document.

Many of the actions done daily by real estate licensees come close to those listed above. Some of these are found in the very definition of a real estate broker. Some are required by the broker's statutory duties. Other activities are inherent in the nature of the broker/client relationship. Nevertheless, the unauthorized practice of law is a very fine line which the licensee must stringently guard against stepping over. As with any question of unauthorized professional counsel, the licensee should suggest the client obtain advice from an expert.

Appraisal and the BPO: An "appraisal" is "an analysis, opinion or conclusion, written or oral, relating to the nature, quality, value... of, identified real estate for or with the expectation of receiving compensation." In Nevada, only appraisers are authorized to prepare an appraisal. Appraisers are licensed and regulated by the Real Estate Division.

An option once exercised, even if before the option's ultimate cut-off date, terminates the option and the contract becomes a bilateral purchase agreement. Once the option is exercised, if the sale isn't completed, the option cannot be resurrected.

Ubiquity does not remove illegality.
One common real estate activity is often confused with an appraisal. A broker’s price opinion (BPO) is a compilation of recent sales of similar properties in the general location of the target property. Real estate agents may prepare a BPO for prospective clients to help establish an estimated sale price. 108 A real estate licensee is exempt from the appraisal statutes only when providing limited services within the scope of a real estate license. 109

Often lenders and other interested entities will request a licensee to give them an estimate of value for a fee without intending to utilize the licensee’s real estate services. A licensee providing this service would be in breach of appraisal and real estate brokerage laws, and subject to discipline from both the Real Estate Commission and the Appraisal Commission. 110

To avoid crossing into appraisal services, the law (NRS 645) now defines the BPO, and sets forth the persons for whom and the purposes for which a BPO may be prepared for a fee by a real estate licensee whose “license is in good standing.” It creates limitations on the uses to which opinions prepared for specified clients may be put and requires the inclusion of a disclaimer in all BPOs stating that it is “not an appraisal of the market value of the property” for which the “services of a licensed or certified appraiser must be obtained.” 111

Other Services: Even though client requests for legal and appraisal services are most common, those are not the only professional services a client may ask for – or an enthusiastic licensee may attempt to provide. Other services include, but are not limited to, home inspection, tax and income advice, and property management. When asked to do any unauthorized activity, the licensee must either have the appropriate license, permit or certification, or refer the client to the appropriate professional. 112

The licensee must be aware of the pitfall of relying on a client’s authorization to perform any unauthorized activity. As with many areas of real estate agency law, a client’s instruction does not make an illegal act legal.

108. SB 184, sec 8
109. NRS 645C.150 (4).
110. SB 184, sec. 1, NAC 645.05 (3) and NRS 645C.260.
111. SB 184, sec. 1
112 NRS 645.254 (3)(d).
G. REVIEW

The licensee's duties are found in statute, regulation, and in the common law. Most codified duties evolved from the common law using common law terms such as “fiduciary,” “absolute fidelity,” “negligence,” and “should have known.” Generally, these terms are not defined in statute or regulation.

Absolute fidelity means putting the client’s interests before the licensee’s interest. A licensee may have an interest in the property or in the transaction. This interest must be disclosed in writing. Sometimes, even if an interest is disclosed, a licensee may not act on that interest if it would harm the client.

A licensee must be honest and not act in a deceitful, fraudulent or dishonest manner in either the licensee’s personal or professional Life. Silence, when there is a duty to speak, is deceit.

The licensee must exercise reasonable skill and care toward all parties in the transaction. This includes not acting in a negligent manner. Negligence is unreasonable, but generally unintentional, behavior that causes harm.

The term “should have known” creates the duty to investigate. The licensee must reasonably investigate material and relevant information relating to the property and the transaction. This duty has limitations and restrictions, but those restrictions do not allow the licensee to ignore obvious warning signs that something is amiss.

Lastly, the licensee should be cautious of activities outside the licensee’s expertise or authority, especially legal or appraisal services.
III. NEVADA LAW ON BROKERAGE AGREEMENTS
The brokerage agreement is one of the most important contracts with which a licensee must deal. It defines the relationship between the client and the broker. Discussed in this chapter are the legal parameters to the creation and termination of the brokerage agreement, the two types of representation found in Nevada (open or exclusive), legal aspects to compensation, and some characteristics of specialized brokerage agreements.

### A. CREATION AND TERMINATION

#### 1. EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT

A broker’s legal relationship with the client starts with an employment contract called a brokerage agreement. In the brokerage agreement, the broker promises to provide real estate related services for valuable consideration. Real estate related services include the broker assisting, soliciting, or negotiating on behalf of the client for the sale, purchase, option, rental or lease of real property. The consideration may be paid either by the client or another person.¹

A listing contract is a seller’s brokerage agreement; a buyer’s brokerage agreement is with a buyer. Specialized brokerage agreements such as commercial, advance fee, manufactured home, and listing with option to purchase, as well as property management agreements, are discussed in their own sections.

#### 2. BROKER REPRESENTS CLIENT

There are only two parties to a brokerage agreement, the client and the broker. Though a licensed broker-salesperson or salesperson may represent the brokerage,² the legal relationship is between the broker and the client regardless of whether or not the broker knows the individual client. The broker always retains overall responsibility for the brokerage relationship.³

Only a broker may collect compensation for real estate related services. No broker-salesperson or salesperson may accept compensation from, or pay compensation to, any person other than a broker (or owner-developer) with whom, at the time of the transaction, he or she is licensed.⁴ If a non-broker licensee does accept or pay compensation to anyone other than the broker, that licensee is subject to a fine up to $10,000, and may have conditions placed on his or her license, such as suspension, revocation, or denied renewal.⁵

a. Independent Contractor – Most non-broker licensees (salesperson and broker-salesperson) are independent contractors; nevertheless, by law they cannot enter into their own brokerage agreements with clients. NRS 645 restricts a non-broker licensee from being hired independent of a broker. For example, broker-salespersons or salespersons:

1. May not perform real estate related services without being associated with a licensed broker.⁶

---

¹ A “client” is a person who has entered into a brokerage agreement with a broker. NRS 645.009

² “Actual knowledge” means the broker personally knows of the client. In larger brokerage houses, the broker may have scores of agents making it difficult, if not impossible, for the broker to know each individual client.

³ NRS 645.005.

⁴ NRS 645.035 & NRS 645.040.

⁵ NRS 645.660 (3) & NAC 645.600 (4).

⁶ NRS 645.280.

⁷ NRS 645.630 (1)(c) & NRS 645.633 (1)(c).

⁸ NRS 645.035 & NRS 645.040.
2. May not accept compensation from anyone other than the broker;\(^7\)

3. May not advertise without the broker’s supervision;\(^8\) and

4. May not hold a client’s funds, but must “promptly” turn over any money to the broker.\(^9\)

The broker is the entity that represents the client. This is true even if the person dealing with the client is a salesperson or broker-salesperson. The broker’s salesperson actually represents the broker and all of the salesperson’s activities are done through, and in the name of, the brokerage. Thus, in a technical sense, when a salesperson is referred to as the “client’s agent” the wording is incorrect - it is the broker who is the client’s agent.

b. Broker Liability – The broker gives the salesperson, or broker-salesperson, authority to act for the broker. For example, a broker may give an agent the authority to sign a brokerage contract, to negotiate compensation, and to set the level of services or representation.\(^10\) However, at no time does this transfer of authority transfer the broker’s liability. The broker must always supervise any agents and is ultimately responsible for the agents’ actions.\(^11\)

This does not remove the salesperson’s individual responsibilities. Each broker-salesperson or salesperson has legal duties toward the client, the broker, professional peers, and the public, and may be held personally liable if those duties are breached.\(^12\)

c. Who Pays – For a valid brokerage agreement, the client does not need to be the one who pays the broker. Legally binding agreements may occur regardless of whether the broker’s compensation is paid by the client, the other party’s broker, or some agreed upon third person.\(^13\)

3. FORM OF BROKERAGE AGREEMENT

Brokerage Agreements are employment contracts and like other contracts, they have the same formation requirements. There must be a “meeting of the minds”; the contract must have definite terms as to: subject matter, parties, compensation (payment), and time of performance, and there must be consideration. At a minimum, there must be the mutual understanding that the broker will accept valuable consideration from the client or a third person for the performance of real estate related services.\(^14\)

Brokerage agreements may be oral or written.\(^15\) Nevada has no mandatory brokerage agreement form. Though there is no required form, Nevada’s Attorney General has stated the Real Estate Division is within its authority to adopt regulations governing the content of brokerage agreements.\(^16\) Since there is no mandatory form, with a few exceptions, all of the terms of the contract depend on the agreement of the parties.

Oral Contracts: Oral brokerage agreements are legal contracts in which a broker agrees to represent a client with the intention or expectation of compensation and there is no written contract. No oral brokerage agreement may be exclusive.\(^17\)

With an oral listing contract, the seller is only obligated to compensate the broker if the broker’s services were the procuring cause of a successful transaction.\(^18\) Regardless of the amount of time, energy or money a listing broker expends representing a client, if the broker is not the procuring cause the client is not obligated to pay.\(^19\)

The term “independent contractor” as applied to real estate licensees is most often used as a federal tax income designation. 26 USC §3508, also see (AGO) 1956-160 (1956). But Nevada law provides for various other designations (See NRS 612.133 and NRS 616A.220).
As with most oral agreements, should a dispute arise the broker would have to satisfactorily establish to the court the existence and terms of the contract. Additionally, in order to collect a commission, the broker will have to prove he or she was the procuring cause of the transaction.

Written Contracts: Though it is legal to have oral brokerage agreements, all exclusive agency brokerage agreements must be in writing. Under Nevada law, written brokerage contracts have certain statutory requirements regarding terms, form, and distribution.²⁰

Client Must Receive a Copy: If the brokerage agreement is in writing, once it is signed by the broker and the client, the client must receive a copy.²¹ The copy should be given to the client when it is signed or as soon thereafter as possible. To verify that the client received the copy, the broker may have a “receipt acknowledgment” incorporated into the form.

Should the broker fail to leave a copy of the agreement with the client, the broker is subject to a disciplinary fine of up to $10,000, and administrative action.²² To verify compliance with these laws, the Real Estate Division requires each brokerage agreement be kept by the broker for five years from the date of closing or last activity on the transaction.²³

“Valuable consideration” includes any type of compensation: the commission, finder’s fee, referral fee, advanced fee, payment, or gift if given in exchange for services. It can be anything of value, not just money.
4. A WORD ABOUT ELECTRONIC BROKERAGE AGREEMENTS

Generally, Nevada law authorizes an electronic format for any transaction or contract in which the parties agree to conduct their business electronically.\(^24\) By law, an electronic record satisfies any statute that requires the contract to be in writing. An electronic signature satisfies any statute requiring a signature.\(^25\)

There is a difference between an electronic signature and a digital signature. An electronic signature is an electronic sound, symbol or process attached to, or logically associated with, an electronic record and executed or adopted by a person with the intent to sign the record.\(^26\) No particular type of electronic signature is required. A digital signature is an electronic signature that uses an asymmetric cryptosystem to verify to the reader the identity of the signer.\(^27\)

Some software packages, such as Microsoft Office, use certain technology to enable the writer to digitally sign a file by using a preset certificate. This internal certificate is a piece of technology that confirms the file or document originated from the signer and that it has not been altered by unauthorized third persons. The internal or self-signed certificates are considered unauthenticated when the document is sent to another computer unless the certificate was issued by a formal certification authority. A person must apply to a commercial certification authority to obtain an authenticated digital certificate. As with all modern technology, electronic formats are changing rapidly. What is cutting edge today will be passé tomorrow.

Intend to be Bound: Before entering into any electronically created brokerage agreement, the licensee should be aware of an issue concerning NRS 719, the statutes regulating electronic contracts, and NAC 645, the administrative code regulating real estate licensees. NRS 719 allows for the creation of a valid contract purely electronically. NAC 645.613 requires the licensee to “obtain appropriate signatures before entering into a relationship as the agent of a client.” The regulation goes on to state that “ ‘appropriate signature’ means the legal signature of the client.” NRS 719 allows for a legal signature to be created electronically.

The intent of the Real Estate Commission in NAC 645.613 was to require proof a client agreed to sign the brokerage agreement. Specifically, the Commissioners did not want only “the clicking of an acceptance box,”\(^28\) either on the internet or in an e-mail, to create a binding brokerage agreement. The prospective client must know he or she is signing a contract and must intend to be bound by it – regardless of whether the contract is created orally, in writing, or electronically.

5. TERMINATION

a. Events Causing Termination – As with all contracts, certain events will terminate the contract. Generally, and unless the contract provides otherwise, these events are:
   - Completion of the contract’s purpose;
   - Termination by contract term;
   - Mutual agreement;
   - Impossibility of performance;
   - Breach;
   - Operation of law; or
   - A party’s death.
Completion of the contract’s purpose: The purpose of any brokerage agreement is the employment of a broker by a client to perform real estate related services for compensation. Once the contract is fully performed, the purpose of the contract is ended and the contract terminates.

Though a brokerage agreement ends, each party may continue to have certain responsibilities stemming from the contract. Some of these, such as the licensee’s duty of confidentiality, are statutorily identified as extending past the revocation or termination of the brokerage agreement - in the case of confidentiality, it is one year.

Termination by contract term: The contract may state it will terminate upon a certain event. Usually, that event is a certain date, but it may be any agreed upon event. With an oral brokerage agreement, if the contract does not have a termination date it will remain effective for a “reasonable time.” How long is a reasonable time? It is subject to the court’s determination and decided case by case.

All written brokerage agreements must have a set termination date. Each exclusive representation brokerage agreement is required to be in writing and have a definite, specified and complete termination date. That date legally ends the representation, but not necessarily the right to compensation or other statutory obligations.

A brokerage agreement may end upon the occurrence of a specific event. For example, a seller may be attempting to sell a home due to a job transfer. The listing contract has both a specific termination date and alternatively, a clause that provides the brokerage agreement will terminate 24 hours after the seller gives the broker proof of her transfer date. The idea being if the home is not sold by either the contract’s termination date or the transfer date, then, the client’s employer’s relocation company will acquire the home.

Termination by mutual agreement: A brokerage agreement may be terminated by either party. Depending on the circumstances, the termination may be conditional or unconditional.

An unconditional release is when both the broker and the client mutually agree to terminate the brokerage agreement and end the relationship. At this point the broker and client part without any further

Some professional associations, such as the National Association of Realtors®, have more stringent behavior guidelines. For example, NAR’s Code of Ethics, Article 2, has no time limit on keeping the client’s confidences.

A word about dates: when the contract calls for a date, each licensee should have access to a calendar that identifies nonjudicial days and holidays. Nonjudicial days are days when the courts are closed. Often these are the major national and state holidays. NRS 1.130

29. NRS 645.254 (2).
31. NRS 645.633 (1)(f).
32. NRS 645.320(2).
contractual obligation to the other. The termination of the brokerage agreement does not release the broker from certain statutory duties such as confidentiality.

A conditional release is when the broker stops representing the client but holds the client to the payment of compensation. This type of termination usually occurs when the client or broker “fires” the other.

The Nevada Supreme Court stated “absent a contractual provision to the contrary, an independent contractor/principal agency relationship is terminable at any time at the will of the principal or the agent.”  

A client has the right to refuse to work with any specific broker-salesman or salesman; however, as the brokerage agreement is with the broker, the brokerage agreement continues in force. When this happens, the broker may transfer the client to another agent or release the client from the brokerage agreement. The broker has no right to force a client to work with a particular agent. However, if the client refuses to work with the brokerage without cause, the client has breached the employment agreement.

Impossibility of performance: A contract will terminate if, through no fault of either party, the contract becomes impossible to perform. For example, the seller’s property burns down - now there is nothing to sell. The seller could terminate the brokerage agreement based on the impossibility of performance. The performance need not be totally impossible, only highly impractical. The act creating the impossibility must have been reasonably unforeseeable.

Breach: The brokerage agreement may be terminated when either party breaches it. A breach occurs when the client or the broker does not perform obligations under the contract.

If the client is claiming the broker breached the contract, the broker may not be entitled to any compensation. The client may sue for any actual damages the client suffered due to the broker’s breach.

If the broker believes the client has breached the contract, the broker is entitled to any compensation originally agreed to and earned before the breach, or may sue for any alternative remedies provided in the contract.

Recording a Lis Pendens: The brokerage agreement is a contract for services. The only way to enforce its terms is either through ADR or court action. Unfortunately, some licensees believe they can record a lis pendens to stop the ex-client’s escrow when there is a dispute about compensation or the brokerage agreement.

A lis pendens is a notice recorded in the county Recorder’s Office that lets the world know there is litigation pending about the title to, or possession of, real property. It may only be recorded after a legal complaint is filed with the court (NRS 14.010).

As an employment contract, the brokerage agreement is not a claim for title to, or possession of, real property. Therefore, no authorization or right is given to the broker to record a lis pendens against a seller’s property. Should a broker inappropriately record a lis pendens, the broker is subject to a counter-suit for slander of title.

“Operation of Law”: Most contracts will terminate when the parties cannot perform their obligations under the contract because of a legal change in the status of a party. Usually, the parties do not intend for the law to change their rights or liabilities, but it happens anyway. For example, by “operation of law” a duly executed brokerage agreement may be avoided by a client who is a minor.
In Nevada, anyone under eighteen years old is a child and cannot legally enter into a contract. Therefore, any contract signed by the minor is not enforced by the other party. The contract is voidable by the minor by “operation of law”.

A Party’s Death: It is old law in Nevada that a client’s death terminates the agency. Nevertheless, the broker may still have rights under the original brokerage agreement for the collection of compensation. For example, a broker has a listing contract with a client. The broker finds a buyer for the client’s property and the parties sign a purchase agreement. Toward the end of the escrow, the seller dies; however, the client’s estate chooses to proceed with the escrow. The broker does not automatically represent the estate since her client, the seller, has died. Nevertheless, the broker has fulfilled her contractual obligations by procuring a ready, willing and able buyer, and is entitled to the agreed upon compensation. The broker has rights under the original brokerage agreement and could sue the estate should it not pay her.

A Licensee’s Death: Upon the death of a licensee, his or her real estate license automatically expires. Without a valid real estate license, there can be no legal representation. If the broker-salesperson or salesperson dies, the brokerage agreement continues in place because the brokerage agreement is with the broker. If the broker dies, historically, the brokerage agreement automatically ends; however, by regulation, another licensed broker may act in the deceased’s broker’s place for up to sixty (60) days after the original broker’s death. The new broker must submit an affidavit to the Division within 7 business days from the date of death. In either case, the client or the client’s estate is responsible for paying any compensation earned by the broker before death.

When the Broker is a Corporation:
A broker may be a corporation. Corporations have perpetual existence. Every corporation that files for a broker’s license must designate one of its officers its corporate broker. That officer must have a broker’s license. If the designated corporate broker dies, the broker corporation still exists, but its officers must immediately designate another corporate officer, who is licensed as a broker, as the corporation’s broker.

b. After Termination - When the brokerage agreement terminates, the broker must stop representing the client, remove any personal property from the seller’s property (such as signs), account to the client for all funds, keep the client’s confidences, and do nothing to harm the ex-client or interfere with the transaction even if the broker believes he or she is still owed a commission.

Broker Protection Period: Most exclusive representation agreements have a “broker protection period.” This is a contract clause that gives the broker the right to collect a commission for a set time after the brokerage agreement ends. To collect a commission, the broker must have introduced the property or buyer to the client during the brokerage agreement period. Unless the brokerage agreement allows for the broker to collect if the broker is the procuring cause, upon the end of the broker protection period, the seller may sell the property to a buyer procured by the broker and not owe the broker a commission.

The broker protection period does not allow the broker to continue marketing the property, nor is the broker entitled to a commission if he or she negotiates with a new buyer.
Not all brokerage agreements are created equal. There are two types of representation recognized in Nevada — open or exclusive. Open contracts allow the client to hire several brokers. Exclusive contracts require the seller to work with just one broker. Other forms of brokerage agreements focus not on the type of representation but on how the broker is to be paid, such as in net listings or option listings.

B. TYPES OF REPRESENTATION

1. “OPEN” BROKERAGE AGREEMENT

An open brokerage agreement is where the broker has no exclusive representation of the client – the client may hire any number of other brokers. An open brokerage agreement allows the seller to sell the property without owing a commission. The courts favor the client in determining the level of representation. The more “open” the contract, the better for the client.

Open brokerage agreements may be oral or written. All oral brokerage contracts are considered “open.” All written brokerage agreements are also presumed to be open unless the contract’s terms or title state it is exclusive.

Unless otherwise specified in contract, a broker earns a commission with an open agreement only if the broker is the “procuring cause” of the transaction — that is, the broker finds a buyer ready, willing and able to purchase on the seller’s precise terms. “Precise terms” does not mean the property must be sold at list price: it may be sold at any price the seller agrees to accept.47

The court will usually find the other brokers’ open agreements terminated as soon as the client accepts one broker’s offer. This is the case even if another broker subsequently produces a ready, willing and able prospective buyer. If the other agreements did not automatically terminate, the client could be liable for several commissions.

Implied Brokerage Agreements:
Though not favored by the courts, under some circumstances, a court will find a broker is owed compensation under an implied brokerage agreement.

In Morrow v. Barger, (1987),48 the court found an implied brokerage agreement after the written listing ended. Claire Morrow was a broker who had a written open listing with the Bargers to sell their ranch. During the listing period, Morrow showed the property to three potential buyers. When the written brokerage agreement ended, the sellers instructed Morrow to continue marketing the property – which she did. After a while, the sellers stopped communicating with Morrow. Eventually, Morrow learned that the Bargers personally sold the property to all three buyers in a complicated escrow. Morrow sued for her commission and won. The court said Morrow had an implied open listing; therefore, she was entitled to a commission.
If a seller is aware of the broker's continuing efforts to secure a buyer and the seller does not affirmatively stop the broker, the seller cannot deprive the broker of a commission by ignoring (or firing) the broker and conducting the final negotiations.

2. “EXCLUSIVE” REPRESENTATION AGREEMENTS

a. General – Exclusive agency representation occurs when the broker is the client's sole real estate agent. Generally, there are two types of exclusive agreements; those in which the broker will get paid regardless of who is the procuring cause (with listings, commonly known as an Exclusive Right To Sell), and those in which the client hires the broker as an exclusive agent, but retains the right to sell or find a property without paying a commission (commonly known as an Exclusive Agency).

Exclusive representation protects the broker from interference by other licensees with the client-broker relationship. A licensee, property manager or owner-developer may not negotiate the sale, exchange or lease of real estate with a principal if the client has an exclusive agency agreement in force with another broker. An exception is made if the broker has given written permission to a requesting licensee to negotiate directly with the client. Nevada has a state mandated authorization form. A broker who holds an exclusive agency agreement must cooperate with other brokers whenever it is in the client's best interest.

b. Specific Terms - The law identifies only four provisions that must be included in an enforceable exclusive brokerage agreement. These are:

1. The agreement must be in writing;
2. It must be signed by both the broker and the client or their authorized representatives;
3. The contract must have a definite termination date; and
4. The agreement may not require the client to notify the broker of the client's intention to cancel the exclusive features of the brokerage agreement once the agreement is terminated.

By and large, other than certain requirements for specialized brokerage agreements, all other contract terms are negotiable.

c. Buyer's Brokerage Agreements – In a written buyer's brokerage agreement, all the required terms of the exclusive listing agreement apply. Additionally, though not law, the Real Estate Division has identified various elements that, at a minimum, should be in a buyer's brokerage agreement. These include:

"specified terms, duration, compensation, services agreed upon, and the ability of a client to cancel for non-performance. ... Any special services, circumstances and/or conditions should be spelled out in the agreement."
d. Missing Elements = No Compensation – If an exclusive brokerage agreement is not in writing or if it is missing a necessary legal provision, the broker will be unable to collect a commission.\textsuperscript{55} A faulty exclusive brokerage agreement cannot become an open brokerage agreement. Failure to include a fixed termination date makes the agreement voidable by the client and removes the broker’s ability to claim payment under alternative legal theories of compensation.\textsuperscript{56}

In Bangle v. Holland Realty Inv., Co., (1964),\textsuperscript{57} Nevada’s Supreme Court found that Holland, a licensed real estate broker, could not collect $38,800 for commissions earned from selling Bangle’s houses. Holland had not followed the statute requiring a definite termination date in the exclusive agency brokerage agreement. The court found NRS 645.320 had specific statutory elements for a valid exclusive contract. If those elements were missing, the contract failed and could not be converted to an open contract. Therefore, Holland’s listing contract, by not having a definite termination date as required by statute, was unenforceable. The court held “the purpose of NRS 645.320 is best served by denying any relief to a broker or salesman, who claims an exclusive agency to sell, unless the requirements of the statute are complied with.”

3. LIMITED SERVICE CONTRACTS AND LIABILITY

a. No Transactional Agency – Nevada does not recognize “transactional” agency. Transactional agency is a limited form of agency in which the broker does not represent either party but is hired to facilitate the transaction. A transactional “agent” is more similar to an escrow officer than to a traditional real estate agent. At this time, with the exception of one duty, a broker may not limit the broker’s liability to, or representation of, a client. If a broker provides any real estate related services to anyone for any compensation, the broker takes on all legal duties and their related liability.\textsuperscript{58}

b. Limited Service Contracts – There is a difference between the right of the parties to contract for certain services and the broker’s level of legal liability. Some services are dictated by statutory duties whether or not stated in the contract.

By law, a licensee cannot “contract away” or a client “waive” the statutory duties of NRS 645.252 or NRS 645.254. The public has the right to expect the licensee will adhere to the law and abide by all of the licensee’s legal duties. A broker can be held responsible for not performing all of the stated duties whether or not the client and broker have agreed otherwise.

The sole exception concerns NRS 645.254 (4), which states a licensee has the duty to present all offers made to or by the client as soon as practicable unless the client signs a written waiver. The waiver must be on a state mandated form (Waiver Form) and signed by both the client and the broker.

A licensee and client cannot agree by contract to do an illegal thing – such a contract is automatically void. For example, the broker cannot agree with the client to discriminate against a protected class.\textsuperscript{59} The RED may bring a complaint against a licensee who breaks the law regardless of the terms of any brokerage agreement.

Non-statutory services may be contractually limited. For example, the broker and client may agree that the broker does not have to hold an open house or personally show the property. The broker may have a menu of discretionary services and charge the client piece-meal for those services.

A “void” contract never had legal sufficiency or effect – it is as if the contract never existed.
WAIVER FORM

In representing any client in an agency relationship, a real estate licensee has specific statutory duties to that client. Under Nevada law only one of these duties can be waived. NRS 645.254 requires a licensee to "present all offers made to or by the client as soon as practicable." This duty may be waived by the client.

"Presenting all offers" includes without limitation: accepting delivery of and conveying offers and counteroffers; answering a client's questions regarding offers and counteroffers; and assisting a client in preparing, communicating and negotiating offers and counteroffers.

In order to waive the duty, the client must enter into a written agreement waiving the licensee's obligation to perform the duty to present all offers. By signing below you are agreeing that the licensee who is representing you will not perform the duty of presenting all offers made to or by you with regard to the property located at:

__________________________________________  ____________________________________________
Property Address                                City

AGREEMENT TO WAIVER

By signing below I agree that the licensee who represents me shall not present any offers made to or by me, as defined above. I understand that a real estate transaction has significant legal and financial consequences. I further understand that in any proposed transaction, the other licensee(s) involved represents the interests of the other party, does not represent me and cannot perform the waived duty on my behalf. I further understand that I should seek the assistance of other professionals such as an attorney. I further understand that it is my responsibility to inform myself of the steps necessary to fulfill the terms of any purchase agreement that I may execute. I further understand that this waiver may be revoked in writing by mutual agreement between client and broker.

WAIVER NOT VALID UNTIL SIGNED BY BROKER.

Client                                               Date                                               Licensee                                               Date

Client                                               Date                                               Broker                                               Date

06/26/2007
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4. INTERFERENCE WITH BROKERAGE AGREEMENT

a. By Other Brokers – Once a valid exclusive brokerage agreement is in place, a licensee may not, for personal gain, induce any party to break that contract in order to substitute a new agreement. A licensee who violates this statute may be subject not only to civil action from the harmed broker, but the Real Estate Commission may impose a $10,000 fine and other administrative sanctions.60

b. By the Client – A client cannot in bad faith ignore the broker or intervene in the transaction so as to deprive a broker of earned compensation. Nevada’s Supreme Court determined that a seller must give the broker an opportunity to finish the transaction with the ultimate buyer if the broker initially produced the buyer and has not abandoned negotiations. The seller, in order not to be liable for a commission, must notify the procuring broker of any subsequent offers by such buyers and give the broker a reasonable time to protect the broker’s commission – or the seller must decline the sale.61

The brokerage agreement is an employment contract between the client and the broker. A seller and buyer cannot, in their purchase agreement or through escrow instructions, attempt to modify the terms of the brokerage agreement without the consent of the broker.

60. NRS 645.630 (1)(l).
NRS 645 covers those real estate related services that are provided by a broker with the intention or expectation of receiving compensation. “Compensation” is anything of value. It may be cash, property (real or personal), a promise to pay (promissory note), or the exchange of services with a cash value. For example, a broker who helps a carpenter sell his house in exchange for the carpenter converting the broker’s garage, is receiving compensation. Compensation includes any commission, finder’s fee, referral fee, advanced fee, payment, thing of value, or gift if given in exchange for services.

C. COMPENSATION

1. ONLY THE BROKER IS PAID

Under Nevada law, only a broker is authorized to collect compensation for real estate related services, whether performed by the broker or by the broker’s agent. A broker-salesperson or salesperson cannot accept compensation from any person other than the broker (or owner-developer) with whom he or she is licensed at the time of the transaction. Therefore, only a broker may be employed by a client.

2. RIGHT TO COMPENSATION

In purchase transactions, the listing broker earns compensation by securing a buyer within the time specified in the contract. The buyer must be ready, willing, and able to purchase at the price designated by the seller. “Able” means financially able. The money does not have to be immediately available as long as funds are available to close the deal within the time required. The “price designated by the principal,” includes any sale price to which the seller agrees regardless of the initial list price.
A subsequent default by buyer will not limit the broker’s right to commission. Some purchase agreements require the parties to have an on-going relationship; for example, a Lease with Option to Purchase or where the seller is carrying a portion of the purchase price. Should the buyer default, unless otherwise agreed between the broker and seller, the seller cannot refuse to pay, nor require the broker to refund, the broker’s earned commission. If a buyer defaults after closing a transaction, it is the buyer who is liable to the seller for all of the damages caused by the default including any broker’s commission already paid. The seller’s remedy is against the buyer.

In Chicago Title v. Schwartz, (1993) Schwartz sold a property to the Wrights. Chicago Title was the escrow holder. Chenin was the seller’s listing agent. Originally, the transaction was a straight purchase and Chenin’s commission was to be paid at the close of escrow. Because the Wrights had financial difficulties, Schwartz agreed to an installment contract and unilaterally instructed Chicago Title to alter the commission order to pay Chenin at the end of the installment period. However, Chicago Title paid the commission at the close of escrow.

When the Wrights defaulted on the installment contract, Schwartz sued Chicago Title for the early release of the commission. The court held that regardless of the buyers’ later default, Chenin was owed her compensation when she earned her commission per the listing contract. Therefore, even if Chicago Title had mistakenly released the commission, Schwartz was not harmed because Schwartz already owed the money to Chenin. If Schwartz was harmed, he had to look to the defaulting buyer for reimbursement.

a. When Earned and When Due – In a purchase transaction, unless the broker and client contract otherwise, the broker’s commission is earned when the broker fulfills the terms of the brokerage agreement. In an open listing, the broker earns the commission when he or she finds a buyer ready, willing and able to purchase on the agreed terms. For purchase transactions, payment is typically due upon close of escrow. The Nevada Supreme Court has stated, “[t]he law supports the theory that the broker’s commission was owed at the close of escrow….” For non-purchase transactions, payment is due after the services are tendered. Nevertheless, the parties may agree to defer the payment until a specific time or event.

In exclusive agency agreements, compensation is generally owed when the broker fulfills the terms of the agreement. The payment terms must be clear and unambiguous. In an exclusive agency agreement, the broker’s commission is not dependent upon the broker being the “procuring cause” of the buyer. Alternatively, the seller and broker may agree the property must sell only at a certain price or under specific conditions before the broker gets paid.

Advanced fee: An advance fee is compensation collected by a broker from a client before services are rendered. It may be collected in advance for listing or advertising a property or a business for sale or lease. Most advanced fees are for the sale of prospecting lists. Any broker who charges an advance fee must give the client an accounting of that fee within three months after collecting it, whether or not the property was actually sold or leased.

b. Procuring Cause – When there is an open listing, in order to be entitled to a real estate commission, a broker must show an employment contract existed and that the broker was the procuring cause of the sale. If a real estate broker has been the “procuring” or “inducing” cause of a sale, he or she is entitled to the agreed commission regardless of who eventually closes the transaction.

“Procuring cause” is also known as the “inducing cause,” “efficient cause,” or “proximate cause.”
What actions must a broker take to show he or she was the procuring cause? The court has said it is impossible to specify which acts must be present to show “procuring cause,” but those acts require the broker to demonstrate “conduct that is more than merely trifling.”\footnote{71} To be the procuring cause of the sale, the broker must do more than contribute indirectly or incidentally to the sale. Merely introducing the buyer to the seller is not enough. Just imparting information about the property is insufficient. The broker must set in motion a chain of events which, without break in their continuity, cause the buyer and seller to come to terms.

If a broker is the procuring cause of the sale, the broker has a “vested” right to the commission.\footnote{72} The right continues even if a seller includes a compensation clause in the purchase agreement that provides the commission will go to another broker. Such a clause is only the client’s personal promise to pay a commission to another broker. It does not affect the procuring broker’s right to payment.

If a broker is the procuring cause of a sale, it does not matter whom the clients choose to be their final agent. In Bartsas Realty v. Leverton, (1966),\footnote{73} Mary Bartsas, a broker, had an open listing agreement with First National Bank of Nevada. She submitted an offer from Davidson to First National. Without communicating with Bartsas, Davidson hired Leverton as his broker who resubmitted a similar offer to First National. When First National told Leverton of the Bartsas’s previous offer, Leverton replied, “Well, he (Davidson) has changed brokers and he has come to me now.” Eventually, Davidson purchased the property. Bartsas sued Leverton and First National under a claim of procuring cause. The court ruled in favor of Bartsas.

Whether a broker’s efforts constitute the procuring cause of a sale is a complicated question based on the particular facts of the matter. Davidson had the right to be represented by any broker of his choice. He was not required to hire Bartsas as his exclusive agent; however, that did not eliminate Bartsas’s right to a commission as the procuring cause of the transaction. In this case, both Bartsas and Leverton may have earned a commission - Bartsas as the procuring cause and Leverton as Davidson’s agent.

Unless specifically agreed to in the brokerage agreement, a seller cannot refuse to pay a broker because the property was listed at one price but the seller accepted a lower price. A seller may not refuse an offer from a buyer, wait until the brokerage agreement has expired, and then sell the property to that buyer in order to not pay the broker’s commission.\footnote{74}
3. SOURCE AND AMOUNT

Since compensation may only be paid to a broker, only the broker has the right to determine who to accept compensation from and the value of services. This right is modified by legal restrictions and regulations concerning a broker’s compensation. Most of these deal with the amount of the compensation and disclosure.

Generally, the source and amount of compensation is determined by agreement between the broker and the client. They should agree on the source of compensation up-front. For example, they should agree on whether the client will pay the broker, or whether the broker will seek his or her compensation from a third party (such as a seller). A broker may rebate the client of a particular transaction a portion of the broker’s commission on that transaction without violating the statute (AGO 97-28, 1997).

The amount of compensation is negotiable between the broker and the client. It may be determined as a percentage of the value of the property’s sale price, a flat fee (allocated in various ways), or by law. For example, in a probate where the broker is selling a deceased’s unimproved real property, Nevada law provides the maximum commission is 10% of the property’s sale price. It is unlawful for any licensee to offer, promise, allow, give or pay, directly or indirectly, any part or share of his or her commission, compensation or finder’s fee to any person who is not a real estate licensee, in consideration for services. No broker-salesperson or salesperson may accept compensation from, or pay compensation to, any person other than a broker (or owner-developer) with whom he or she is licensed at the time of the transaction.

a. Source and MLS – There are only two sources of compensation, from the client or from a third party. Most listing agreements have the compensation paid directly by the client. Most buyer brokerage agreements are oral and have the compensation paid by a third party, usually the listing broker. Other third party compensation sources include referral fees from other brokers or compensation paid by relocation companies.

Multiple Listing Service: A multiple listing service is a member-only information dissemination service and a forum for the unilateral offer of compensation. Member brokers place descriptions of listed properties with the MLS so that other members may see what is available for sale. Along with the property description there is a unilateral offer for compensation from the listing broker to any cooperating broker. To earn the stated compensation, the cooperating broker must be the procuring cause of the buyer in a successful transaction. In this instance, the source of the buyer’s broker’s commission is the listing broker, not the seller.

b. Determining Amount – The most common way of determining a broker’s commission is as a percentage of the property’s sale price. Nevertheless, there are other ways of calculating the broker’s compensation. These include flat fee arrangements, net listings, and a “fee for services” arrangement where the client selects from a menu of services and selectively pays for each service chosen. Each broker and client may mix and match how the broker’s compensation will be calculated.

Flat Fee: The broker may charge a client a set or flat fee regardless of the value of the property or the type of service rendered.
Some brokers charge a flat fee to the client for their portion of the commission with the client agreeing to pay a percentage of the sale price to any cooperating broker. Most advance fee arrangements are flat fees.

Menu (unbundled services): Recently, the idea of menu services has become popular. Menu services are distinct services, each offered for a fee. The client chooses from the menu what services the broker will provide and the broker's compensation is based on the set value of those services. Regardless of the services chosen, the broker cannot refuse to perform a statutory duty because the client did not pay for it.

Net Listings: In a broker's net listing contract, a fixed or net amount must be paid to the seller and the broker's compensation is limited to the excess of the sale price over the net amount specified. Some states prohibit net listings; however, in Nevada they have been allowed by the courts.78

Normally, whoever drafts or provides a contract is held responsible for any deficiencies of that contract. With most brokerage agreements, the broker provides the written agreement and often any dispute over contract terms is decided in the client's favor. However, with a net listing, the courts may construe the construction of the contract against the seller since it is the seller who usually dictates the terms of the net listing.79

With a net listing, the client must give the broker a fair chance to earn a commission. The client cannot refuse to accept offers above the net price waiting for the brokerage agreement to terminate and then accept a lower offer. In Close v. Redelius, (1950),80 Hazel Close hired Redelius, a broker, under an open oral net listing to sell her Reno beauty salon. Redelius, introduced and worked with Wygant to purchase the salon for $1,200 over the net list price. The $1,200 would have been Redelius' commission. Close then told Redelius she decided not to sell at that time. Eventually, Wygant and Close, without including Redelius, agreed to purchase the property at the net amount, thereby cutting Redelius from the deal. The court found for Redelius and stated that a seller with a net listing cannot interfere with the transaction until the broker has had a reasonable opportunity to sell the property at a profit.
On the other side, net listings are considered by prominent real estate professional organizations to be ripe for potential unethical conduct by the broker. The concern is that with a net listing, the broker becomes a speculator in the client’s property. Additionally, many multiple listing services refuse to take net listings as the co-operating broker’s commission, if any, cannot be reasonably determined.

Net listings are still used with hard to sell properties, such as rural land and environmentally damaged property. They are more popular when there is a buyer’s market.

c. Quantum Meruit – Quantum meruit is a legal theory used to establish the compensation rate when there is no express agreement or when the agreement fails but the court finds it would be inequitable not to give the broker a commission. Quantum meruit allows the broker to be paid the reasonable value of his or her services. The court will look at “established customs” when determining the worth of the broker’s services.

Quantum meruit cannot be used to collect compensation when there is a faulty exclusive brokerage agreement.

4. RESPA AND ANTI-TRUST

Two important sets of laws impact a broker’s compensation: RESPA and the anti-trust laws.

a. RESPA – RESPA stands for the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act. RESPA is federal law passed in 1974 and it is periodically amended. It regulates the behavior of settlement service providers who deal with federally related loans in residential real estate transactions. Real estate licensees are specifically identified as being settlement service providers. A “settlement service provider” is anyone who provides services in connection with a real estate transaction.

Among other items, RESPA regulates who can give and receive compensation for settlement services. No person may give or accept any fee, kickback, or thing of value strictly for a referral to a settlement service provider. RESPA does not review whether the commission is reasonable, nor does it cover broker-to-broker referral fees.

b. Anti-trust - There are two sources for anti-trust laws for Nevada brokers – state law (NRS 598A) and federal law (Sherman Act and the Clayton Act). The object of these laws is to regulate trade to ensure a competitive market for the protection of the consumer. The United States Supreme Court, when defining “trade”, said the term included all occupations in which men are engaged for a livelihood. That includes real estate licensees. There are certain jurisdictional issues in applying the federal anti-trust laws to local (Nevada) brokerage services. Nevertheless, some activities dealing with the broker’s commission may still fall under the law’s shadow.

The main area of regulation concerning a broker’s compensation is price fixing. This is where a group of brokers determine a fixed rate of compensation, thereby eliminating competition. Also, it applies to any activity that would tend to establish a uniform practice, for example, setting the length of listing periods.

A broker may set the commission rate in his or her brokerage without being in violation of price fixing. The problem arises when two or more brokers from various firms act in concert to establish industry wide fixed commissions. To avoid even the appearance of price fixing, brokers should have written policies stating their commission rates and establishing their independent business justification for those rates.
General price uniformity within a profession for a specific locale is not, in and of itself, illegal. However, if it can be shown the players acted in unison to establish a fixed commission, the possibility of an anti-trust violation increases dramatically.

Additionally, brokers should not have set listing periods. It may be problematic if two or more independent brokers require the same, set listing time frames (ex: all listings are 6 months). Listing periods or broker protection periods should be individually set between a broker and the client.

Nevada's anti-trust law: Nevada has its own anti-trust law that covers the sale of any commodity or the performance of any service. Nevada's law makes it an unlawful restraint of trade to price fix. Additionally, agreements between competitors to divide territories, allocate customers, or monopolize an area, are illegal.90

90. NRS 598A.060.
91. AGO 97-28 (12-31-1997).

A federally related loan is any loan that is either insured by, or subject to, federal regulation or made for the purchase of a residence. It includes conventional as well as FHA or VA loans.

“Commodity” is defined as any tangible property including real property. “Service” is any activity performed for economic gain. (NRS 598A.020)

c. Rebates to Clients – Both Nevada law and federal law (RESPA) allow a broker to rebate or refund part of the commission to the client.91 There is a caveat to this type of refund. The broker must acknowledge receipt of the full amount of the commission before refunding a portion back to the client. This is to ensure complete and accurate income accounting. For example, a buyer’s broker promises to rebate $1,000 of his commission to the client on the close of escrow. The commission is $5,000. The broker should, even if only on paper, receive the $5,000, and then pay the rebate; otherwise, it may appear the broker is receiving only $4,000, which would be an inaccurate and potentially illegal accounting of the broker’s income.
5. DISCLOSURE

A licensee must disclose to each party to a transaction (client or not), each source from which he or she will receive compensation. The Real Estate Commission can discipline any licensee found guilty of accepting, giving, or charging, any undisclosed commission by a fine of up to $10,000 per charge, and they may suspend or revoke the licensee’s license.

A licensee may also be charged with deceitful, fraudulent or dishonest dealing. The Commission may discipline a licensee if it finds the licensee has not disclosed, in writing, that the licensee:

• expects to receive any direct or indirect compensation from any person who will perform services related to the property, or

• received compensation from more than one party.

If a broker anticipates receiving compensation from more than one party, the broker must obtain each party’s consent. The amount of the compensation does not need to be disclosed, only its source.

The licensee should note that disclosure alone does not make the receipt of certain compensation legal. For example, a salesperson or broker-salesperson may not accept compensation directly from a client under NRS 645.280, even if that compensation is fully disclosed to all parties.
Some brokerage agreements concern specialized property or services and are subject to specific laws.

### D. SPECIALIZED BROKERAGE AGREEMENTS

#### 1. COMMERCIAL BROKERAGE AGREEMENTS

Nevada law allows a broker to collect the broker’s commission from a commercial real estate transaction by recording a special type of claim called the Broker’s Commercial Claim. This claim is not a lien on real property.

Commercial real estate is any real estate in Nevada except:

1. Improved property with four or less residential units (condominiums, houses, townhouses);
2. Improved property with more than four residential units, but where each unit is sold individually (such as condominiums); and
3. Unimproved property upon which no more than four residential units may be developed or zoned.\(^97\)

To be protected by the commercial claim law, the brokerage agreement must be in writing and state what services the broker will provide and what disposition the client desires: for example, sale, purchase, exchange or lease of the commercial real estate.\(^98\) By definition the “owner” has an existing interest in the property, therefore the client will be either the seller or the landlord. The broker representing a buyer or tenant is not afforded claim rights under this section of the law.

Since a brokerage agreement is an employment agreement, it is a contract for services and not a claim on the real property. If the owner breaches the brokerage agreement, the broker’s remedy is a claim upon the owner’s net proceeds - in other words, a claim over the owner’s personal property. The claim is not a claim or lien upon the seller’s real estate and does not attach to the real property.\(^99\)

The right to enforce a claim under this section belongs only to the broker. As such, the broker may waive the claim right. An agent for the broker may not waive the broker’s claim right even if that agent is otherwise authorized to bind the broker to the brokerage agreement.\(^100\)

Any waiver of the broker’s right must be done by the broker on or before the date the brokerage agreement is signed.

#### 2. ADVANCE FEE AGREEMENTS

Another specialized brokerage agreement is the advance fee agreement. Any brokerage agreement that requires a client to provide money up-front for brokerage services qualifies as an advance fee agreement.\(^101\) Any person requiring money up-front for real estate related services must have a real estate license.\(^102\)

An advance fee is a fee asked for, or given to, a broker for listing or advertising properties, lists of real estate service providers, or for the referral of potential

---

\(^{97}\) NRS 645.8711.

\(^{98}\) NRS 645.8705.

\(^{99}, 100\) NRS 645.8761.

\(^{101}\) NRS 645.002 & NRS 645.004.

\(^{102}\) NRS 645.323.

“Disposition” is the voluntary conveyance of any interest an owner has in the property. An “owner” is any person who holds any existing legal interest in the property and includes an assignee-in-interest. “Owner” does not include a mortgagee, trustee under a deed of trust, or an owner of a claim against the property. NRS 645.872-8735.

“Net proceeds” are the owner’s gross receipts from the disposition of the property minus existing encumbrances, claims or liens and escrow costs. NRS 645.8741.
customers (buyers, sellers, landlords, tenants, etc.). Often it involves providing lists of rentals.

Though no specific forms are currently required, the Real Estate Commission is authorized to establish forms for advance fee brokerage agreements. It may also require reports and forms for the review and audit of advance fee agreements.\(^{103}\)

An advance fee brokerage agreement must be in writing with a detailed description of the broker’s services and a date of performance. The broker must identify the total amount of the advance fee and when payment is due.\(^ {104}\)

Full Refund: A special provision includes a statement that the broker will provide a full refund if the services are not substantially or materially provided.\(^ {105}\) For example, if a broker collects advertising costs in advance from a client and the broker does not provide the advertising as stated, the client is entitled to a refund. This provision does not require the property to have been actually sold or leased.

No Guarantee: Additionally, the brokerage agreement cannot imply or guarantee there are tenants or buyers immediately or soon to be available, nor that the property will be purchased, sold, exchanged or leased due to the broker’s services.\(^ {106}\)

Oral Promises: Any oral representations or promises made by the broker to induce potential clients into paying an advance fee are by law incorporated into the agreement. Unlike many contracts, there can be no provision in the brokerage agreement that relieves or exempts the broker from those oral representations or promises.\(^ {107}\)

3. BROKERAGE AGREEMENTS IN PROBATE AND GUARDIANSHIP

If a person dies with or without a will and owns real estate in Nevada, the personal representative (court approved/appointed executor or administrator) of the estate may enter into a listing agreement with one or more brokers. The brokerage agreement may be an Exclusive Right to Sell and provide for the payment of compensation to the broker out of the proceeds of the property’s sale.

The maximum broker’s compensation is set by Nevada law: 10% for the sale of unimproved real property and 7% for improved real property.\(^ {108}\) The payment of any commission must be confirmed by the court contingent upon the sale of the property through the broker’s efforts. Even with a brokerage agreement, the court may determine which broker is the procuring cause of the sale and award that broker the commission.\(^ {109}\) The listing broker’s commission must be divided between the listing broker and any cooperating broker.\(^ {110}\)

When an estate’s personal representative signs the brokerage agreement, the representative has no personal liability to the broker and the estate itself is not liable to the broker unless a sale is made and confirmed by the court.

Similarly, a court-appointed guardian may enter into a brokerage agreement to sell a ward’s real property. The requirements and commission restrictions are the same as for those properties being sold from probate.\(^ {111}\)
Bankruptcy is federal law that can have a profound effect on the sale of real property and any brokerage agreement. There are various bankruptcy chapters a person may file under. Depending on the chapter, each debtor, and any contractor with that debtor, has certain rights and responsibilities. Considered here are general rules regarding the brokerage agreement when a party files for bankruptcy under Chapter 7 – liquidation or “straight” bankruptcy. Property management agreements and leases have other requirements not discussed here.

There are three major instances in which a broker may be confronted with a brokerage agreement subject to the bankruptcy law:

1. When the broker has an existing, valid listing agreement and the seller files for bankruptcy;

2. When the broker's buyer-client files for bankruptcy; and

3. When the broker is hired by the bankruptcy trustee to sell the bankrupt estate's real property.

Existing Listing Agreement: Usually, an existing listing agreement becomes an “executory contract” once the seller files for bankruptcy. As such, the bankruptcy trustee or the court (they are not the same) can either accept or reject the brokerage agreement. Even if the trustee accepts the contract, the court may reject it. If the trustee and court accept the existing brokerage agreement, the court may modify the compensation. If the contract is rejected, there is little recourse against the court or the trustee.

Buyer Files for Bankruptcy: As soon as the buyer files for bankruptcy, all of the buyer’s assets (with certain exclusions) are subject to the control of the bankruptcy trustee. If the buyer has not executed a purchase agreement, any brokerage agreement the buyer has may be terminated since the debtor no longer has control over the assets necessary to purchase any property. If there is an executed purchase agreement, the court may set aside the purchase agreement. At this point the seller, or the buyer's broker, would have to file a Proof of Claim against the buyer's bankruptcy estate for any loss they suffer.
Trustee Hires Broker: Many times the bankruptcy court or trustee will sell the debtor’s real property assets. The trustee is authorized to hire professionals to help settle or distribute the bankruptcy estate. Under the Bankruptcy Code, a broker is considered a “professional” and therefore, compensation under the brokerage agreement must be approved by the bankruptcy court. The trustee may hire several brokers under an open listing agreement.

The broker may file a motion with the court to have the court appoint the broker and establish the commission. Once the court approves the broker, the commission becomes an administrative expense and is paid out of the assets of the estate before it is distributed to the debtor’s creditors.

5. PROPERTY MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS

Before a broker can engage in property management, the broker must obtain a permit or hire a designated property manager. Every property manager must have a written property management agreement with each client. By definition, a property manager’s employment agreement is not a brokerage agreement; it is a written employment contract between a client and broker in which the broker accepts compensation to manage the client’s property.

A property management agreement must be in writing - no oral agreements are enforceable. It must include the term of the employment; however, unlike general brokerage agreements that require a specific termination date, a property management agreement may contain an automatic renewal (roll-over) provision. If it does have a roll-over provision, the circumstances on how the agreement will be renewed must be clearly laid out as well as the term for each renewal.

A property management agreement must state the broker’s fee or compensation and whether it is calculated as a percentage of the rent, a flat fee, or by some other formula. There must be a provision on how the broker is to handle the retention and disposition of tenant rents and deposits during each term of the agreement. The agreement must outline the broker’s authority to act as agent for the client, for example, whether or not the property manager may initiate eviction proceedings. Finally, it must state what conditions must occur if the agreement is cancelled during its term. The statute provides that a property management agreement may have a no-cause cancellation clause.

6. MANUFACTURED HOUSING

A manufactured home, also known as a mobile home, is a residential structure which is built on a permanent chassis and designed to be transportable. Manufactured homes are considered personal property unless legally converted to real property. The laws dealing with their sale are administered by the Manufactured Housing Division of the Department of Business and Industry for the State of Nevada. Manufactured housing may be located either in a mobile home park in which the home sits on rented space, or on a lot privately owned or rented.
Real estate brokers, unless they have a dealer’s permit, are only authorized to sell manufactured homes when:

1. The manufactured house is used and sits on private property (not in a mobile home park or rented space. NRS 645.030 (1)(a)) and

2. Both the real property and the home are being sold.

Manufactured Housing – A “used” manufactured or mobile home is one which has been sold, rented or leased and occupied before or after the sale or rental or its title of ownership has been previously registered with a state government entity. 122

If the manufactured home has been converted to real property, any legal brokerage agreement may be used. If the manufactured home has not been converted, the broker must make certain disclosures; 123 however, as long as the broker is also selling the underlying real property, any legal brokerage agreement may be used. 124

7. LISTING WITH OPTION TO PURCHASE AGREEMENT

More popular in slow real estate markets is the Listing with Option to Purchase Agreement. This option agreement provides that the broker will use his or her best efforts to sell the property, but should he or she be unable to do so, the broker will purchase the property from the client.

Obviously, this type of agreement is fraught with potential claims of broker abuse and conflict of interest. The concern is that with a Listing with Option to Purchase, the broker becomes a speculator in the client’s property. Thus, for potential personal profit, the broker may not exercise his or her best efforts in selling the property. Nevada has no specific law restricting or prohibiting option contracts, but extensive disclosure is necessary.

A “slow market” occurs when there are more properties for sale than there are buyers, therefore listed homes stay on the market longer.
A broker’s employment contract with a client is called a brokerage agreement. All brokerage agreements, being contracts, must have the general contract elements. Other than Nevada laws, certain laws, such as the federal Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act and anti-trust laws, affect what the licensee must do or disclose in a real estate transaction.

Special types of brokerage agreements require specific clauses or wording. Commercial brokerage, advanced fee, probate and guardianship, manufactured housing, and listing with option agreements are all specialized brokerage agreements. Property management agreements, though not technically brokerage agreements, are also specialized employment agreements between a client and a broker.

Brokerage agreements may be oral or written. Certain types of brokerage agreements must be in writing. For example, any brokerage agreement that authorized the broker to be the client’s sole broker, called an exclusive agreement, must be in writing. Written contracts may be created with pen and paper, or electronically.

Exclusive brokerage agreements have several requirements. The agreement must contain a definite termination date; be signed by the broker and client or their authorized representatives; and must not require the client to notify the broker of the termination of the exclusive contract feature.

In the brokerage agreement, the broker may limit his or her services; however, at no time may the broker limit the liability for statutorily required duties or services.

Under Nevada law, only a broker is allowed to collect compensation. The salesperson or broker-salesperson may not receive or give compensation from or to, any person for real estate services other than the broker with whom he or she is licensed at the time of the transaction. The receipt of all compensation must be disclosed to the parties in the transaction.
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An offer is the first step toward a legally binding purchase contract. Its terms will create the initial rights and responsibilities of the parties. Since the licensee will often help draft or review an offer, understanding its legal parameters is crucial.

### A. OFFERS AND COUNTEROFFERS – GENERAL LAW

In typical real estate transactions, the buyer presents the offer to the seller who either accepts, modifies, or rejects it. The person who gives the offer is the offeror; the person receiving the offer is the offeree. Unless there are unusual circumstances, the brokers or principals’ agents are not a party to the offer or purchase agreement. A counteroffer occurs when the offeree rejects the offer and proposes new, or modified, terms.

**Intent:** Under general contract law, the offer must express the parties’ present intent to contract. Even if performance takes place in the future, at the time of contracting the parties must intend to be bound by their words or acts. In Nevada, all contracts are assumed to have an implied covenant of good faith. However, good faith does not mean the parties have equal or balanced responsibilities, obligations, rights or benefits.

**Clear Terms:** When the client uses a broker, the broker is responsible for ensuring the offer is complete with clear and definite terms. Ambiguous words or contradicting terms can make the offer unenforceable or void. There must be no built-in vagueness. An example of an offer with vague or uncertain terms would be “close of escrow to be at the end of the month”, or “seller accepts but retains the right to increase the purchase price.” In those clauses, the close of escrow date is uncertain; the purchase price can be changed at the whim of seller - both conditions defeat the requirement for clear and definite terms.

**Agreement:** Both parties must agree to all the terms of the offer – there must be a “meeting of the minds”. A qualified or conditional acceptance turns the offer into a counteroffer which must, in turn, be accepted. Thus, a counteroffer is a modified rejection of the original offer and creates a new offer which must be accepted by both parties. The new offer will include those unchanged terms in the original offer if the old offer is incorporated by reference into the new one. If there are subsequent counteroffers, each must reference the previous offer/counteroffer. This ensures that those terms not in dispute are part of the final document.

**Delivery:** The offer or counteroffer, must be promptly delivered to the offeree (seller or buyer) who will then accept, counter, or reject it. If it is rejected, the licensee is responsible for obtaining a written notice of the rejection from the client and providing that notice to the offeror within a reasonable time. Once an offer (or counteroffer) is accepted and that acceptance is delivered to the offeror, the parties have a legal contract.
1. CREATING THE OFFER

An offer need not be in writing. Though verbal offers are legal, a verbal acceptance by the seller cannot create a legally binding contract for the sale of real property. Nevada’s statute of frauds requires all purchase contracts for real property to be in writing. Therefore, to turn a verbal offer into an enforceable purchase agreement the contract must be in writing, state the consideration and be signed by the seller.\(^5\)

In Nevada, most residential purchase offers are prepared using preprinted forms. There is no state mandated purchase form for real property.

Drafting an Offer for the Non-client: A listing broker is to promote the sale or lease of the seller’s/landlord’s property and one way of doing this is by putting all bona fide offers in writing and presenting them. The licensee has a duty, when requested, to reduce to writing a bona fide offer made in good faith.\(^6\) Consequently, if a listing broker is requested by a non-represented party to write a bona fide offer, he or she must do so; however, drafting an offer does not automatically make the listing broker the buyer’s agent, nor does it create multiple representation necessitating the use of a Consent to Act form.

If an unrepresented buyer requests a non-listing broker to draft an offer, the broker may require the buyer to enter into a brokerage agreement. No broker is required to work for free.

The licensee should be careful about unilaterally deciding an offer is not bona fide and refusing to present it. The licensee must present all offers to the client and let the client decide which offers are bona fide.\(^7\) Obviously, this is not applicable if the licensee has a waiver from the client of the duty to present all offers.

2. WITHDRAWAL OF AN OFFER

Most written offers contain an expiration date and time. However, setting an expiration time does not require the offeror to hold the offer open until that time ends. In contract law, any offer, whether oral or written, may be withdrawn (revoked) by the offeror any time before it is accepted. A seller cannot demand the offeror keep the offer open until it expires.

After the expiration date the offer is no longer viable. Thus, an offer cannot be accepted by the offeree after the expiration date without the offeror agreeing to accept it. The parties may mutually agree to extend the expiration date. A licensee should verify any extension is in writing and signed by both parties. If no expiration time is stated in an offer, a court will impose a “reasonable” time for acceptance based on the facts of each case.

BONA FIDE means an offer made in good faith, without fraud or deceit, where the offeror is sincere and genuine.

\(^6\) NRS 645.635(7).
\(^7\) NRS 645.254 (4).
3. PRESENTING THE OFFER

a. Time in Submitting Offer – Unless a client has signed a waiver, the licensee must present all offers made to or by the client as soon as practicable. The listing broker is further required to present all written bona fide offers to the seller. The issues of when, how and by whom offers are presented are determined by the client, not the licensee. There is no law or regulation stating how an offer is to be presented – whether the seller sees the offers in their submission order, all at once, the highest price first, or so forth. There is no law or regulation requiring the seller to reject one offer before seeing the next one. Clients have various desires on how they want to review any offers. A licensee must honor within the limits of the law, those desires.

Though not required by law, the Real Estate Division has stated in its Multiple Offers Guidelines for Licensees that a “representative of the cooperating broker has the right to be present when the offer is presented unless the seller gives written instruction to the contrary.”

If a seller does restrict the presentation of offers, the listing broker should get the instruction in writing, signed and dated by the seller. Regardless of whether the seller allows a buyer’s agent to personally present his or her client’s offer, the listing agent must still present all offers.

All offers must be submitted to the client as soon as practicable. There is no statute defining “as soon as practicable”. The Administrative Code requires a licensee to deliver all offers “promptly.” The Real Estate Commission may take action against any licensee who is found guilty of failing to submit all offers to a seller when the offers are “received”. Once the seller has accepted an offer in writing, the licensee may have some leeway in presenting subsequent offers; however, all offers must still be presented.

Obviously, these laws are violated if a licensee “pockets” an offer. Pocketing an offer is when a licensee intentionally withholds presenting an offer. This is usually done when the licensee is waiting for another, preferred offer.

b. Multiple Offers - The Real Estate Division has issued guidelines for licensees should they receive multiple offers. The guidelines list several alternatives for dealing with multiple offers, from the simple - “accept one offer in writing, and reject all other offers in writing”, to the legally complex and technically hazardous – “counter all offers in writing.” Individual brokerages, professional associations, government sponsored entities and stationery/forms publishers have designed various multiple offer forms. Regardless of the form used, the licensee should discuss with the seller how multiple offers will be handled. In a multiple offer situation, a client may mistakenly accept or become liable for two contracts on the sale of a single property. If there is ever a concern, the licensee should refer the client to appropriate legal counsel.
MULTIPLE OFFERS GUIDELINES FOR LICENSEES

When Taking the Listing

- Explain to the client that competing offers may be received.
- Discuss with the client options for handling multiple offers.
- The client decides how they want to handle multiple offers.
- Advise the client that they may wish to seek legal counsel if they do receive multiple offers.

Sellers Make the Decisions – Examples of Options

- Accept one offer in writing, and reject all other offers in writing.
- Reject all offers in writing and encourage higher offers.
- Counter one offer, reject other offers in writing.
- Delay the decision waiting for another offer informing all parties. Educate the seller that with this option the buyers may withdraw their offer.
- Alert one or more buyers that they are in a competing offer situation and need to submit their best offer. Reject other offers.
- Alert all buyers that they are in a competing offer situation.
- Counter all offers in writing.

Agent Communication

- Agents should make reasonable efforts to keep cooperating licensees informed of the decision of the client’s instructions.

Presenting Offers

- The representative of the cooperating broker has the right to be present when the offer is presented unless the seller gives written instruction to the contrary.

Confidentiality

- The cooperating licensee does not have the right to be present at any subsequent discussion or evaluation of the offer by the seller and the listing broker.

NRS 645.253: Each licensee shall not disclose, except to the real estate broker, confidential information relating to a client in violation of NRS 645.254.

NRS 645.254, paragraph 2: A licensee who has entered into a brokerage agreement to represent a client in a real estate transaction … Shall not disclose confidential information relating to a client for 1 year after the revocation or termination of the brokerage agreement, unless he is required to do so pursuant to an order of a court of competent jurisdiction or he is...
c. Delivery - "Delivery" is the act of presenting to the other party the offeree's acceptance, rejection, or counteroffer. Delivery is important because it gives notice. The offeror cannot be bound by a contract until the accepted contract has been delivered to him or her.

Delivery to the other party's agent is considered delivery to the principal. Unless the offer specifically states a limited delivery method (for example, only by hand), delivery is accomplished when the signed offer is presented to the offeror's broker's office in any reasonable manner. This includes personal delivery (face-to-face), mail, facsimile, or e-mail (if an electronic format was previously agreed to). Once a signed offer is delivered, there is a binding purchase agreement.

4. EXCEPTIONS AND RESTRICTIONS

a. Waiver – A client may elect to waive the broker's duty to present all offers by signing a Waiver Form authorized by statute and created by the Real Estate Division. The form restates the regulatory (NAC 645) definition of what "presenting all offers" encompasses to reflect the licensee's activities which often accompany the presentation of offers to the client. It provides that the broker is not obligated to accept delivery of or convey offers or counteroffers to the client; the broker is not required to answer the client's questions regarding the offers or counteroffers; and the broker is not required to assist the client in preparing, communicating or negotiating offers or counteroffers.

The waiver form must be signed by the client and the broker as the brokerage relationship is between the client and the broker, not the representing salesperson or broker-salesperson.

b. Disclosure of Offer Terms - Since a broker is hired to facilitate the sale or lease of the client's property, common thought is the broker should be able to maximize his or her client's profit by having offerors bid against each other. To have an effective bidding process, the various offerors would need to know the terms of the competing offers. This would require the listing broker to disclose the terms and price of all other offers. The Real Estate Commission has identified this type of disclosure as a potential violation of NAC 645.605(6) - dealing fairly with all parties to a transaction.

c. "Acceleration" Clauses (not what you think!) – The Division has stated it is a violation of fair dealing to insert what it identifies as an “acceleration” clause. This is not the “acceleration clause” found in general contract or financing law. According to the Division, an acceleration clause is a clause in which the offeror promises to pay a certain set amount above the highest offered sale price and usually provides for a maximum or cap amount. The Division's example is, "I will pay $2,000 over the highest offer up to $300,000." This type of clause automatically gives one offeror a stated advantage over other offerors and may not allow fair dealing for the other offerors.


18a. NAC 645.254 as amended by R165-07, sec. 5


20. An "acceleration clause" in general contract or financing terms allows a lender to "accelerate" the terms of the loan should a borrower default, thereby making the loan immediately due and payable.

21. See #19 above.
Though the previous two activities (disclosing offer terms and inserting an acceleration clause) are not a direct violation of any law or regulation, and there is some controversy regarding this, nevertheless, the Real Estate Commission has found these practices to be highly suspect.

d. Discrimination – Regardless of any instruction or preference of the licensee’s client, a licensee may never reject or modify an offer because the offeror is a member of a protected class. It is illegal to discriminate by denying a person an opportunity to engage in a real estate transaction because of that person’s race, religious creed, color, national origin, disability, ancestry, familial status or sex (gender). A licensee cannot modify the terms and conditions of an offer to discriminate against, or provide a preference for, a person based on his or her protected class status.22

The licensee must be aware that a client seeking a preference for a person based on their protected class is also a violation of the law. For example, a licensee cannot follow a client’s instruction to accept only offers from persons of a certain religious or racial background.

Nevada’s protected classes are race, religious creed, color, national origin, disability, ancestry, familial status and sex.23 Sexual orientation is not a protected class for housing in either Nevada or federal law.

5. REJECTION OF OFFER

Once an offer has been reviewed by the offeree, if it is rejected, the licensee must obtain a written notice signed by the offeree informing the offeror of the rejection.24 There is no requirement that the notice explain why the offer is being rejected. The purpose of this regulation is to satisfy the offeror that his or her offer was actually presented, reviewed and rejected by the other party. Most Nevada preprinted purchase agreement forms contain a rejection clause with a place for the rejecting party to sign. The rejection notice should be given to the offeror within a “reasonable” time. When there is no time frame specified, the courts will determine a “reasonable” time from the facts of each case. Once the rejection has been delivered, the offer is dead.

The Administrative Code requirement that the licensee have the offeree sign a rejection notice is only binding on the licensee, not on the client. There are times when a client, having rejected an offer, may refuse to sign a rejection notice. In these cases, the licensee is still charged with providing the offeror with a written rejection notice that states the client refused to sign the rejection.
6. TERMINATION – LAPSE BY TIME

Most written offers contain an expiration clause which identifies a date and time after which the offer is invalid. This time frame is for the benefit of the offeror to allow him or her to move on to other transactions after a stated time and not be hampered by an outstanding, but unanswered, offer. The offer automatically lapses after the expiration time frame unless the date is extended by both parties. A late or defective acceptance becomes a counteroffer which must in turn be accepted by the original offeror.

In Morrison v. Rayen Investments, Inc. (1981), Morrison, the buyer, offered to purchase Rayen Investments, Inc.’s property. The offer had a 15-day expiration period.

On the 16th day Rayen’s president telegraphed the corporation’s acceptance and instructed its agent to open escrow. The court found that since the telegraphed acceptance was outside the offer’s required 15-day acceptance period, the offer had lapsed. Any attempt by the offeree to revive the offer became a counteroffer and Morrison, the original offeror, was required to accept that counteroffer before there was a binding contract. The court found that Morrison never accepted the counteroffer, therefore, there was never a legal purchase contract.

7. TERMINATION BY DEATH

There is no specific Nevada law saying what happens to an outstanding offer when either the seller or buyer dies. However, general contract law provides that if an offeree dies before accepting an offer, the offer immediately terminates. If there is more than one offeree, the surviving offeree may accept the offer. If an offeror dies before the offer is accepted, the offer immediately terminates regardless of whether or not the offeree has notice of the offeror’s death. If there is more than one offeror, the surviving offeror may reinstate the offer, but is not legally obligated to do so.
B. PURCHASE AGREEMENTS

Once an offer is accepted and delivered, it becomes a binding purchase agreement. A purchase agreement is a contract between a buyer and seller for the sale of real property. A purchase agreement contract may also be known as an O & A (offer and acceptance), contract of sale, or simply a sales contract. An installment contract (also known as a contract for sale) and an option contract are discussed separately.

At a minimum, general contract law requires what is called the four “P’s” – parties, property, price and proof. The contract must identify the parties (the buyer and seller); what property is being sold; the price being paid; and proof the parties intend to be bound, i.e., consideration.

The majority of the conditions or terms found in real property purchase agreements are covered by general contract law. Reviewed here are only the legal requirements in Nevada statute and case law. These laws include public policy considerations and prohibited terms for purchase agreements; the licensee’s duties when dealing with purchase agreements; and, a review of the legal requirements for specialized purchase agreements such as those for unimproved property and homes in common-interest communities.

1. GENERAL LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

To have an enforceable contract, each party signing the contract must be over the age of majority and legally competent.

In Nevada, the age of majority is 18 years old. Contracts with minors are enforceable or voidable by the minor, but not enforceable by the other party if the minor chooses to rescind or disaffirm the contract. A minor may have legal emancipation giving him or her full majority rights. Marriage alone does not give a minor legal emancipation.

A person must be legally competent to contract. A party’s insanity, intoxication or lack of authority can make the contract void. It is old law in Nevada that an intoxicated party (drugs or alcohol) cannot rescind or avoid a signed contract, unless the party was so inebriated as to be devoid of all reason and understanding. If the contract was notarized, that is prima facie evidence of legally sufficient signing, regardless of the signer’s intoxication.

However, most purchase agreements are not notarized. If a licensee has any concern as to the signer’s capacity, it is best to wait and have the contract signed at a different time.

A void contract was never legal, whereas a voidable contract, though legal on its face, is ‘avoidable’ by a party.

PRIMA FACIE
“Prima facie” evidence means evidence presumed by the court to be legally sufficient unless otherwise disproved or rebutted.
Statute of Frauds: Every purchase contract in Nevada must comply with the statute of frauds. It: 1) Must be in writing, 2) State the consideration given by the parties for the contract, and 3) Be signed by the owner (seller) or his or her lawfully authorized agent.\textsuperscript{31} Unless the licensee has a separate, notarized and recorded, power-of-attorney from the client,\textsuperscript{32} a client is not bound when a licensee signs the purchase agreement.

a. “Writing” Required – The “writing” clause required by Nevada’s statute of frauds is intended to prove a contract exists; thereby preventing fraud and perjury. The writing may consist of a standard contract form, letter, or other written document and may consist of one or several related instruments that when read together, contain the legal requirements for a purchase contract. Emails may be sufficient to create a legal purchase agreement.

b. Consideration – All contracts require consideration. Consideration may be either the mutuality of obligation, or the receipt of a thing of value exchanged between the parties - from money to love and affection. If each party has some right (benefit) and responsibility (burden) there is mutuality of obligation and this is sufficient consideration, as is a promise given for a promise received.

Earnest money is not consideration - it is an inducement to negotiate. Earnest money is presented with an offer to indicate the genuineness of the offer. If the offer is accepted, the earnest money is usually incorporated into the purchase agreement as part of the buyer’s down payment. If the offer is rejected, the earnest money is returned to the offeror.

Since each contract requires consideration, a contract without consideration is void. In Zhang v. Dist. Court (2004),\textsuperscript{33} the seller defaulted on an existing purchase agreement. He then stated he would sell the property to the same buyer but at a higher price. To proceed with the transaction, the buyer agreed and signed the new purchase agreement. The buyer then sued to enforce the original contract. The court found for the buyer stating the seller was already obligated to sell to the buyer under the first contract when he required the buyer to sign the new contract. It found there was no consideration for the second contract. Without consideration, the second contract failed. Therefore, the buyer could enforce the first purchase agreement.

Inadequate consideration will not undo a contract. The Nevada Supreme Court has stated,

“mere inadequacy of price without proof of some element of fraud, unfairness, or oppression that could account for and bring about the inadequacy of price was not sufficient to warrant the setting aside of the [contract].”\textsuperscript{34}

It is not up to the licensee to determine if the consideration is sufficient – that is the client’s decision.
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c. Who Signs and Various Entities –

Legally, only the seller or the seller’s lawfully authorized agent is required to sign the purchase agreement. However, since most modern purchase agreements require obligations from both parties, seller and buyer, each must accept those terms. That acceptance is proved by the parties’ signatures on the purchase agreement.

A licensee must be aware of who has the signing authority for a given entity. When there is a question regarding a party’s authority to sign, the licensee should request proof or verification at the onset of the transaction. If there is any question, the broker should require the party’s legal counsel to provide written verification of authority. If the closing is through an escrow company or title insurance is being purchased, then the escrow or title officer will determine who is the appropriate legal entity. However, that may not occur until well into the transaction. If the licensee has been dealing with an unauthorized principal, the whole transaction may unravel at the end. It is better to ensure upfront, who-is-who and who has signing capacity.

Married Parties: Since Nevada is a community property state, if a buyer is married both spouses must sign the purchase contract. If a seller is selling community property, the spouse does not have to sign the purchase agreement but will be required to execute the deed transferring title. Should the non-signing spouse choose not to sign the deed, the buyer may only sue the signing spouse for breach of contract and could bring an action against the broker for negligence. A licensee should obtain both spouses’ signatures on any purchase agreement, whether buyer or seller.

Co-ownership: If there are multiple owners, each person on title will have to sign the deed if the entire property is being sold. If all owners do not sign the purchase agreement, and the contract is breached, the buyer will have recourse only against the owners who signed. Again, the licensee should be aware of a possible negligence lawsuit against the broker if the licensee fails to obtain all necessary signatures.

Trusts and Guardianships: A trust is created by an individual, called the settlor, who allows the legal title to specific property to be held in the name of the trust for the benefit of others or the settlor. A trust is controlled by one or more trustees. Individuals named to benefit by the trust’s property are the beneficiaries. Commonly, the trustee has the authority, as granted by the trust document, to sell or purchase real property in the trust’s name. The beneficiaries of the trust do not have the authority to sell trust property. A trustee’s rights are outlined in law; however, trust documents may modify the trustee’s responsibilities. A licensee should have some written verification that the trustee is solely authorized to sign the purchase agreement.

The licensee should be aware that a trustee who is not the settlor, usually needs the approval of a court if the trustee is personally purchasing property from, or selling property to, the trust estate.

A legally appointed guardian of an incompetent ward may sign a brokerage agreement to sell or to purchase real property from the ward’s estate. However, this authority also requires court approval.

Corporations: In Nevada, the statutes controlling most corporations are found in NRS 78. Briefly, a corporation is owned by the stockholders but managed by a board of directors, which has control over the affairs of the corporation. Each corporation must have a president, secretary and treasurer who are accountable to the board of directors.
All three positions may be held by the same person. The corporation's articles of incorporation, bylaws, or both, usually state the directors' and officers' authority to purchase or sell real property in the corporation's name. Therefore, each corporation may have different rules as to who is authorized to sign a purchase agreement. Often, but not always, the president of the corporation is authorized to sign. Nevertheless, when the corporation is selling its real property, the president may need a statement of ratification by the board of directors to strip the corporation of its assets.

Partnerships: Like other legal entities, partnerships are governed by Nevada law. A general partnership may be composed of several individuals, each sharing the benefits and liabilities of the partnership. Unless otherwise provided in the partnership agreement, any partner in a duly formed general partnership may execute a purchase agreement in the partnership's name and the partnership will be bound by the acts of that partner.

Limited partnerships have both general and limited partners. The limited partners are liable only up to the amount they have invested in the partnership. The general partners share full liability. In limited partnerships, usually only a general partner has the right to execute a purchase agreement.

Limited Liability Company: A limited liability company is organized under NRS 86. It may acquire, own, and dispose of real property in its own name. Often, it is composed of a manager, or managers, charged with overseeing the company's affairs. It has members (similar to stockholders) and it may have agents, officers, or employees. Generally, the manager has the legal authority to execute documents for the acquisition or disposition of the company's property. A member may have the authority if the company is managed by its members, or the company's articles of organization or operating agreement may provide that an agent, officer or employee can sign.

Probate Executors or Administrators: Nevada law provides specific procedures on the sale of real property in probate. During probate, the court will grant authority over the deceased's estate to either an executor or court appointed administrator. The executor or administrator may sign brokerage agreements and sell or purchase real property with court approval. A devisee under a will, or an heir to an estate, does not have the right to sell real property still in the deceased's name.

Governments: Almost every government entity (e.g., state, county, or city) or quasi-government entity (e.g., Redevelopment agency, abatement of pests' district, school district, or agricultural associations) is given the statutory authority to purchase or sell real property. Each of these entities will have allocated a specific position that is authorized to execute purchase agreements and deeds for that entity. This position is not always the director, administrator, or chairman of the board or commission.

d. After-Acquired Title – A licensee should be aware that some sellers may attempt to sell property that they do not yet legally own. The majority of the time, the seller has a future interest in the property. For example, a devisee may attempt to sell his or her interest in a property that is still in the deceased's name. Or, a person may attempt to sell property he or she does not have title to but is in contract to purchase. These actions are not always illegal under Nevada's After-Acquired Title statute; however, it is very unwise to participate in this type of transaction unless an attorney representing the proposed seller is willing to take responsibility for any liability the licensee and broker may incur.
Nevada's After-Acquired Title statute provides that if a person sells the fee simple absolute title to a property, which title is not yet in the seller's name, and afterwards acquires title to the property, the title immediately passes to the buyer. This is applicable only in very narrow, specific situations. It cannot be used to avoid transfer taxes – generally, the transfer tax can be assessed on each transaction. No broker should ever rely on this statute when taking a listing or when representing a buyer. If there is a question on ownership or title, the broker should consult with an attorney to establish the validity of the seller's authority.

e. “Signing” by Electronic Signature - Nevada law authorizes an electronic format for any transaction or contract in which the parties agree to conduct their business electronically. An electronic record satisfies any statute that requires a writing and an electronic signature satisfies any statute requiring a signature. If the signature must be notarized, it may be electronically notarized by the electronic signature of a notary together with all the information required to be included under other applicable law. That information must be attached to, or associated with, the electronic signature or record.

f. Broker's Rights Under the Purchase Agreement – The parties to a purchase agreement are the buyer and seller – the parties' brokers are agents of the principals and not a party to the sale of the real property. The brokers' authority and contractual rights are found in the brokerage agreements, not the purchase agreement. Since the brokers (or other licensees) are not a party to the contract, they lack standing (legal status) to sue on a breach of the purchase contract's terms. When a purchase agreement includes terms which indemnify the brokers, waive or disclaim their liability, state their compensation, or disclose their agency relationship, the licensee must understand those clauses may not be recognized as enforceable in a court of law.

59. NRS 719.220(2).
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2. SPECIAL CLAUSES AND PROHIBITIONS

Along with the statutes outlining the minimum legal requirements for a purchase agreement, the law also provides for clauses or contract terms which affect the parties’ various responsibilities or rights. These include public policy provisions which are automatically read into each contract, to prohibitions against certain restrictions or covenants.

a. Public Policy Provisions - All contracts contain some public policy clauses that are automatically considered part of the contract. For example, all contracts are presumed to have a clause requiring the parties to act in good faith. The Nevada Supreme Court has held that the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing exists in every Nevada contract … [it] essentially forbids arbitrary, unfair acts by one party that disadvantage the other.”

No term in the contract can abrogate that implied covenant. The court stated,

“We have held that when ‘the terms of a contract are literally complied with but one party to the contract deliberately countervenes the intention and spirit . . . ’, that party can incur liability for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.”

Other public policy provisions include a prohibition against any clause which eliminates the statutory rights of a borrower (mortgagor or deed of trust grantor). A borrower’s rights include the right to receive default notices and the right to rely upon the statutory timeframes before foreclosure commences.

Discrimination: It is against public policy for any seller or licensee to discriminate against any person because of that person’s race, religious creed, color, national origin, disability, ancestry, familial status or sex (gender), by denying that person access to any opportunity to engage in a residential real estate transaction. The prohibition includes any discriminatory wording or intent in the terms or conditions of a real estate transaction, the addition of racial covenants or restrictions in a deed, and the refusal to sell or make a residential property available to any person based on that person’s status as a member of a protected class. Such discriminatory behavior is not only a crime, but will subject the licensee to discipline by the Real Estate Commission. Nevada’s protected classes echo the federal classes and a licensee is subject to both laws.

b. If the Property is Destroyed – By statute, if the property is destroyed or taken by eminent domain while in escrow, the rights of the principals are predetermined unless the parties have contracted differently.

When a property is substantially destroyed, if the buyer has not taken legal title or possession of the property and the buyer is not at fault in the property’s destruction, then the seller cannot enforce the contract. The buyer may recover any portion of the price already paid. If the buyer has taken possession or legal title, and the seller is not at fault in the property’s destruction, the seller may enforce the terms of the contract.

Likewise, while the property is in escrow, if it is taken by a government under eminent domain and the buyer has not taken possession or legal title, the seller is entitled to receive the value of the property from the taking entity, but he or she must refund any money the seller received from the buyer. If the buyer has taken possession or legal title, he or she
may receive the value of the property from the taking entity, but must pay to the seller the contract price.

c. If A Principal Dies – Should a principal die while in contract, his or her interest in the purchase agreement is determined by statute. Should the buyer die, the court appointed personal representative of the deceased (the executor or administrator) has several options. He or she may terminate the contract, continue with the purchase, or sell the deceased’s rights under the contract. The right to continue with the sale belongs to the buyer’s estate, a seller cannot enforce the contract. If the seller dies while in contract, the buyers can require, with court approval, the seller’s estate to continue with the sale.

d. Solar Energy and the Flag – No purchase contract may have any clause restricting the buyer’s right to obtain solar energy. No contract may have any clause restricting the display of the American flag. This includes restrictions in common-interest communities and CC & Rs (covenants, conditions and restrictions). There are some limitations on how the flag may be displayed.

e. Property Title & RESPA – Title is the legal evidence of ownership of a property. One of the basic assumptions when buying a property is that the buyer will receive clear title. When a property is subject to a financial obligation or use constraint, the title is encumbered. No property is completely free and clear of all title restrictions. All property may be subject to public restrictions (e.g., taxes, zoning) or private restrictions (e.g., CC & Rs, easements, financing obligations). Many purchase agreements have clauses disclosing these to the buyer. Often these clauses state the buyer will take title to the property in spite of the restriction if the restriction is common and reasonable, for example, property taxes.

The contract may or may not contain a time-frame which allows the seller to clear title defects or encumbrances. The right to extend the contract’s time frame for the seller to clear title is not automatic and will only be granted if provided for in the contract or by agreement.

Unmerchantable Title: An encumbrance, lien, restriction or claim can make the title unmarketable (e.g., unmerchantable). A real estate licensee may not offer or attempt to sell any property with an unmerchantable title unless the licensee notifies the prospective buyer of the title impairment before the buyer pays any part of the purchase price.

Federal law (Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act – RESPA) prohibits a seller from requiring a buyer to obtain title insurance from a specific title company. However, a seller may mandate a specific escrow company or lender.

This separation between escrow and title often confuses the new licensee. In the western United States, most title insurance companies are intimately associated with escrow companies where the purchase of title insurance and the purchase of escrow services seem seamless - both services and product coming as a package. In reality, title and escrow are separate and distinct services and entities. There are independent escrow companies that are not associated with a title insurance company and title insurance companies from which a buyer may purchase title insurance without using any escrow service.
f. The Integration Clause – An integration clause provides that the written contract represents the parties’ complete and final agreement – that no verbal claims made by any party prior to the signing of the contract can be relied on or are a part of the contract. However, relying on the contract’s integration clause will not shield a seller or licensee from their misrepresentations.

In one case, Epperson v. Roloff (1986), the sellers attempted to claim that the contract’s integration clause stopped the buyer from bringing a misrepresentation claim. In this case, the sellers and their agent, while showing the potential buyers the sellers’ home, made reference to and gestured toward a “solar feature” in the house. After the buyers moved in, they discovered that the solar feature was a hole in the roof covered with corrugated metal painted black. When the buyers sued for misrepresentation, the sellers claimed they had made no affirmative statements about there being a solar panel. They then argued that the contract’s integration clause prevented the buyers from relying on anything they had said unless it was in writing or in the contract. The court found that an integration clause does not bar a claim of misrepresentation when there is a duty to speak.

g. “As Is” Clause – Some laws concerning real property purchase contracts are found in statute, others are found in case law. The law concerning the “as is” clause is a common law creation. An “as is” clause requires the buyer to take the property with no warranties of fitness or condition and with all existing defects. Generally, if the property has a defect that is patent (exposed, accessible) the seller does not need to point out the defect unless there is a “special relationship” between the seller and buyer. If there is a special relationship, the seller is obligated to identify even patent defects.

The “as is” clause has its limitations. It cannot be used to force a buyer to purchase a property with a defect hidden by the seller. If the defect is latent (not readily discernable, hidden) and the seller knows of the defect, the seller must disclose it to the buyer regardless of any “as is” clause. The court found the seller has an affirmative duty to disclose to a potential buyer those adverse facts known only to the seller and which could not be reasonably discovered by the buyer. Since 1995 in Nevada, a residential property seller is required to complete the mandated Sellers Real Property Disclosure form identifying all property defects of which the seller is aware.

Additionally, if a seller (or the agent) has fraudulently and affirmatively misrepresented the condition of the property (false representation) or has intentionally concealed known defects, the “as is” clause will not shield the seller from liability.

The most important Nevada case dealing with the “as is” clause is Mackintosh v. Jack Matthews and Company (1993). Jack Matthews’s brokerage was hired by California Federal Savings and Loan (Cal Fed) to sell a Carson City foreclosed property. Clark, an employee of Cal Fed, hired a local contractor to clean and paint the home. The contractor pointed out to Clark basement water leakage and other construction defects (cracked beam, broken water pipe, etc.). Clark told the contractor not to mention the water problem to anyone. Cal Fed then listed the property “as is.”

The Mackintoshes were interested in purchasing the property. When they looked at it, the electricity was turned off and they had to use a flashlight while inspecting the basement. They noticed water in the basement but thought it was due to a recent repair of faulty plumbing. In the pest inspection report, the inspector noted “interior walls in
basement area are damaged and sheet rock is moldy from excessive moisture. The Mackintoshes reviewed and signed this report. They obtained their home loan from Cal Fed and closed escrow soon thereafter. After the close of escrow, the Mackintoshes became aware of the extensive water seepage problem and the other major construction defects.

The Mackintoshes sued Cal Fed, the brokerage and others for failure to disclose and for breach of fiduciary duty. Cal Fed argued the Mackintoshes were aware of a water problem but accepted the property, with this visible defect, “as is.” It argued that as the seller, under general contract law, it owed no special duty to the buyers to disclose patent defects. The lower court granted summary judgment for Cal Fed and the other defendants. The Mackintoshes appealed.

The Nevada Supreme Court extensively reviewed the history of the “as is” clause from cases across the nation. It found generally, that when a property was sold “as is,” the seller’s nondisclosure of adverse information (e.g., defects) will not allow the buyer to rescind the contract or sue for damages. When a defect is patent, the buyer will only be able to rescind or get damages if the seller made actual false statements, not just remained silent.

Moreover, since the buyer was put on notice of a possible defect, the buyer had an affirmative duty to reasonably inquire as to the nature of the problem or defect— the traditional “should have known” rule. This principle provides that if a buyer knew, or should have known using reasonable diligence, of a defect, yet accepted the property anyway, the buyer waives any right to seek damages for that defect. The court found that since the Mackintoshes were aware of some water damage, they were required to investigate further the potential water problem. Since they did not do this, under traditional legal rules, they would lose their lawsuit. However, the court said in this case there was a “special relationship” between the parties thereby making the seller obligated to disclose even patent defects.

A special relationship occurs when one party confides in and relies on, the other party because of the nature of their relationship. In Mackintosh, the court found that Cal Fed was not only the seller but the lender as well. As their lender, the Mackintoshes confided personal and confidential information to Cal Fed - information a buyer would not normally share with a seller, and Cal Fed took the property as security for their loan - thereby reasonably leading the Mackintoshes to believe that there was a “special relationship” between themselves and Cal Fed. This special relationship invested Cal Fed with the requirement of full disclosure which nullified the onerous effects of the “as is” clause. Based on its finding of a special relationship, the court reversed the lower court’s ruling and found for the Mackintoshes.

A licensee should never rely on an “as is” clause to shield him or her from non-disclosure liability. A licensee must always disclose, as soon as practicable and to each party to the transaction, all material and relevant facts, data or information relating to the property. This disclosure is required whether the licensee had actual knowledge of the information, or “should have known” of it using reasonable diligence.

A. Unconscionability – No purchase agreement may have unconscionable clauses. The Nevada Supreme Court stated “[a] contract is unconscionable only when the clauses of that contract and the circumstances existing at the time of the execution of the contract are so one-sided as to oppress or unfairly surprise an innocent party.”

An unconscionable clause is one that requires a party to do an act which affronts the sense of justice, decency, or reasonableness, or which requires a party to give up a vested right.
For example, a contract provision that provides for only one principal to pay the other principal’s attorney fees regardless who is at fault, is unconscionable. As is a clause that allows one party to unilaterally alter the terms of the contract while still holding the other party accountable. For instance, an unconscionable seller’s clause would be

“seller reserves the right to increase the sale price or cancel this contract without penalty. Should seller exercise this right, buyer agrees to give up his right to mediate, arbitrate or seek damages or specific performance against seller.”

The courts reserve to themselves the right to determine if various clauses are unconscionable. If they find a clause unconscionable, it may be stricken from the contract or the whole contract may be unenforceable.

3. LICENSEE DUTIES

A licensee has specific statutory duties to the client and other principals when handling a purchase agreement. These include general duties such as the requirement not to be negligent, to specific duties such as ensuring a copy of the contract has been given to the client.

a. Reasonable Skill and Care – A licensee has the duty to use reasonable skill and care with respect to all parties to the real estate transaction. What is reasonable skill and care? It is the skill and care that a reasonably prudent licensee brings to any transaction by applying the licensee’s knowledge of the law, the profession, and the property. The licensee, at a minimum, must have the degree of knowledge required to obtain a then current real estate license. This requires the licensee to keep current with all real estate related laws and to understand and properly adhere to those laws. Additionally, reasonable skill and care includes the licensee reasonably obtaining knowledge of the material and pertinent facts about the property and the transaction.

Reasonable care requires the licensee not to act in an irresponsible, careless or negligent manner. Not being negligent entails understanding all the terms of the purchase agreement and completing the contract to ensure each term is lawful and represents the client’s wishes. In Real Estate Division v. Soeller (1982), Soeller was the listing broker for the Bennetts who were selling their Lake Tahoe property. Lund, a licensee with another brokerage, made a personal offer on the property. Soeller never reviewed Lund’s offer before presenting it to his clients. He missed that Lund had not included a close of escrow date.

After the contract was signed, he did not verify if Lund had deposited the earnest money when she opened escrow. When a question arose, he instructed Lund
to negotiate directly with the Bennetts because he was going on vacation.

Eventually, Lund was unable to perform on the contract and verbally agreed with the Bennetts to cancel escrow. Nevertheless, she did not cancel the escrow nor did Soeller, the Bennett’s broker, ever verify escrow was cancelled. Lund later found a buyer for the property (Howarth) and agreed to sell the Bennetts’ property to Howarth in a double escrow for several thousands of dollars over the Bennetts’ asking price. Because there was no close of escrow date on the purchase agreement and the escrow had not been cancelled, Lund proceeded to force the Bennetts to sell the property to her which she, in turn, immediately sold to Howarth. The profit was identified as “commission” to Lund’s brokerage. Soeller received his full commission. When Soeller was sued, he claimed both the Bennetts and Howarth had full disclosure of the transaction as it was laid out in the escrow instructions. However, the court found there were at least three different sets of escrow instructions and there may have been more.

The Real Estate Commissioners found Lund, his brokers, and Soeller, in violation of NRS 645 for misconduct, negligence, lack of supervision, lack of honest dealing, and failure to protect the interests of their clients. Lund’s license was revoked, Soeller’s and the brokers’ licenses were suspended. None of the licensees had used the reasonable skill or care necessary to protect the interests of their clients.

b. Writing Reviewed - A licensee must ensure all the terms and conditions of the purchase agreement are in writing and that it is properly signed by all parties. The licensee must make certain each change or modification of the agreement is incorporated into the contract and signed or initialed by the appropriate parties.

c. Authentic Terms - The purchase agreement must accurately reflect the authentic terms of the parties’ agreement. For example, a licensee may not represent (either verbally or in writing) to any lender or other interested party, an amount in excess of the actual sale price, terms differing from those actually agreed upon, or name any false consideration. Any misstatement or concealment of a material fact to a lender is fraud and will subject that person to criminal and civil lawsuits as well as regulatory discipline. A licensee who supplies false terms is in breach of NRS 645.3205 which states:

“A licensee shall not deal with any party to a real estate transaction in a manner which is deceitful, fraudulent or dishonest.”

Including a false term or fact or excluding any material term or fact from the purchase agreement in order to deceive anyone is fraud and such behavior is illegal. Anyone attempting to obtain anything of value using false pretense with the intent to deceive may be found guilty of a category B felony. This prohibition includes submitting a false or fraudulent appraisal to any financial institution or other interested party.

d. No Inducement to Breach - A licensee should not for personal gain, induce any party to the purchase agreement to breach it in order to substitute a new agreement. The question becomes, what is the liability if the licensee presents an offer and the client breaks an existing contract in order to accept the new offer? The answer is, the licensee should not be liable as long as the licensee did not actively persuade the client to break the current contract.

Whenever a contract is breached, there is the potential for damage claims or a specific performance lawsuit from the non-breaching party. A licensee who encourages the breach of a contract may

A category B felony is one to six years in prison and/or a fine of $10,000 per charge.

In a specific performance lawsuit, the non-breaching party asks the court to enforce the terms of the contract – in other words to force the seller to sell the property.
be liable in tort to both the seller and buyer and face disciplinary charges from the Real Estate Division.

In Nolan v. Real Estate Division (1969), Joe Nolan, a broker, took a listing from the Wests. After his exclusive listing expired, the Wests gave him and another licensee, Stevens, open listings. Stevens eventually presented an offer to the Wests, which they accepted. Later that evening, Nolan presented another offer and he urged the Wests to disregard the Stevens’ offer, stating that, in his opinion, the Wests would net a larger amount with his offer. When Mr. West expressed his concern about being sued, Nolan said he would pay the costs of any lawsuit and personally purchase the property if it didn’t sell. The Wests then breached their contract with Stevens’ client. Eventually, Stevens’ client sued the Wests in district court for specific performance. They also filed a complaint with the Division. The Real Estate Commission held a hearing in which they found Nolan violated various provisions of the NRS and ordered his license suspended for three months. After several appeals, the case was sent back to the Commissioners to determine if Nolan’s actions were motivated by the opportunity for his personal gain.

A licensee must be careful not to encourage a client to breach a contract otherwise the licensee, and his or her broker, may be liable under NRS 645, and in tort to the non-breaching party. In any situation in which the client is tempted to breach an existing contract, the licensee must advise the client to seek legal counsel before acting. Brokers should always keep in mind they are ultimately responsible for their licensees’ actions, including the drafting and handling of offers and the client’s purchase agreement.

e. Copies – Once an offer has been accepted and there is a completed and signed purchase agreement, the licensee must deliver a copy to the principals within a reasonable time. The licensee also is required to provide the broker with a copy of the agreement within five days after the paperwork is signed. The broker must keep a copy of the purchase agreement (and all related records whether or not the transaction ever closed) for five years.

f. Closing Documents – In Nevada, the majority of licensees and clients use escrow companies to coordinate and close the transaction. Other states and regions have different practices. In the Eastern half of the United States, most real estate transactions are settled in attorneys’ offices. In other regions, closings may occur in a broker’s office. However, even in Nevada, not all real estate transactions involve escrow companies. Because a closing may not occur in an escrow office, the licensee has a duty to ensure that within 10 business days after the transaction has closed, a complete, detailed closing statement is delivered to the principals. The seller’s closing statement must show all the receipts and disbursements made by the broker for the seller. The buyer’s closing statement must show all money received in the transaction, how and for what it was disbursed. A true copy of the closing statements must be kept in the broker’s file. The licensee is relieved of this duty if an escrow holder furnishes those statements.
4. REQUIREMENTS FOR SPECIALIZED PURCHASE AGREEMENTS

Not all real estate purchase agreements are created equal. Depending on the type of real estate being sold, there are specific clauses or provisions that must be incorporated into the purchase agreement before it is enforceable.

a. Common-Interest-Community Contracts – A residential common-interest-community property (CIC – also known as a homeowners’ association) is “real estate with respect to which a person, by virtue of his ownership of a unit, is obligated to pay for real estate other than that unit.” In a CIC, a unit’s owner must pay for the upkeep of common property, usually through a monthly assessment, fee or dues. The law governing CICs is found in NRS 116, which is administered by the Real Estate Division. By statute, the common law supplements the CIC statutes. However, no agreement or contract may modify or waive any homeowners’ rights granted by statute.

As with all contracts, no clause in the CIC purchase agreement may be unconscionable. Additionally, each CIC purchase contract imposes the duty of good faith performance on each party.

Sales of homes with a CIC are divided into the unit’s first sale (where the seller is the “declarant”) and resales. In a first sale, the declarant is required to provide the buyer with a copy of the Public Offering Statement. This extensive disclosure document outlines the buyer’s rights, including the right to cancel the purchase contract within five days after signing the contract. To exercise this right, the buyer (or his or her agent), must not have personally inspected the unit. If they have inspected it, there is no right of cancellation. This right must also be stated in the purchase agreement.

On resales, a buyer must be given a resale disclosure package at the unit owner’s expense. The buyer may cancel the contract without penalty five days after he or she receives the package whether or not the unit was inspected. The cancellation provision must also be in the purchase agreement.

In either cancellation case – new or resale - all payments made by the buyer before cancellation must be promptly refunded.

b. Unimproved Lots and Subdivisions – Both federal law and the NRS regulate the sale of unimproved and subdivided property. The federal law is the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (ILSFDA), which regulates unimproved subdivided lots. Nevada’s subdivided land law is found in NRS 119. Regardless of which law is used, the developer must provide the Nevada Real Estate Division with extensive information on the subdivision. The information required includes a copy of the purchase agreement form the developer will be using.

The developer/owner is ultimately responsible for the business acts of the brokers, salespeople, or representatives he or she has employed. However, the broker of record, or the authorized salesperson, is required to sign the purchase contract. Therefore, the broker should be satisfied that the contract meets the minimum legal requirements. The broker or salesman must understand the terms of the contract as he or she is required to review each document and disclosure with the prospective buyer. If a question arises as to the meaning of a clause, the licensee should refer the buyers to their lawyer before getting into too much detail.

The broker must obtain a signed receipt from the buyer stating the buyer received the required documents. This receipt and a copy of the contract must be kept by the broker.
broker for three years or until one year after the final payment on a contract of sale, which ever is longer.\textsuperscript{131}

Nevada requires specific wording and clauses in all subdivision purchase contracts. The regulations identify not only the wording but the format and size of print type that must be used.\textsuperscript{132} Timing is important. Many of the required subdivision disclosures must be given to prospective buyers before the buyer signs any contract.

Each contract must contain the following statement in 12-point boldface type at the top of the contract:\textsuperscript{133}

This is a binding contract by which you agree to purchase an interest in real property. You should examine your rights of revocation contained elsewhere in this contract.

Also, the following wording must be clearly and conspicuously placed just above the buyer’s signatures:

The purchaser of any subdivision or any lot, parcel, unit or interest in any subdivision not exempted pursuant to the provisions of NRS 119.120 or 119.122 may cancel the contract of sale, by written notice, until midnight of the fifth calendar day following the date of execution of the contract, unless the contract prescribes a longer period for cancellation. The right of cancellation may not be waived. Any attempt by the developer to obtain such a waiver results in a contract which is voidable by the purchaser.

The notice of cancellation must be delivered personally to the developer or sent by certified mail or telegraph to the business address of the developer.

The developer shall, within 15 days after receipt of the notice of cancellation, return all payments made by the purchaser.\textsuperscript{134} Whether the property being sold is located in Nevada or elsewhere, if the buyer is procured in Nevada, the contract must also contain the following statement:

This contract is to be construed according to the laws of the State of Nevada and specifically chapter 119 of NRS, or

This contract is to be construed according to the laws of ______. Any purchaser solicited in the State of Nevada retains those rights granted him under chapter 119 of NRS.\textsuperscript{135}

The contract must list all major improvements in the subdivision. If a separate document contains the description of the major improvements, that document must be incorporated into the purchase agreement by reference. The Division will decide which improvements are considered major.\textsuperscript{136}

If the deed won’t be delivered to the buyer until 180 days after the close of escrow, the contract must say so. The buyer must be advised at signing that the contract should be recorded in the county where the property is located to give public notice of the buyer’s interest in that property.\textsuperscript{137}

The purchase contract may not contain any wording similar to: “[P]urchaser agrees that no representations, oral or implied, have been made to purchaser other than what is contained in this contract.”\textsuperscript{138}

Neither the developer, broker nor salesperson may make any written or oral statements, which change the true nature or legal significance of any document approved by the RED,\textsuperscript{139} nor can they state that the subdivision was approved by the RED.\textsuperscript{140}

The broker, salesperson, or developer may be sued by the buyer for any violation of NRS or NAC 119. The Division
may press criminal charges, as well as hold administrative hearings, against violators.141

c. Purchase Contracts Requiring Court Approval – Certain purchase agreements require court approval or confirmation. These may include contracts executed by an executor or administrator for a deceased’s estate; those where the owner is legally incompetent and under a guardianship; certain trust purchase agreements; or those contracts where the seller has filed for bankruptcy.

Trusts: A trustee is a fiduciary to the trust and, by extension, to the beneficiaries. The trustee cannot take personal advantage of his or her position. Therefore, a trustee must have the approval of a court when the trustee is personally purchasing property from, or selling property to, the trust estate.142

Probate: In a probate situation where an executor or administrator is selling the deceased’s real property, public notice must be given, there must be a court hearing, and the court must review the purchase contract prior to confirming the sale. When an offer has been presented to the court for confirmation at a hearing, any would-be buyer may bid on it. If the second bid is within certain statutory guidelines, the court may accept that offer, order a new sale, or conduct a public auction in open court.143 The licensee must be aware that no purchase contract for the sale of real property in probate is binding on the deceased’s estate until confirmed by the court.143

Guardianship: In a guardianship, there is a court appointed guardian who administers the estate of the ward. A ward is a legally declared incompetent who is, by reason of mental or other incapacity, unable to properly manage and care for his or her property. A guardian may also be appointed for a minor. There are various types of guardians, e.g., guardian of the estate, guardian of the person, special guardian, and guardian ad litem, each with their own restrictions and authority.

Once a guardian is court appointed, all of the ward’s property is put into a trust held for the ward’s benefit. Generally, the guardian is given the authority to purchase or sell real property for the ward’s estate. Each contract must be confirmed by the court before title passes. Much like probate, the sale of a ward’s real property requires public notice, a court hearing, and confirmation. At the public hearing, other persons may bid against an existing purchase agreement. The court may confirm a higher bid, thereby setting aside the original purchase contract. 144

Bankruptcy: When a person files for bankruptcy145 all of the property which the debtor owns, and the debtor’s interests in other property, becomes part of the bankruptcy estate.146 Depending on the bankruptcy chapter, the estate is under the control of a bankruptcy trustee147 who may sell the estate’s real property with court approval.148

If the debtor is in contract to purchase or sell real property prior to filing bankruptcy, the trustee has the authority to set aside that contract and cancel the transaction.149 Also, under certain conditions, the trustee may undo a closed transaction if it was done within the recent past and was consummated to defraud creditors or strip the estate of value before the debtor filed bankruptcy.150

All purchase contracts accepted by the bankruptcy trustee must be approved at a court hearing.151 Once approved, the property can be sold and the proceeds distributed to the debtor’s creditors.
C. ALTERNATIVE CONTRACTS

There are other types of purchase contracts with which the licensee should be familiar—the installment contract and the option contract. Ordinary real property purchase contracts do not anticipate a long-term relationship between the buyer and the seller. However, the drafter of an installment contract needs to anticipate just such a relationship. These contracts act as financing instruments as well as purchase contracts.

The option contract does not in and of itself provide for the purchase of real estate. It is a distinct, separate agreement in which the seller agrees to hold available for a buyer the option to purchase the property at a certain price on some future date. Some option agreements are mated with lease agreements creating the hybrid “lease with option to purchase.” That contract, depending on its wording, may have all the characteristics of a potential purchase agreement, a lease, an option and a mortgage.

1. INSTALLMENT CONTRACT

An installment contract may be called a land sale contract, land contract, contract for deed, bond for title, or articles of agreement for warranty deed. There is some confusion when referring to an installment contract as a “contract of sale” or a “contract for sale;” the Nevada courts have used both terms when referring to installment contracts and ordinary purchase agreements. In an installment contract, the buyer makes periodic payments to the seller, in return the buyer receives equitable title and often takes immediate possession of the property. Legal title is retained by the seller. Upon the successful conclusion of all the buyer’s payments, the seller is obligated to transfer legal title to the buyer.

The licensee should not confuse an installment contract in which the seller retains legal title, with a contract in which the buyer gets legal title but pays the seller the purchase price in installments. The latter arrangement is a traditional mortgage, the buyer is the mortgagor and the seller is the mortgagee.

Some installment contracts “wrap” an existing loan into the buyer’s installment payments. An example of a wrap situation is where the amount of the seller’s existing mortgage payment is wrapped (included) in the buyer’s payment to the seller.

A carefully drafted installment contract must ensure each party’s rights. It should, at a minimum, address the party’s obligations; assignment rights; payment of existing loans or liens; creation and payment of future loans or liens; identify tax obligations and deductions; allocate maintenance; address insurance rights and responsibilities; state default conditions and remedies; and establish final title transfer requirements and procedures. An installment contract is a legally complex document and should only be drafted by a licensed attorney.
Liability: Both buyer and seller need to be made aware of the potential liability inherent in an installment contract. For example, a seller may have a buyer that does not meet all the obligations under the installment contract, damages the property, or encumbers it with liens. Depending on the contract’s wording, the seller may have to file for a judicial foreclosure to evict the buyer and then sue for damages.

On the other hand, keeping legal title in the seller’s name can be a problem for the buyer. The seller may default on an existing loan; sell the property; further encumber it; or have a judgment or lien attach to the property; each of which could effect or terminate any rights of the buyer. The buyer could sue the seller for breach of contract, but may still lose the property.

One way to protect the buyer’s rights is to record the installment contract. In Title Ins. and Trust Co. v. Chicago Title Ins. Co. (1981), Moser lent Fullmer money secured by a deed of trust on Fullmer’s property. Title Insurance Co. was the trustee. Fullmer then sold the property to Suleman under an installment contract, which was recorded. Suleman took possession and immediately constructed the Peter Pan Motel. Suleman later assigned his interest under the installment contract to Nazarali. Fullmer eventually defaulted on the note to Moser, who foreclosed under the deed of trust.
At the trustee’s foreclosure sale the property was sold to a third-party. Soon thereafter, the trustee, Title Insurance Co., discovered it had missed the recorded installment contract. The law requires a trustee to give notice of the trustee’s sale to anyone who has an interest in the property. Under the installment contract Suleman, and through him Nazarali, his assignee, had an equitable title interest in the property. Therefore, Suleman was entitled to receive the foreclosure notice.

Neither Suleman nor Nazarali ever received a Notice of Default or a Notice of Trustee’s Sale. In response to the lawsuit, the court voided the trustee’s sale and Nazarali was given the opportunity to cure the default with Moser. “But for” the recorded installment contract, Suleman and Nazarali would have lost the property and their investments.

As a Financing Instrument: Depending on the contract’s wording, the contract may be considered an executory contract for money owed, or a mortgage. This is significant. If the contract is breached, the remedies are different depending on how the installment contract is classified.

The law requires a mortgagee to foreclose before he or she can sue for damages under a breach of contract. Thus, if the installment contract is classified as a mortgage, the seller will have to go through a judicial foreclosure before he or she can regain possession of the property. One reason many sellers opt for an installment contract is to avoid this very situation.

If the installment contract is a contract for money owed, then the seller can use the unlawful detainer and eviction rules to remove the buyer, and immediately sue for damages.
Historically, an installment contract would only be considered a mortgage if a deed was executed by the seller to the buyer and the seller reserved to him or herself a security interest. However, depending on the contract’s wording, today’s courts may find an installment contract is a mortgage without the reservation right or a signed deed. It is more likely to be considered a mortgage if the contract contains onerous terms of forfeiture, or when the buyer has paid a considerable portion of the purchase price, or where the buyer has substantially improved the property, or when it would be inequitable not to allow the buyer more time to cure his or her default. The Court has said:

[e]quity will relieve from forfeiture for default in payment of money under contract for sale of land if caused by accident, fraud, surprise, mistake, inadvertence, ignorance, or if default is unintentional and due to neglect which is not willful.

It should be evident that installment contracts should not be drafted by real estate licensees nor should the licensee advise his or her client on offering or accepting an installment contract without also advising the client to seek legal advice.

2. OPTION TO PURCHASE AND LEASE WITH OPTION

An option contract is a separate, independent contract even though it may contain the necessary terms of the purchase agreement. An option requires the seller to hold open to the buyer, for a set time and with set terms, the right to purchase the property. Depending on its wording, the option’s consideration may be independent of the purchase agreement and non-refundable, or it may be incorporated into the property’s purchase price once the option is exercised. The seller is the optionor, the buyer is the optionee.

Unlike a purchase agreement, an option confers no interest in the property; it is merely a contractual right to acquire the property in the future. An option is a unilateral contract that becomes a bilateral contract once the option is exercised. It is unilateral in that only one party is required to perform. The buyer may or may not choose to exercise the option, but the seller must sell to the buyer if the buyer does exercise it. The exercise of the option must be unequivocally performed on its original terms to be binding.

As option contracts are distinct from the offer and purchase agreement, they need different types of terms. The parties should identify in the option contract what rights each one has regarding a party’s death, the property’s destruction, the option’s assignability, and the eventual disposition of the consideration. Time to perform must be stated. Nevada’s Supreme Court has said that all option contracts, by their very nature, are time sensitive and will be read as having a “time is of the essence” clause.
Unlike purchase contracts or offers, the death of a party does not terminate the option contract; however, rejection of the option does. The buyer’s rejection of the option before the option’s end-date ends the option and the seller is free to sell to another party.

Lease with Option to Purchase - Because the option is a separate, distinct contract, there is some confusion as to the nature of the hybrid “Lease with Option to Purchase.” This document claims to be a rental agreement, thereby establishing a landlord and tenant relationship; an option contract, giving the tenant an option to purchase on set terms and conditions; and, depending on the contract’s wording, an installment contract if a portion of the rent is used to build-up the tenant’s equity.

A tenant may build equity depending on how the option’s consideration or the rental security is applied and how the rental payments are apportioned. The buyer’s payment may be solely rent or some of it may be applied to the down payment. Each choice has consequences as to how the contract will be interpreted by a court. Again, depending on the lease's wording, a breach of the lease terms will not always terminate the option. If the lease with option contract is not carefully drafted, the court may find the tenant has an equitable interest in the property. Once an equitable interest is established, eviction of a defaulting tenant/buyer requires a judicial foreclosure and the landlord/seller may have to refund money to the tenant/buyer.

**CAUTION!**
A lease with option agreement should not be drafted using a common boiler plate purchase agreement form. No licensee should suggest, or attempt to draft, a lease with option but should advise the client to obtain legal advice.
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An offer is only the first step toward a legally binding purchase contract. In Nevada, most residential purchase offers are prepared using preprinted forms even though there is no state mandated purchase form. When a broker is used, the licensee is responsible for ensuring the form is complete with clear and definite terms. The licensee has a duty, when requested, to put into writing all bona fide offers made in good faith. The licensee must always present all offers made to, or by, the client as soon as practicable. Regardless of any instruction or preference of the licensee’s client, at no time may a broker reject or modify an offer because the offeror is of a protected class. Once an offer has been reviewed by the offeree, if it is rejected, the licensee is charged with obtaining a written notice, signed by the offeree, informing the offeror of the rejection.

Once an offer has been accepted, it turns into a purchase agreement. Every purchase agreement must comply with the statute of frauds. It 1) must be in writing, 2) state the consideration given by the parties for the contract, and 3) be signed by the owner (seller), or his or her lawfully authorized agent. Unless the licensee has a separate notarized and recorded power-of-attorney, the licensee is not authorized to sign the purchase agreement for the client. Nevada law authorizes an electronic format for any transaction or contract in which the parties agree to conduct their business electronically.

The law requires specific contract clauses which affect the parties’ various responsibilities or rights. These include public policy provisions which are automatically read into each contract and prohibitions against certain restrictions or covenants. Additionally, the purchase agreement must accurately reflect the authentic terms of the parties’ agreement. It cannot contain any discriminatory language indicating a preference for, or a bias against, anyone of a protected class.

Some purchase agreements must have certain clauses or provisions before they are enforceable. Others require court approval such as probate, guardianship, or bankruptcy contracts. Finally, the licensee should be aware of installment contracts and option contracts (including the lease with option to purchase).

A licensee has statutory duties when dealing with purchase agreements. These include general duties - not being negligent, and specific duties - ensuring a copy of the contract was given to the client. First among these is the duty to use reasonable skill and care with respect to all parties to the real estate transaction. A licensee may not induce any party to the purchase agreement to breach that agreement in order to substitute a new one if the licensee will secure personal gain from the breach.

Purchase contracts should not be drafted by real estate licensees nor should the licensee advise his or her client to accept an unknown or complicated contract type without also advising the client to seek legal advice.
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There is an old saying in real estate that when in doubt – disclose, disclose, disclose. Because the licensee helps to facilitate most real estate transactions, the burden of disclosure falls heaviest on the agent. Over the years, extensive law and regulation has evolved requiring a host of disclosures by the licensee. Many disclosures concern the property, but the licensee must also disclose certain specifics about the licensee's agency and the transaction.

1. WHY DISCLOSE?

The purpose for full and honest disclosure is to ensure all parties to the transaction have sufficient information on key issues to make informed decisions.1 These disclosures may be as broad as demanding the licensee disclose "any material and relevant facts, data or information … relating to the property,"2 to as narrow as requiring the licensee to disclose the possibility of lead-based paint on the property.3

The duty of disclosure varies with the licensee's relationship to a party. For example, the licensee owes to all parties the obligation of disclosing all material facts concerning the property as well as certain facts regarding the licensee's status and source of compensation.4 In addition, the licensee owes to the client not only the above, but full and honest disclosure of all material facts concerning the transaction.5

2. SOURCES OF DISCLOSURE LAW

The laws requiring disclosure have a variety of sources – statute, regulation, common law, and contract. Statutes and regulations are found in federal, state and local laws, codes and ordinances. By contract, the licensee and client may agree to have the licensee make disclosures he or she would otherwise not be required by law to make.

Nevada statutes and regulations: Nevada's laws are codified in the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS). Most real estate licensees are familiar with NRS Chapter 645, the laws governing real estate licensees; however, disclosure requirements are found throughout the NRS.6

NAC stands for the Nevada Administrative Code. Each state agency that licenses a profession has a set of regulations which govern that profession. These regulations are found in the NAC. Like the NRS, other sections of the NAC have disclosure requirements that are applicable to the real estate licensee, property or transaction.7

Federal laws and regulations: The federal government has applicable real estate disclosure laws. Some of these disclosures deal with the same topics as state law,8 while others are specific to federal law.9
Like Nevada, federal law has its statutes known as the United States Code (USC). These are the laws passed by Congress. Corresponding federal regulations are found in the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR). Additionally, various federal agencies, such as HUD, have their own statements of policy that are applicable to the real estate transaction.

Local laws: Some disclosures are required by local government entities such as counties and cities. These may be found in the respective government codes or ordinances. Moreover, there are “hybrid” governing entities that take their authority from various federal and state laws. For example, the Tahoe Regional Planning Authority (TRPA) that controls and monitors development and land use practices in the Lake Tahoe basin, is a federally related agency with interstate jurisdiction. The TRPA has its own Code of Ordinances that regulate land use. Any licensee dealing with Lake Tahoe properties must be aware of restrictions imposed by the TRPA and disclose relevant TRPA issues.

Common law: Historically, case law provided that a licensee was responsible for disclosing the condition of the property, the condition of the neighborhood, and conditions of the transaction that affected the client.

Today, most common law disclosures have been codified into statute. However, sometimes the statute is not specific as to how or when a disclosure is to be made. At those times, a review of the original case law may bring into focus the edges of the disclosure’s boundaries.

Contract: By contract, the broker may agree to disclose to the client items which the broker is otherwise exempt from disclosing. For example, a buyer’s agent is not required by law to disclose if the property was the site of a death; however, the buyer and licensee may agree that the agent will disclose if the licensee is aware of someone dying on the property.

The licensee and client may not contract to do an illegal thing. For example, no agreement between a seller and the listing agent will relieve the licensee of liability for the nondisclosure of a material defect of the property.

3. DUTY OF INQUIRY AND “SHOULD HAVE KNOWN”

Disclosure is a core duty to agency. The licensee has a duty to disclose to each party to the transaction any material and relevant facts, data, or information which he or she knows, or which, by the exercise of reasonable care and diligence, should have known, relating to the property. This includes information of which the licensee has actual knowledge as well as facts about which licensee must inquire.

The licensee should note that material “facts”, not just problems or defects, must be disclosed. A “material fact” is any fact that is likely to influence a principal about the desirability of the property or the transaction. Such facts include the licensee’s agency status and loyalty, facts about the real estate transaction, certain facts about the property.

A licensee must disclose facts of which the licensee has actual knowledge. What is actual knowledge? The Nevada Supreme Court has found:

[a]ctual knowledge consists not only of what one certainly knows, but also consists in information which he might obtain by investigating facts which he does know and which impose upon him a duty to investigate.

The law requires the licensee to inquire about facts or situations that would cause a reasonable person to question an item. A licensee will not be allowed to ignore

10. For example, the presence and compliance certification of a wood burning stove. Washoe County Health Department Regulation 040.051 §§ A & D.
11. Federal Public Law 96-551 (12-19-80) [94 Stat. 3234], wherein the U.S. Congress established the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA), administered in cooperation between the states of California and Nevada (see NRS 321.595 et seq., NRS 268.098).
15. NRS 40.770 (5).
16. NRS 645.252 (1)(a).
18. NRS 645.252.
“danger signals” or “red lights”, to gloss over conflicting information; or to act carelessly in obtaining material facts about the property, under the excuse that the licensee did not “know for certain” or did not have “actual knowledge” of relevant facts.

This does not mean the licensee must become a property inspector, private eye or lawyer. It does mean the licensee is required to use “reasonable care and diligence” to investigate “any material and relevant facts, data or information relating to the property.”

4. EXEMPTIONS AND EXCEPTIONS

When reviewing any disclosure law, the licensee should identify the statute’s exemptions or exceptions to ensure the law is properly followed.

a. Stigmatized property - Nevada’s stigmatized property law contains a list of certain facts that a licensee does not need to disclose.

These exempted facts include:
1. Whether the property was the site of a homicide, suicide or death by any other means, except deaths caused by a condition of the property (for example, faulty wiring);
2. Whether the property was occupied by a person with human immunodeficiency virus or HIV, or any other disease that is not known to be transmitted by living in the property;
3. Whether the property was the site of a felony or any other crime, except if the crime was the manufacture of methamphetamine and the property has not been rehabilitated;
4. Whether a sex offender resides or is expected to reside in the community; and
5. Whether the property is located near a licensed facility for transitional living for released offenders.

Waiver Can Create Broker Liability: If the licensee is exempt from disclosing certain facts, the broker may waive the exemption by contract. NRS 40.770 (5) provides that a buyer’s broker can agree to be liable to the buyer for not disclosing the property was the site:
1. Of a homicide, suicide or death;
2. Of a crime punishable as a felony; or
3. Occupied by a person with AIDS.

Additionally, the broker and buyer may agree to have the broker disclose:
4. The fact that a sex offender resides in the community; or
5. That the property is located near a facility for transitional living for released offenders.

The statute only states a buyer’s broker may agree to make these disclosures; however, there is no statute stopping a seller and listing broker from agreeing to disclose to a buyer information the broker is not otherwise required to disclose. For example, the seller may allow the listing broker to disclose that the seller has received a notice from the local law enforcement agency that a sex offender is living in the neighborhood.

Should a broker consent to take on such liability to make those disclosures, the parties’ contract controls the how, when and to whom the disclosure will be made.

A “stigmatized” property is a property considered “damaged” because of an event that occurred there; for example, a murder. Generally, it does not refer to actual physical damage or a property defect.

NRS 179D.400 defines a “Sex Offender” as a person who is: (a) Convicted of a sexual offense listed in NRS 179D.410; or (b) Found guilty by a court of a sexual offense, such as: (1) A sexually violent predator, or (2) A nonresident sex offender who is a student or worker within this state.

“RELEASED OFFENDERS” are persons who have been released from prison and who require assistance with reintegration into the community. NRS 449.0055
b. Client Misrepresentations - By law, it cannot be assumed that the licensee has the client's knowledge about the property. In Prigge v. South Seventh Realty, (1981) Prigge purchased a property and then sued South Seventh Realty for falsely representing in the listing that the home was frame and stucco, which it was not. Prigge argued that the brokerage should be liable for all facts, false or not, contained in a listing. South Seventh Realty argued it had simply relied upon the statements of the sellers and it did not know, nor did it have reason to know, the seller's statements were false. The court, in finding for the brokerage, stated an agent had the right to rely on the statements of the client, unless there was contradicting information.

Interestingly, in the Prigge case, the court opined that Prigge did not argue that South Seventh Realty should have known the true facts if it had exercised reasonable care. Had Prigge argued the agent should have known whether a building was frame and stucco or otherwise, the court's finding may have been different.

A licensee cannot be held liable for the Seller's nondisclosure of information on the Seller's Real Property Disclosure Statement, if:

1. The licensee did not know of the nondisclosure, or
2. The information is of public record readily available to the public.

The licensee will be held liable for nondisclosure if the licensee knows the client made a misrepresentation and did not tell the recipient that the statement was false. The courts will not allow a licensee who knew better to avoid liability by hiding behind a client's misrepresentation.

c. No Duty to Investigate: Professional Inspections or Financial Condition - A licensee does not need to independently verify the accuracy of a statement concerning the property made by a duly licensed or certified professional. A licensee should disclose to the client that a professional report exists if the licensee is aware of such a report; however, the licensee is exempted from any duty to independently verify the accuracy of that report.

A licensee is not required to independently investigate the financial condition of a party to the real estate transaction. For example, a seller cannot claim it was the licensee's responsibility to investigate and disclose the buyer's credit; nor can a buyer claim it was the licensee's responsibility to investigate and disclose the seller's financial condition.

There is a caveat to these exemptions – should a licensee independently verify the accuracy of an inspection or investigate the financial condition of a party, the licensee then assumes the liability for any inaccuracies in the disclosure. A licensee cannot escape responsibility for misinformation or inaccuracy by claiming he or she was not legally obligated to disclose it in the first place and therefore, should not be held liable for the inadequate or inaccurate disclosure.
d. Disclosure and the “As Is” Clause - An “as is” clause in a purchase agreement requires the buyer to take the property with no warranties of fitness or condition and with all existing defects. Since 1995, Nevada residential sellers are required to complete the mandated Sellers Real Property Disclosure form identifying all defects in the property of which they are aware. A seller cannot escape this disclosure duty by inserting an “as is” clause in the purchase agreement.

A licensee should never rely on an “as is” clause to shield him or her from nondisclosure liability. A licensee must always disclose, as soon as practicable and to each party to the transaction, all material and relevant facts, data or information relating to the property. If the licensee is aware of a defect that the seller does not disclose, the licensee is bound by law to disclose the defect.

5. CLIENT CONFIDENCES AND CONSUMER PRIVACY

A licensee may not disclose the client’s confidential information for one year after the revocation or termination of the brokerage agreement. Some professional trade organizations require extended time frames for keeping client confidences.

What constitutes a client’s confidential information varies from transaction to transaction and from client to client. The Real Estate Division defines confidential information as “the client’s motivation to purchase, trade or sell, which if disclosed, could harm one party’s bargaining position or benefit the other.”

Confidential information does include any information which a reasonable person would expect, or that a client requests, to be kept confidential.

No Misinformation: The client’s right to confidentiality does not allow the licensee to lie or give misinformation. If asked a direct question regarding a confidential matter, the licensee should state the information is confidential and refuse to answer.

Confidentiality is a statutory duty the licensee owes to the client. Nevertheless, at no time does a client’s request for confidentiality control the licensee’s disclosure duty. A licensee must disclose to all parties material and relevant facts relating to the property. This affirmative duty overrides a client’s instruction that the licensee not disclose relevant property facts such as defects.

Right Held by Client: The right of confidentiality is held by the client, not the licensee. That means it is the client’s decision on whether confidential information may be disclosed. If there is any question, the licensee must clear it with the client before disclosure. The client may authorize the licensee to disclose information that would otherwise be confidential. Any such authorization must be in writing.
Required Disclosure: When may a licensee disclose confidential information? The law authorizes the disclosure of confidential information in any one of five circumstances:

1. When a court of competent jurisdiction orders disclosure;
2. When the client authorizes in writing the disclosure;
3. When the licensee discloses information to his or her broker;\(^{37}\)
4. When the information is required to be disclosed by law; and
5. When a year has passed since the termination of the brokerage agreement.

A licensee is not bound to a client’s instruction to keep information confidential that the licensee is obligated by law to disclose.

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Privacy Disclosure Statement: In July 2001, the privacy disclosure provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLB Act) became effective.\(^{38}\) These provisions require certain disclosures to a client when handling the client’s personal financial information. The GLB Act is not relevant to most real estate transactions; however, if the broker collects the client’s personal financial information for use in any mortgage loan process or other financial acquisition procedure, the GLB Act becomes applicable.

Briefly, the GLB Act applies to all businesses engaging in a “financial activity” as defined by the Act. Generally, real estate brokerage and property management services are exempt. If the licensee is involved in ancillary financial services (such as lending or various appraisal services), then the licensee is required to provide the consumer with certain disclosure information.

There is no specific GLB disclosure form; however, the Act states what information must be included in the disclosure. If a licensee believes he or she may be subject to the GLB Act, an attorney familiar with that federal law should be consulted.

\(^{37}\) NRS 645.253.

\(^{38}\) 15 USC §6801 et seq. and 12 CFR Sec. 225.
B. THE WHEN, WHO, AND HOW OF DISCLOSURE

When reading disclosure laws, it is important to ask:

1. When must the disclosure be made? When is the last permissible time before licensee liability? When is the best time to disclose?

2. Who is responsible for making the disclosure and to Whom must the disclosure be made? Various statutes may make different persons responsible for disclosure. For example, NRS 113.130 requires the seller to disclose what he or she knows about the property. NRS 645.252(1) requires the licensee to disclose what the licensee knows or should reasonably know about the property.

3. How should the disclosure be made? Does the disclosure require a specific form? Is a verbal disclosure sufficient?

4. Finally, What needs to be disclosed - is it a matter concerning the property, the transaction, or the licensee’s agency?

1. WHEN MUST A DISCLOSURE BE MADE

An ancient Greek once said “timing is everything.” Legally, this is certainly true. When a disclosure is made can be as important as making the disclosure itself. Timing is dictated by statute, contract, or general common law principles.

a. When Required by Statute - When a statute requires a disclosure it usually indicates when that disclosure must be made. Often, it will state the last permissible time for the disclosure. For example, Nevada’s Seller's Real Property Disclosure Statement (SRPD) must be provided by the seller to a buyer “at least 10 days before residential property is conveyed to a purchaser.” Because the SRPD form is important in ensuring the buyer is aware of what the seller knows about the property, most buyer’s agents require the seller to provide the SRPD within a set time frame after signing the purchase agreement.

Alternatively, a statute may identify an event that creates the disclosure requirement. When and if the event occurs, the disclosure must be made. For example, if there is a change in the licensee's relationship to a party, the licensee must disclose that change to all parties to the transaction.
Finally, some statutes require a disclosure be made within a relative time frame. For example, the licensee is required to disclose to each party “as soon as practicable” each source from which he or she will receive compensation. When is “as soon as practicable”? Nevada’s Supreme Court has stated,

clauses … calling for notice of a liability creating event “as soon as practicable” or “promptly” or “within a reasonable time” all essentially mean the same thing. ‘ . . . “[S]uch clauses do not require instantaneous notice of an [event], but rather call for notice within a reasonable length of time under all the facts and circumstances of each particular case.” (cites omitted)43

b. When Required in Common Law - Most disclosure requirements that stem from the common law have been codified (put into statute). Nevertheless, the common law provides the licensee has a duty to speak (disclose) if the information affects the desirability of the transaction from the viewpoint of the principal.44 Common sense dictates that the disclosure should be made before the client is legally obligated, or if already obligated, as soon as possible to forestall further harm to the client.

Duty to Speak: No legal discussion of disclosures could be complete without an introduction to the licensee’s legal “duty to speak” (i.e., duty to disclose) and its corresponding doctrine of equitable estoppel.

There are two ways to breach the duty to speak; first, by not telling the truth, and second, by remaining silent.45

It is easy to see a breach of duty when the licensee tells a lie. The more difficult issue is when a licensee is silent by either not volunteering required disclosure information, or by keeping silent when the licensee knows a party is under a mistaken belief.

When the licensee has a duty to speak, the licensee cannot remain silent or allow a party to continue under a misapprehension regarding a material fact – the licensee must affirmatively disclose and correct any misunderstanding a party has. Obviously, the licensee will only be charged with the duty to disclose if the licensee is aware of a party’s misunderstanding.

Equitable Estoppel: The doctrine of equitable estoppel, very simply put, states that the law will not allow a person who has intentionally misled another to take advantage of that person’s ignorance or misunderstanding. Equitable estoppel is applicable to cases of affirmative lying or silence - “silence can raise an estoppel quite as effectively as can words.”46

In Goldstein v. Hanna, (1981)47 Hanna sold a condominium to the Goldsteins under an option to purchase. Callahan Realty was Hanna’s broker. Hanna knew Callahan gave the Goldsteins inaccurate advice about the expiration date of the option. Hanna never attempted to correct the inaccurate information. The Goldsteins, relying on that information, proceeded past the actual time frame on the option. When the Goldsteins attempted to close, Hanna refused to honor the expired option. The court held for the Goldsteins under the doctrine of equitable estoppel. It stated because Hanna was silent when he knew the Goldsteins were operating under inaccurate information, he was estopped from declaring the Goldsteins in default and he had to honor the option.

42. NRS 645.252 (1)(b).

“Equitable” refers to just action conforming to the principles of justice and right. “Estoppel” means stopping the speaker from taking unfair advantage of another person, such as when a person relies on the speaker’s false statements. The Doctrine of Equitable Estoppel means the court, in the name of justice, will not allow a speaker who has concealed facts by silence or has lied, to take advantage of a person who relied on the silence or lie. Paraphrased - Black’s Law Dictionary, 7th ed. (1999).
c. When Advertising - Advertising is the calling of public attention to a product or service by emphasizing desirable qualities so as to arouse a desire to buy or to patronize.48 Nevada law provides that the licensee must make certain disclosures when advertising the licensee’s services or property.49 These disclosures include:

1. The identification of the licensee’s licensed status;
2. Disclosing when the licensee is a principal;50 and
3. Identifying the licensee’s brokerage.51

d. When a Party Does Not Disclose - A licensee must disclose what the licensee knows about a property regardless of a seller’s similar responsibility to disclose.52 Even though a licensee cannot be held liable for knowing what the seller knows about a property,53 the licensee is responsible if the licensee knew, or reasonably should have known, of a material defect or relevant fact that a seller did not include on the Seller’s Real Property Disclosure (SRPD) form. The licensee will not be allowed to avoid this disclosure duty by relying upon the seller’s duty to disclose.

Does this mean the licensee has to verify everything on the SRPD? No, but it could be considered licensee negligence not to review the form before providing it to the buyer.

RISK REDUCTION TIP!
The prudent licensee will review the Seller’s Real Property Disclosure form, completed by the seller, in order to ensure the seller has not forgotten to list a known material fact. Should a seller refuse to disclose a relevant item, the licensee should inform the seller that under the law, the licensee is required to disclose to all parties all material and relevant property facts.

2. WHO MUST DISCLOSE AND TO WHOM?

The corollary to “when” a disclosure must be made is “who” must disclose and to “whom.”

a. Disclosure to Each Party to the Transaction – There are four major issues that must be disclosed to each party to the transaction. These are:

1. Material facts about the property;
2. The licensee’s agency status;
3. The licensee’s compensation sources; and
4. The licensee’s interest in the transaction or property (if any).54

Each party is entitled to know certain facts about the licensee’s agency and about the licensee’s sources of compensation. Additionally, the licensee must disclose any interest the licensee has in the transaction or the property. Disclosing this information to all parties ensures everyone has sufficient facts to reasonably and intelligently proceed with the transaction with full knowledge of any conflict of interest by the licensee.

b. Disclosures Only to the Client - Traditionally, all licensees worked for the seller. As times changed, the law allowed buyers to have their own agents and to demand the undivided loyalty of that agent regardless of the agent’s source of compensation.55

In addition to the issues above, the licensee is required to disclose to the client all material facts about the transaction.56 When it comes to disclosing to the client facts about the transaction, the licensee is only required to disclose facts about which the licensee has actual knowledge - there is no “should have known,” therefore, the licensee is not required to investigate transaction facts.
c. Required Disclosure to Other Parties -
There are potentially four other entities
to whom a licensee has a disclosure duty.
These are:

- The licensee’s broker;
- The Nevada Real Estate Division;
- The public (by way of advertising); and
- Applicable law enforcement agencies.

The licensee’s broker: The real estate
salesperson or broker-salesperson
performs all real estate activities through
the authority of the broker. Legally,
the broker represents the client and
the salesperson or broker-salesperson
represents the broker in all dealings
with the client.57 Since the broker
ultimately represents the client, the
broker is assumed to be vested with the
client’s confidences. Thus, the licensee
is authorized to make all disclosures
concerning the transaction or property to
his or her broker.

The Nevada Real Estate Division (RED),
as Nevada’s licensing entity, has the right
to require certain disclosures from the
licensee. These disclosures often concern
the licensee’s real estate related practices
and business issues, but may include
specific disclosures about a transaction
or property if it is the subject of a RED
proceeding.58 The RED’s authority
includes the ability to compel disclosure
of a licensee’s books and papers.59
Failure to disclose information when
validly requested by the RED, subjects
the licensee to RED discipline and the
possibility of criminal action.60

Advertising: If the licensee has a
brokerage agreement in place and
advertises a property, the advertisement
must identify the licensee’s licensed
status. This disclosure must be made
whether the licensee is acting as an agent
or as a principal.61 If the licensee has an
ownership interest in the property, the
advertisement must disclose “for sale by
owner-broker” (owner-licensee, owner-
salesperson, etc.).62

Law Enforcement: No one wants
criminals loose and doing harm. The
natural inclination is to cooperate with
law enforcement officials when asked
to provide information on a client or
client’s property. Should the licensee be
requested to provide a client’s personal
information to law enforcement, the
licensee should immediately direct the
officer to the broker. Certain client
information may be confidential and,
unless the client has authorized the
disclosure of that information, the
broker may be liable for its unauthorized
release.63 The broker should consult with
his or her legal counsel before making
such disclosure to law enforcement. The
broker’s attorney may very reasonably
and appropriately request the law
enforcement officer to provide a duly
court executed subpoena duces tecum
(subpoena of documents) before releasing
client information.

57. NRS 645.035, NRS
645.040.
58. NRS 645.195, NRS
645.400, NRS 645.580,
NRS 645.610 et seq. and
NRS 645.635 (5).
59. NRS 645.690 (1), NRS
645.720.
60. NAC 645.605 (11),
NRS 645.990 and NRS
645.993.
61. NAC 645.637.
62. NAC 645.610.
63. NRS 645.252.
3. HOW MUST YOU DISCLOSE?

a. Written vs. Verbal – Some disclosures require the use of a specific form, for example, the Duties Owed by a Nevada Licensee (agency disclosure form).\textsuperscript{64} Other disclosures may not have a specific form, but the disclosure content is determined by law, for example, the Truth-in-Lending disclosures.\textsuperscript{65} Other statutes only direct that a disclosure must be made in writing with no specific wording dictated; for example, when a licensee is acting as agent or principal.\textsuperscript{66} Some statutes require a disclosure, but do not indicate whether the disclosure must be in writing.\textsuperscript{67} Finally, some disclosures fall under a generalized disclosure requirement which does not identify specifically what item must be disclosed or how the disclosure is to be made; for example, the statute that requires the licensee to disclose all material and relevant facts relating to the property.\textsuperscript{68}

Failure to disclose is one of the Real Estate Division's most frequently received complaints against licensees.\textsuperscript{69} Most licensees understand the importance of having something in writing to prove they made a disclosure. Interestingly however, unless there is a form, many licensees are hesitant about requiring a written disclosure acknowledgment. To that end, brokerages, educators, trade associations, and individual licensees have created various disclosure forms.

b. “Homemade” Disclosure Forms - Any broker providing his or her licensees with a disclosure form that is not mandated by law should have a licensed Nevada attorney review the form and give a written opinion as to the form's legal sufficiency.

Some “homemade” disclosure forms stop being disclosure forms and attempt to become indemnification forms. Indemnification (and hold harmless) forms are an attempt to remove liability from the broker and make another party responsible. Some forms provide that should the broker be sued, the other party agrees to be responsible for any loss the broker may suffer. Even though such clauses may be legal in many contexts, they are strictly construed by the courts and any ambiguity is found against the drafter, in this case, the broker.\textsuperscript{70}

RISK REDUCTION TIP!
If a disclosure is required to be made, it should be in writing. People forget or misinterpret verbal statements. If a statute does not require a written disclosure and a question arises as to whether the disclosure was made, the burden of proof falls on the individual who claims to have made the disclosure - most often, the licensee.

Failure to disclose is one of the Real Estate Division's most frequently received complaints against licensees.\textsuperscript{69} Most licensees understand the importance of having something in writing to prove they made a disclosure. Interestingly however, unless there is a form, many licensees are hesitant about requiring a written disclosure acknowledgment. To that end, brokerages, educators, trade associations, and individual licensees have created various disclosure forms.

RISK REDUCTION TIP!
If a broker hires an attorney to draft a disclosure form, the broker should require the attorney to provide a separate letter stating the form complies with all current laws. Such homemade forms should be periodically reviewed and the legal opinion updated every several years to ensure the form's compliance with then current law. An additional suggestion is to submit the form to the broker’s errors and omissions insurance carrier for an opinion letter from the carrier (if it will provide one) approving coverage for the use of the form.
c. Delivery and Acknowledgment – Any disclosure is ineffective unless it is delivered to the person to whom it is meant to inform. Some disclosure forms, such as the Duties Owed by a Nevada Licensee, incorporate a signature line for the recipient. Some forms only require the recipient’s initials.71 Once signed and dated, this acknowledgment serves as evidence that the disclosure was made and delivered.

It is old law in Nevada that notice to a party’s agent is considered notice to the principal.72 Delivery of a written disclosure to a party’s agent is delivery to that party. Thus, unless a statute requires delivery only to a specific person, delivery to the party’s agent is legally sufficient delivery.

The licensee should review everything that is delivered to him or her to ensure that no disclosure is delivered unnoticed. This helps remove liability to the client for non-disclosure.

71. NRS 116.41095.
72. Hornsilver Cases, 35 Nev. 464, 467 (1913).
C. WHAT MUST BE DISCLOSED

The three main areas of disclosure for a licensee are disclosures dealing with the licensee's agency, those concerning the transaction, and those relating to the property.

In all Nevada residential sales, a licensee is required to provide the client with the state mandated form Residential Disclosure Guide. The Guide summarizes various disclosures, their purpose, who must provide them and when they are due. The licensee must have the client sign (acknowledge) the back page stating they received the Guide. That acknowledgement page must be retained by the broker as part of the transaction file.

1. DISCLOSURES REGARDING AGENCY

Disclosures concerning a licensee’s agency status must be made to all parties to the transaction as soon as practicable. Nevada requires each licensee to disclose to each client and any unrepresented party the parameters of the licensee's agency relationship on a state mandated form. The forms are prepared and distributed by the Real Estate Division (RED). Each form must be completed, signed and kept in the broker's transaction file for five years.

Historically, all brokers worked for the seller under either a direct or sub-agency status. The broker dealing with the buyer was a sub-agent for the seller. Often, this caused confusion in the mind of buyers as they assumed that the broker working with them was representing them. Since the licensee's duties to the parties differ depending on whether the licensee is representing a client or dealing with a non-client, the statement of agency is important. It lets each party know who is representing them and what duties an agent owes to that party.

a. Agency Disclosure Forms – A substantial number of RED disciplinary hearing cases concern agency disclosure form violations. These violations include: forms not given to clients; forms missing necessary information; forms not completed correctly; and forms lacking required signatures. The Real Estate Commission, the body charged with hearing the RED’s disciplinary cases, has found the incorrect execution of these forms amounts to gross negligence by the licensee. It is incumbent upon each broker to ensure the Duties Owed and Consent to Act (when applicable) forms are properly completed and signed.

Before an agency relationship is established the broker is required to provide the client with a state mandated form called the “Duties Owed by a Nevada Real Estate Licensee” (Duties Owed). Should the broker at any point in a transaction represent more than one party, the broker must also provide the parties with a “Consent to Act” form and receive both parties' permission before proceeding with the representation. The appropriate agency disclosure forms (Duties Owed and Consent to Act, if applicable) must be used in all real estate agency relationships regardless of the type of representation (single, multiple, or assigned) or the type of real estate transaction (purchase, lease or property management). The Duties Owed form
must also be given when the licensee is a principal in the transaction.81

b. Changes in Agency Status - A licensee must disclose to all parties any change in the licensee's relationship with a party to the transaction.82 This disclosure must be made as soon as practicable and must be in writing. If a client's consent is required, for example, when the broker represents two or more parties to the transaction that have conflicting interests (multiple representation), permission must be obtained before representation occurs – disclosure alone is insufficient to ensure consent! Any time there is a change in the identity of the parties or licensees, a new form must be completed and signed.83

Form Does Not Create Agency: The agency relationship is not created because a party signs either the Duties Owed or Consent to Act forms. These forms are strictly disclosure documents. Each form specifically states that it does not constitute a contract for services or an agreement to pay compensation.84

c. Not Required on a Referral - A licensee who refers a potential client to another licensee does not need to provide the Duties Owed disclosure form if the referring licensee's only activity is the referral.85 For example, a seller contacts a broker about representing him in the sale of his Fallon ranch. The broker does not regularly deal with ranch properties; therefore, he refers the client to a broker who does. The first broker is not required to provide the seller with the Duties Owed form.

2. DISCLOSURES CONCERNING
THE TRANSACTION

a. Disclosure Situations - There are three main situations for disclosure regarding the real estate transaction. These are:

- Disclosure to the client of all material facts concerning the transaction of which the licensee has knowledge;86

- Disclosure to all parties of the licensee's compensation sources; and 87

- Disclosure to all parties of the licensee's anticipated or present interest in either the transaction or the property.88

b. The Client and the Transaction – The law requires the licensee to disclose to the client “material facts of which the licensee has knowledge concerning the transaction.”89 What is a material fact? It is a fact that is significant or essential to the issue or matter at hand. The Nevada Supreme Court has stated,

A fact is material … if it is one which the agent should realize would be likely to affect the judgment of the principal … [or is] likely to have a bearing upon the desirability of the transaction… .90

Anything impacting the physical condition, value or use of the property is material and relevant. These include facts about the escrow, title, the status of the other party's agency (ex: if there has been a change), financing and issues related to financing (ex: IRS 1031 exchanges), contingency issues (ex: sale of another property or double escrow) and any interest the licensee may have in the transaction. It also includes the disclosure of the known development of adjacent parcels.
Other Party’s Ability to Perform: The licensee should disclose to the client any information of which the licensee is aware concerning the financial condition of the other party if it impacts the transaction. Nevertheless, a licensee is not required to investigate the financial condition of a party to the real estate transaction. For example, if the buyer’s agent learns that the seller is in bankruptcy, the agent must disclose that information to the buyer as it may impact the buyer’s purchase of the property. However, the licensee is not required to investigate or discover if the seller is in bankruptcy.

c. Licensee’s Compensation - A licensee must disclose to each party to a transaction (client or not), each source from which the licensee will receive compensation. The statute does not require the licensee to disclose the amount of the compensation, only its source - the identity of the entity giving the compensation.

The statute does not dictate when the disclosure should be made, but common law would require it be made before the transaction ends. This would ensure each party is aware of any potential conflicts of interest the licensee may have. Since the other party usually does not see the broker’s employment agreement (the brokerage agreement) where both the source and amount of compensation are usually identified, compensation sources are often identified in the parties’ purchase agreement. The licensee should note that disclosure alone does not make the receipt of certain compensation legal. For example, a salesperson may not accept compensation directly from a client even if such kickbacks or fees are disclosed. (RESPA, 12 U.S.C. § 2607) 

The Real Estate Commission may discipline any licensee found guilty of accepting, giving, or charging any undisclosed commission. This discipline can be a fine of up to $10,000 per violation and the suspension or revocation of the licensee’s license. A licensee may also be charged with deceitful, fraudulent or dishonest dealing if the Commission finds the licensee has not disclosed, in writing, that the licensee:

- Expects to receive any direct or indirect compensation from any person for services related to the property,

or

- Has received compensation from more than one party.

Consent Required: If a broker anticipates receiving compensation from more than one party, the broker must disclose this to each party and obtain each party’s consent. Should a party refuse consent, the licensee cannot accept the compensation.

A licensee may not take an undisclosed profit at the expense of another party nor may the licensee purchase or sell the property of a client through the use of a third person without full disclosure and the client’s consent.

The licensee should note that disclosure alone does not make the receipt of certain compensation legal. For example, a salesperson may not accept compensation directly from a client even if that compensation is fully disclosed to all parties.
d. Licensee’s Interest - A licensee must disclose to the parties in writing whenever the licensee has a personal interest in either the transaction or the property. Such disclosure ensures everyone is aware of the licensee’s potential conflicting loyalties.\textsuperscript{104}

A licensee (including permitted property managers) must disclose the licensee’s affiliation with, or financial interest in, any person or entity that furnishes maintenance or other services related to the property.\textsuperscript{105} If a licensee has an ownership interest in a business and refers clients to that business, the licensee must disclose that ownership interest.

Party to the Transaction: When a licensee is a principal, it is a material fact and must be disclosed.\textsuperscript{106} The licensee may not acquire (purchase), lease or dispose of (sell), any time-share or real property without revealing the licensee’s licensed status.\textsuperscript{107}

Relationship with a Principal: The licensee must disclose whenever he or she has a personal relationship with a principal to the transaction.\textsuperscript{108} The relationships that must be disclosed are when a principal is a member of the licensee’s immediate family, a member of the licensee’s firm, or an entity (such as a corporation, LLC, etc.) in which the licensee has an ownership interest.

Affiliated Business Relationships: Licensees are subject to federal as well as Nevada law. One federal law that addresses licensee disclosure is RESPA (Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act). It prohibits referrals from one business to another business when the businesses have joint ownership unless there is full disclosure. These intertwined businesses are Affiliated Business Arrangements.\textsuperscript{109} A broker who has any Affiliated Business Arrangement with other real estate settlement service providers should review the RESPA statutes to determine the specifics of disclosure.

Interest in the Property: A licensee, whether acting as an agent or a principal, has an “interest in the property” whenever the licensee has or anticipates an ownership interest.\textsuperscript{110} Failure to disclose the licensee’s interest is an element in the Real Estate Commission’s determination of whether the licensee is deceitful or dishonest.\textsuperscript{111}

Any anticipated interest must be disclosed even if it is only a pass-through interest. For example, a licensee must disclose if the licensee takes title, however briefly, during a “double escrow.”\textsuperscript{112}

Disclosure in Writing: Whether the licensee’s interest is in the transaction or in the property, the disclosure must be in writing – an oral disclosure does not satisfy the regulations.\textsuperscript{113} When disclosing the licensee’s interest in the property, the disclosure must state the licensee is acquiring or selling the property for him or herself and that the licensee is a broker, broker-salesperson, or salesperson. The Real Estate Division will recognize the disclosure if the licensee includes the term “agent,” “licensee,” or “broker, broker-salesperson, salesperson,” whichever designation is appropriate.\textsuperscript{114}

Timing: These disclosures must be made “as soon as practicable” but not later than the date and time on which any written document is signed by the parties. The Nevada Supreme Court has defined “as soon as practicable” to mean “promptly” or “within a reasonable length of time” considering the facts and circumstances of each particular case.\textsuperscript{115}

An Affiliated Business Arrangement is when two or more businesses providing various settlement services to a client are owned (in whole or part) by the same company or entity.
3. PROPERTY DISCLOSURES

Most individuals when they first think about real estate disclosure think of issues concerning the property. Property disclosures can be divided into two main areas, issues concerning the property itself, such as physical defects, and issues concerning the property's use or value. The latter would include neighborhood issues and physical components of the property that may not be a defect, but that nevertheless impact the property's use, such as out-of-code wiring in an older home. Some disclosures are mandated regardless of whether the information impacts the property. For example, buyers of residential properties built before 1978 must be given a lead-based paint disclosure without regard to the actual presence of lead-based paint.

a. Impacts the Property – What does “impacts the property” mean? Anything that affects the property's value or use in a material and relevant way impacts the property and must be disclosed.\(^{116}\) All defects impact the property, but all items that impact the property may not be defects. For example, an elementary school that backs up to the property may impact it, but the presence of the school would not, in and of itself, be considered a property defect.

b. Defects: Latent and Patent – A “defect” is a condition of the property that affects its value or use in an adverse manner.\(^{117}\) A patent defect is a defect that is readily observable using reasonable diligence, for example, a broken window. A licensee is independently (independent of the property owner) required to disclose patent defects of which he or she is aware. A latent defect is one that cannot be readily observed or discovered without some extraordinary activity. For example, discovery of a termite infestation behind a garage wallboard would require the wall to be removed.

The term “defect” has broad application. It contains items that are damaged (broken window, termite infestation) to items that are not damaged, but affect the property in an adverse manner. For example, an asbestos ceiling covering in an older home is a “defect” in that it affects the property's value in an adverse manner.

c. Disclosing “Everything” - It seems every few years there is a new environmental hazard that catches the public’s attention. Recently, mold issues have been the front runner. Previously, it was asbestos, lead-based paint, high-Powered electric transmission lines, and radon. This is not to dismiss as a fad the very real health hazards these items may pose. Rather, it is a warning not to allow the current hazard du jour to blind the licensee from other bona fide disclosure issues.
d. Various Non-Mandated Disclosures - Listed here are various environmental items that should be disclosed if applicable. Obviously, no list can be comprehensive. Items that have specific statutory disclosure requirements are not listed.

- Mold (hazardous)
- Agricultural uses, and those with noxious odors
- Airport flight path (see individual regional requirements)
- Encroachments
- Underground tanks (active and abandoned)
- Urea-formaldehyde
- Foam insulation (UFFI)
- Radon
- Waste Processing
- Mercury
- Earthquake Zone
- Dump (present/past)
- Arsenic
- Slide area (zone)
- Asbestos
- Landfills
- Forest fire (zone)
- Flood (zone)
- Pest Infestation
- Extensive dust (natural/construction)
- Mining (historical and present)
- Groundwater contamination

Gone are the days of "Buyer Beware" Licensee liability lies in inadequate disclosure. The fear of many licensees is that they will not catch all the potential issues, defects and hazards that should be disclosed. To address this fear, some brokers have developed “homemade” disclosure forms that attempt to disclose every possible hazard regardless of whether a particular item affects the property. As previously discussed, if used, these forms should be drafted by a licensed Nevada attorney.

Also, as previously discussed, the Nevada Real Estate Division has developed for the consumer a basic “Residential Disclosure Guide” for residential properties. This is the Nevada Legislature's attempt to inform the consumer of potential disclosure issues. This booklet contains information for the consumer - it is not designed to relieve the licensee or seller of any of his or her disclosure duties.

Because no form or booklet can be all inclusive of every possible disclosure, the licensee must continually be alert to those disclosures required by law, those required when they impact the property, and those that address the concerns of the client.

e. Disclosing the Human Condition - In any discussion of disclosure, certain issues continue to be of concern to the client. These include noisy neighbors and dogs, crime, and neighborhood demographics (racial, ethnic, religious).

Noisy neighbors and dogs can be disclosed if the seller has given permission since these are things that are based in individual perception. They are also conditions that can change overnight – the dog dies, the neighbor moves. A potential buyer may not have the same experience as the current seller.

A neighborhood's crime statistics should be disclosed by an authority other than the licensee. If the client is concerned about crime in a particular neighborhood, the licensee should refer the client to the local law enforcement unit. Perception of criminal activity is too often erroneously linked with the racial or ethnic composition of an area. The licensee should always refer the client who asks about criminal activity in a neighborhood to a knowledgeable authority such as law enforcement. Repeating media reports is dangerous - even the media sometimes gets it wrong.
A licensee may answer the client’s questions about the demographic composition of a neighborhood, but the licensee must be very careful when doing so.

There is a fine line between giving information and “steering.” “Steering” occurs when a broker attempts to guide purchasers toward certain regions or neighborhoods using a protected class status. 119

There are two types of steering: active and passive. Active steering occurs when the licensee actually attempts to persuade persons away from or toward certain neighborhoods based on a protected class status. Passive steering involves the licensee not showing or making available otherwise appropriate housing.

“Disclosures” made with the intent of steering a client to or from a neighborhood based on either the client’s protected class status, or the neighborhood’s protected class demographic composition, is illegal. 120

Remember, liability is not based on the licensee’s perception of whether the licensee is steering the client, but on the client’s perception.

Group Homes: A common question among licensees is should they disclose a group home in the neighborhood. Disclosure depends on whether the individuals in the group home are of a Fair Housing protected class. 121

Group homes are “congregate living arrangement among non-related persons with disabilities.” 122 If the disability is covered under the Fair Housing Act, 123 then the home has a protected class status and should not be disclosed - just as a licensee would not disclose that the neighbors were of a particular race, color or religion.

Various protected group homes (not to be disclosed) include homes for recovering drug and alcohol users (handicap), those with a mental illness (handicap), those with physical disabilities (handicap), pregnant teens or single mothers (familial status), and others of similar protected class status.

The disclosure rules vary for senior housing or homes for the elderly. If the housing is for individuals with mental impairment due to age, it is protected (handicap) and should not be disclosed. If the housing is for seniors only - no mental or physical impairment (age alone is not an impairment), then it can be disclosed. Age is not a protected class - at most, senior housing is an exception to the protected class of familial status.

Nevada law provides that the fact a property is located near a facility for transitional living for released offenders is not material to the transaction and does not need to be disclosed. 124

Released offenders are persons who have been released from prison and who require assistance with reintegration into the community (NRS 449.0055).
The licensee’s duty for full and honest disclosure is an important and significant duty. Disclosure laws can be found throughout federal, state and local laws and regulations. Inadequate disclosure is a major source of liability for the licensee; therefore, it is necessary to ensure a working familiarity with the various disclosure laws and regulations. The licensee must not only be aware of what needs to be disclosed, but the when, who and how of the disclosure.

There are three main areas for disclosure, these constitute the “what” of disclosure. They are disclosures concerning the property, those concerning the licensee’s agency, and those dealing with the parties’ transaction.

For each disclosure the licensee must be aware of “when” the disclosure must be made, “how” the disclosure is to be made, and lastly, to “whom” the disclosure is to be made. When using non-government created disclosure forms, the broker should insure such forms will provide the brokerage with appropriate liability protection.

In addition to knowing the various disclosures, the licensee should be aware of any exceptions or exemptions to the disclosure laws and how they affect the client’s confidences and general consumer privacy.

Finally, the licensee should review the following tables and forms to familiarize him or her self with the most common disclosures and their requirements, limitations and sources.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EVENT REQUIRING DISCLOSURE</th>
<th>DISCLOSURE FORM NAME</th>
<th>WHAT IS BEING DISCLOSED</th>
<th>LEGAL CITE</th>
<th>RESPONSIBLE PERSON</th>
<th>GIVEN TO</th>
<th>LAST POSSIBLE TIME FOR DISCLOSURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Creation of agency with Client.</td>
<td>Duties Owed to by A Nevada Licensee RED form # 525</td>
<td>Given to, and acknowledged by, a Client. Lists the statutory duties of a Licensee and the various levels of representation.</td>
<td>NRS 645.252 (3)</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>Client and any unrepresented party</td>
<td>&quot;As soon as practicable&quot; but before any documents are signed by Client.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency for Clients with conflicting interests.</td>
<td>Consent to Act RED form # 524</td>
<td>Acknowledges authorization of Licensee from all parties for Licensee to act in a &quot;dual agency&quot; position. Dual agency occurs when the Licensee is acting in an agency capacity for two or more clients who have interests adverse to one other. Should either party refuse to sign, the Licensee cannot act as a dual agent.</td>
<td>NRS 645.252 (1)(d)</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>All parties, Clients</td>
<td>&quot;As soon as practicable&quot; See text for various signing time frames.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When the Licensee's relationship to a party changes.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Licensee must disclose any changes in his or her relationship to a party to the transaction.</td>
<td>NRS 645.252 (1)(e)</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>All parties</td>
<td>&quot;As soon as practicable.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When Licensee is acting as agent or principal.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form – must be in writing.</td>
<td>Disclose to all parties Licensee's relationship as agent of a party, or Licensee's status as a principal.</td>
<td>NAC 645.637</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>All parties to the transaction</td>
<td>Not later than the time any document is signed by parties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When the Licensee has an interest in the transaction or the property.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Licensee must disclose that the Licensee is a principal to the transaction or has an interest in a principal to the transaction.</td>
<td>NRS 645.252 (1)(c) NAC 645.640</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>All parties to the transaction</td>
<td>&quot;As soon as practicable.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensee has an interest in any escrow business or company.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Must disclose that Licensee (or anyone associated with Licensee) has an interest in any escrow business before Licensee can deposit any money received in a real estate transaction, into such escrow company.</td>
<td>NAC 645.660</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>All parties to the transaction</td>
<td>Before money is deposited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referral to certain settlement services providers.</td>
<td>Affiliated Business Arrangement Disclosure Statement</td>
<td>Affiliated Business Arrangement (ABA) - RESPA restricts a Licensee/Broker from receiving a referral fee from a settlement service provider unless the Broker has an ABA with the provider. If there is an ABA, the Broker must disclose in writing the nature of the ABA relationship, a written estimate of the fees, and a notice that the consumer does not need to utilize the provider.</td>
<td>RESPA, 12 USC § 2607, 24 CFR Part 3500.15(d) and Reg. X</td>
<td>Broker/Licensee</td>
<td>Client/consumer</td>
<td>When referral is made.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVENT REQUIRING DISCLOSURE</td>
<td>DISCLOSURE FORM NAME</td>
<td>WHAT IS BEING DISCLOSED</td>
<td>LEGAL CITE</td>
<td>RESPONSIBLE PERSON</td>
<td>GIVEN TO</td>
<td>LAST POSSIBLE TIME FOR DISCLOSURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensee is inexperienced in type of transaction.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Provides that the Real Estate Division may discipline a Licensee if there is no disclosure to Client that Licensee is providing specialized professional services outside the Licensee’s field or experience or competence.</td>
<td>NAC 645.605 (3)</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>Client</td>
<td>Before services are rendered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When Licensee has a financial relationship with any entity servicing the property.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Licensee must disclose, in writing, any interest, or contemplated interest, in any property or time share, including, but not limited to, an affiliation with or financial interest in, any person or company that furnishes services related to the property, whether Licensee is managing the property, has an interest in, or financial arrangement with such entity; or expects to receive a referral fee for referring a Client to a service provider.</td>
<td>NAC 645.605 (4)</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>All Parties to transaction</td>
<td>&quot;As soon as practicable.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any agency relationship.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>A Licensee who represents a Client shall disclose to the Client material facts of which the Licensee has knowledge concerning the transaction.</td>
<td>NRS 645.254 (3)(c)</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>Client</td>
<td>As soon as known.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When a Broker or Brokerage engages in any &quot;financial activity.&quot;</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (Financial Privacy) – If Broker engages in any “financial activity”, Broker must inform Client how the Client’s “nonpublic personally identifiable information” will be used. “Financial activity” are activities involving mortgage lending or mortgage Brokering. “Non public information” is any information collected about the Client by the Broker providing the financial product or service. Most Brokerage services are not affected by this disclosure requirement.</td>
<td>12 USC § 1843 12 CFR § 225</td>
<td>Broker</td>
<td>Client</td>
<td>Before any documents are signed by Client.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When Broker includes any credit terms in advertisement.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form – specific information must be presented when TIL “trigger terms” used</td>
<td>Truth in Lending – requires a Broker to provide specific information when certain credit “trigger terms” are used in advertising. Trigger terms include the amount of the down payment, amount of monthly payment, number of payments or payment period, interest rate, Annual Percentage Rate, or the amount of the finance charge.</td>
<td>15 USC § 1601 12 CFR part 202.2(1) (1990)</td>
<td>Broker</td>
<td>All persons</td>
<td>When placing advertisement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensee's unsolicited Advertising on Internet for any service or property.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Licensee must adhere to all disclosure requirements found in law or regulation.</td>
<td>NAC 645.613</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>Broker</td>
<td>When placing advertisement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## AGENCY AND TRANSACTION DISCLOSURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EVENT REQUIRING DISCLOSURE</th>
<th>DISCLOSURE FORM NAME</th>
<th>WHAT IS BEING DISCLOSED</th>
<th>LEGAL CITE</th>
<th>RESPONSIBLE PERSON</th>
<th>GIVEN TO</th>
<th>LAST POSSIBLE TIME FOR DISCLOSURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advertising property when Licensee is an owner.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>A Licensee must disclose in advertisement his or her status as a Licensee and may not use “for sale (lease) by owner” without qualifying wording such as “by Broker/agent owner”.</td>
<td>NAC 645.610</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>All persons</td>
<td>In advertisement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When Advertising the Licensee’s services.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>A Licensee, (a Broker, Broker-salesperson, or salesperson) must disclose the name of the Licensee’s Brokerage in any advertisement for real estate services.</td>
<td>NRS 645.315</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>All persons</td>
<td>In advertisement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When Listing Broker Accepts “other than cash” earnest money.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>A Licensee must disclose to a seller that a buyer is offering something “other than cash” as an earnest money deposit. Once disclosed, the seller may refuse to accept that type of “earnest money.”</td>
<td>NRS 645.630 (1)(j)</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>Seller</td>
<td>Before seller accepts Offer to Purchase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When any deposits are accepted by Broker.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Broker must disclose the disposition of all deposits accepted and retained by the Broker pending consummation or termination of the transaction.</td>
<td>NRS 645.310 (1)</td>
<td>Broker or owner – developer</td>
<td>All persons</td>
<td>At termination of transaction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close of transaction when there is no escrow holder.</td>
<td>No State Mandatory Form – the federal form is a HUD-1</td>
<td>Unless there is an escrow holder who performs these duties, Broker is responsible for disclosing to the parties in a complete, detailed closing statement all the receipts and disbursements handled by the Broker for the parties.</td>
<td>NRS 645.635 (4)</td>
<td>Broker</td>
<td>Seller and Buyer</td>
<td>Within 10 business days after the transaction is closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer or counteroffer rejected by client.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form must be in writing</td>
<td>Licensee must disclose to other party or agent, that the licensee’s client has rejected the offer or counteroffer. Licensee must attempt to have client sign notice; however, the regulation is only binding on the licensee.</td>
<td>NAC 645.632</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>Other party or their agent.</td>
<td>Within reasonable time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of Residential property.</td>
<td>Residential Disclosure Guide</td>
<td>A 15-page disclosure booklet produced by the Nevada Real Estate Division for consumers of residential properties. Contains discussions on the most commonly-required state, federal and local disclosures. Last page is an acknowledgment receipt which must be filled out and held in the broker’s file.</td>
<td>NRS 645.194</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>Seller and Buyer</td>
<td>Not stated, but made to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVENT Requiring DISCLOSURE</td>
<td>DISCLOSURE FORM NAME</td>
<td>WHAT IS BEING DISCLOSED</td>
<td>LEGAL CITE</td>
<td>RESPONSIBLE PERSON</td>
<td>GIVEN TO</td>
<td>LAST POSSIBLE TIME FOR Disclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property tax disclosure.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Amount of annual property taxes landlord pays for unit and the 1980 amount of property taxes for the unit.</td>
<td>NRS 118.165</td>
<td>Landlord/property manager</td>
<td>Tenant/Lessee</td>
<td>Every July or when rent changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Tenant/Lessee or new landlord.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form, given to Tenant/Lessee or posted in conspicuous place.</td>
<td>Name and address of 1. person managing premises, 2. person authorized to receive legal notices and demands, 3. principal or corporate owner. Additionally, the telephone number of a responsible person within the county to be called in emergencies. Information must be kept current.</td>
<td>NRS 118A.260 NRS 118A.270</td>
<td>Landlord/property manager</td>
<td>Tenant/Lessee</td>
<td>Whenever there is a new Tenant/Lessee, new landlord, or information changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Termination of landlord’s interest in property.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Termination of landlord’s interest in rental property by either sale, assignment, death, appointment of receiver or otherwise. Notice of new successor in interest (owner, etc.) name, address, telephone number, and statement that the Tenant/Lessee’s security deposit is being transferred.</td>
<td>NRS 118A.244</td>
<td>Landlord/property manager</td>
<td>Tenant/Lessee</td>
<td>Before transfer of deed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Property built before 1978 – lease or sale.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form, but required wording and information. <strong>Lead Paint</strong></td>
<td>Seller or landlord must provide buyer/Tenant/Lessee with the EPA disclosure booklet “Protect Your Family From Lead in Your Home” (EPA747-K-94-001), and give notice that buyer/Tenant/Lessee is allowed to perform a lead-based paint risk assessment/inspection. Buyer/Tenant/Lessee may cancel.</td>
<td>42 USC § 4852 (d)</td>
<td>Seller or Landlord</td>
<td>Buyer or Tenant/Lessee</td>
<td>As a condition of the sale or lease agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in rent.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Increase in rent, amount of increase.</td>
<td>NRS 118A.300</td>
<td>Landlord/property manager</td>
<td>Tenant/Lessee</td>
<td>45 days before increase due.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption or change of tenancy rules or regulations.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Adoption of Tenant/Lessee rules and regulations. Timing may be waived by Tenant/Lessee if consents in writing.</td>
<td>NRS 118A.320</td>
<td>Landlord/property manager</td>
<td>Tenant/Lessee</td>
<td>30 days before enforcement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required in any written rental agreement.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form, but required statutes</td>
<td>Defines public nuisance under NRS 202.450 -.470.</td>
<td>NRS 118A.200 (1)</td>
<td>Landlord, agent, property manager</td>
<td>Tenant/Lessee</td>
<td>With any written rental agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVENT DISCLOSURE</td>
<td>DISCLOSURE REQUIRING NAME</td>
<td>FORM WHAT IS BEING DISCLOSED</td>
<td>LEGAL CITE</td>
<td>RESPONSIBLE PERSON</td>
<td>GIVEN TO</td>
<td>LAST POSSIBLE TIME FOR DISCLOSURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Unit in CIC - Common Interest Community.</td>
<td>Public Offering Statement</td>
<td>Identifies type of CIC (condo, cooperative, planned community), construction schedule, the CIC's bylaws, rules, regulations, C,C &amp; Rs, financial statement, budget, service, initial or special fee, warranties, cancellation notice, judgments or lawsuits, monthly fees, CIC Information statement, date of information. Development rights of contractor. Location and description of proposed improvements. Buyer cancellation rights NRS 116.4108.</td>
<td>NRS 116.4102 116.4103 116.4104</td>
<td>Declarant or Dealer (seller)</td>
<td>Initial Buyer</td>
<td>Date offer becomes binding on buyer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Unit which may become Time Share.</td>
<td>Public Offering Statement</td>
<td>Same as above + number &amp; identity of units. Total number of shares with minimum duration.</td>
<td>NRS 116.4105</td>
<td>Declarant or Dealer (seller)</td>
<td>Initial Buyer</td>
<td>Date offer becomes binding on buyer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Unit – Converted Building.</td>
<td>Public Offering Statement</td>
<td>Same as above + description of present condition of all structural components and mechanical, electrical installations. Useful life of each item. Outstanding uncured building code or other municipal violations and cost to repair. (Applicable to buildings with 13+ units)</td>
<td>NRS 116.4106</td>
<td>Declarant or Dealer (seller)</td>
<td>Initial Buyer</td>
<td>Date offer becomes binding on buyer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Unit – Converted Building in CIC.</td>
<td>Notice of Conversion</td>
<td>Notice of conversion, public offering statement, and right of Tenant/Lessee and subtenant/Lessees to acquire that unit on same or better terms than declarant.</td>
<td>NRS 116.4112</td>
<td>Declarant or seller</td>
<td>Existing Tenant/ Lessee/ renter</td>
<td>120 days before Tenant/ Lessees required to vacate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of any Subdivision,* lot, parcel, unit or interest in subdivision.</td>
<td>Property Report As prepared by the Developer</td>
<td>Offers to public. Name &amp; address of each person owning a 10%+ interest in the subdivision. Name, occupation, address of every officer, director or owner of the subdivision. Legal description and area of lands, condition of title, public utilities, conditions of disposition of land with copies of related documents, use of land, maximum depth of fill, soil condition (with engineering reports), statement of liens for improvements, agricultural activities in area adversely affecting property. Notice of right of buyer to cancel contract.</td>
<td>NRS 119.182</td>
<td>Broker or salesman</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>Before signing any purchase contract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of any lot, parcel, unit or interest in a subdivision.</td>
<td>Nevada RED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subdivision Sale of any lot, parcel, unit or interest in a subdivision.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Location in subdivision of rights-of-way and easements for transmission lines of public utility electric lines and in all lands contiguous to it.</td>
<td>NRS 119.1835</td>
<td>Developer</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>Before signing any binding agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subdivision Sale of any lot, parcel, unit or interest in a subdivision.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Location in subdivision of rights-of-way and easements for transmission lines of public utility electric lines and in all lands contiguous to it.</td>
<td>NRS 119.1835</td>
<td>Developer</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>Before signing any binding agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVENT REQUIRING DISCLOSURE</td>
<td>DISCLOSURE FORM NAME</td>
<td>WHAT IS BEING DISCLOSED</td>
<td>LEGAL CITE</td>
<td>RESPONSIBLE PERSON</td>
<td>GIVEN TO</td>
<td>LAST POSSIBLE TIME FOR DISCLOSURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When licensee becomes an Agent in a real estate transaction.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Licensee must disclose any material and relevant facts, data or information which licensee knows, or by the exercise of reasonable care and diligence, should have known, relating to the property.</td>
<td>NRS 645.252 (1)(a)</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>All Parties to transaction</td>
<td>&quot;As soon as is practicable.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously unsold home + any improved lot.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Water &amp; sewage rates of a public utility servicing 25 to 2,000 customers. Notice must contain name, address, and telephone numbers of public utility and Div. Consumer Complaint for the Public Utilities Comm. of NV.</td>
<td>NRS 113.060</td>
<td>Seller</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>Before the home is sold.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New construction – subdivided land.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form - separate written document</td>
<td>Zoning classification &amp; master plan designation of subdivision or parcel map. Designated land use of parcel and general land uses of adjoining parcels. Notice that the designations are subject to change. Provide instructions on how to obtain current zoning information.</td>
<td>NRS 113.070, Clark Co. Code 7.65.010, or 30.36.040</td>
<td>Seller or any person who sells land that was subdivided.</td>
<td>Initial Buyer</td>
<td>Before signing sales agreement or opening escrow, whichever is first.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit not occupied by buyer more than 120 days before completion.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Provide copies of certain statutes (NRS 11.202 - .206, and NRS 40.600 -.695). These statutes deal with construction defect claims.</td>
<td>NRS 113.135</td>
<td>Seller</td>
<td>Initial Buyer</td>
<td>Upon signing sales agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit not occupied by buyer more than 120 days before completion.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Notice of &quot;soil report&quot; prepared for the property or for the subdivision in which the property is located. After receipt of notice, buyer has 5 days to request copy of the actual report and 20 days to rescind the sales agreement. Rescission right may be waived.</td>
<td>NRS 113.135</td>
<td>Seller</td>
<td>Initial Buyer</td>
<td>Upon signing sales agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of any land.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Any conditions or obligations connected with any gift or other free benefit offered to potential buyers.</td>
<td>NRS 599A.060 (1)(d)</td>
<td>Seller</td>
<td>Customer</td>
<td>Not stated, but made to &quot;prospective&quot; customer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offering for sale or lease across state borders, undeveloped “subdivided” (25+ parcels) land not larger than 20 acres each.</td>
<td>Statement of Record, and Property Report</td>
<td>Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act, (ILSFDA). Administered by HUD through the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration. Extensive and detailed requirements for disclosure items are included in the Statement of Record and Property Report. These include, but are not limited to, title condition, soil condition, availability of recreation facilities, utilities and their fees, number &amp; type of buildings currently on site, etc. If disclosure does not adhere to all guidelines, buyer has two (2) years to rescind the contract.</td>
<td>15 USC § 1701-1720, 24 CFR Parts 1700-1730.100, NRS 119.119</td>
<td>Developer &amp; agents.</td>
<td>Buyer or Lessee / Tenant/Lessee</td>
<td>Before any sales agreement or lease is signed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVENT REQUIRING DISCLOSURE</td>
<td>DISCLOSURE FORM NAME</td>
<td>WHAT IS BEING DISCLOSED</td>
<td>LEGAL CITE</td>
<td>RESPONSIBLE PERSON</td>
<td>GIVEN TO</td>
<td>LAST POSSIBLE TIME FOR DISCLOSURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of property by “seller of more than one lot created by a map of division into large parcels.”</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Notice that city, county, school district and special districts are not obligated to furnish any service (specifically mentioning fire and roads), and that public utilities may not be obligated to service parcel.</td>
<td>NRS 119.183</td>
<td>Seller</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>Before signing any binding agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property being sold before the final subdivision map is recorded.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>All that is required to be stated is that the final subdivision map has not been recorded.</td>
<td>NRS 278.350</td>
<td>Seller or agent</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>Not stated, but made to “potential buyer.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property subject to impact fee.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Actual or pending impact fees. Amount of impact fee not yet paid and the name of the local government which imposed (or will impose) the fee.</td>
<td>NRS 278B.320</td>
<td>Seller</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>Before property is conveyed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD – FHA loan insurance, appraisal Hazard and Nuisance disclosures.</td>
<td>HUD adopted Fannie Mae appraisal (Form 1004)</td>
<td>Licensees should be aware of the various Hazards and Nuisances required to be listed on FHA appraisal Forms. These include: Airport Runway Clear Zones; Railroad tracks &amp; other high noise sources; Flood zones (as determined under FEMA maps); Radon, Overhead high voltage transmission towers &amp; lines; Operating &amp; Abandoned oil &amp; gas wells, tanks, and pressure lines; presence of asbestos, foam plastic/core materials; lead based paint; and avalanche hazard. A licensee must always disclose to all parties any condition affecting the property of which the licensee is aware, whether or not disclosed under an FHA insured appraisal (NRS 645.252.)</td>
<td>24 CFR part 200</td>
<td>Appraiser</td>
<td>Lender/insurer</td>
<td>At time of appraisal inspection or when licensee becomes aware of a H &amp; N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property subject to deferred taxes.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Lien for deferred taxes. Interestingly, the amount of lien nor the entity creating the lien is required to be disclosed. Deferred taxes statutes – NRS 361A.265, 361A.280 or 361A.283.</td>
<td>NRS 361A.290</td>
<td>Seller</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>When property is sold or transferred.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After any construction by any contractor.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Disclosure of construction defects. Written disclosure must be in understandable language, underlined and in boldfaced capital letters.</td>
<td>NRS 40.640 (5)</td>
<td>Contractor &amp; agents</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>Before purchase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVENT REQUIRING DISCLOSURE</td>
<td>DISCLOSURE FORM NAME</td>
<td>WHAT IS BEING DISCLOSED</td>
<td>LEGAL CITE</td>
<td>RESPONSIBLE PERSON</td>
<td>GIVEN TO</td>
<td>LAST POSSIBLE TIME FOR DISCLOSURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any construction, remodeling, repair or other improvements on a Single-family residence (SFR).</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form; however, NRS 624.520 has suggested wording for Notice.</td>
<td>Informs owner of the Nevada “Recovery Fund” available to the property owner when a residential contractor fails to perform qualified services adequately. Notice must identify NRS 624.400 to NRS 624.560. Real Estate Licensee should be aware of this notice when representing a seller or buyer and there has been construction or contractor services on the property.</td>
<td>NRS 624.520</td>
<td>Contractor</td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>At time of signing contract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whenever a general building contractor contracts with a SFR owner.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Requires a general building contractor to provide specific information to a SFR owner about material men and subcontractors and their right to lien the property under NRS 108, Mechanics Lien laws. Real Estate Licensee should be aware of this notice when representing a seller or buyer and there has been construction or contractor services on the property.</td>
<td>NRS 624.600</td>
<td>General Building contractor</td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>No time specified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title to property is unmerchantable.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Property’s title is unmerchantable. “Unmerchantable” = unmarketable, “bad title”, or nonmerchantable. Property title a reasonable buyer would refuse to accept because of possible conflicting interest in or litigation over the property.</td>
<td>NAC 645.635</td>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>Before any part of purchase price is paid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property subject to a construction defect claim under NRS 40.600 - .695.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Provide copies of all notices of construction defect given to contractor(s), all opinions of experts; terms of any settlement, order or judgment of defect claim; detailed report of all repairs made. ** Note ** Timing of disclosure is complicated – 30 days before COE; or if complaint is made while in escrow, w/i 24 hours of complaint; or if escrow is less then 30 days, immediately upon signing.</td>
<td>NRS 40.688</td>
<td>Claimant, Owner or Seller</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>Generally, immediately upon signing sales agreement. See ** note.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resale – Residential.</td>
<td>Seller’s Real Property Disclosure – RED Form #547</td>
<td>An evaluation by the seller of the condition of property systems (plumbing, electrical, etc.) and the condition of any other aspect of the property which may effect its use or value. Information is based on what seller is aware of and is not a warranty. Includes statutes NRS 113.140 -. 150(5). Non-disclosure allows buyer to rescind sales agreement. Buyer may waive all rights.</td>
<td>NRS 113.120 to NRS 113.150</td>
<td>Seller</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>10 days before property conveyance or as agreed between the parties.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Resale – Home in CIC – Common Interest Community. | Required disclosures, plus Form - Before You Purchase Property … RED Form #584 | Required disclosures: Resale Package Copy of declaration, rules or regulations of association, statement of monthly assessment, unpaid assessments, current operating budget, financial statement of association, summary of financial components of Reserve Study, unsatisfied judgments, status of any pending legal actions. Buyer may cancel within 5 days after receipt of resale package. Before You Purchase Property in a Common-Interest Community Did You Know… | NRS 116.4109
NRS 116.41095 | Unit’s owner - seller | Buyer | By parties’ agreement, but before close of escrow. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EVENT REQUIRING DISCLOSURE</th>
<th>DISCLOSURE FORM NAME</th>
<th>WHAT IS BEING DISCLOSED</th>
<th>LEGAL CITE</th>
<th>RESPONSIBLE PERSON</th>
<th>GIVEN TO</th>
<th>LAST POSSIBLE TIME FOR DISCLOSURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential Property built before 1978 - lease or sale.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form, but required wording and booklet. <a href="http://www.hud.gov/offices/lead/1018/secl_eng.pdf">www.hud.gov/offices/lead/1018/secl_eng.pdf</a></td>
<td>Seller or landlord must provide buyer/Tenant/Lessee with the EPA disclosure booklet “Protect Your Family From Lead in Your Home” (EPA747-K-94-001), and give notice that buyer/Tenant/Lessee is allowed to perform a lead-based paint risk assessment/inspection. Buyer/Tenant/Lessee may cancel if lead is found.</td>
<td>42 USC § 4852 (d)  24 CFR part 35, subpart A</td>
<td>Seller or Landlord</td>
<td>Buyer or Tenant/Lessee</td>
<td>As a condition of the sale or lease agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision Effective: July 1, 2009 Home or Improved or Unimproved Lot adjacent to open range.</td>
<td>Open Range Disclosure RED Form 351</td>
<td>Property is adjacent to open range on which livestock are permitted to graze or roam. Property may also be subject to county or state claims of rights-of-way granted by Congress over public lands (commonly referred to as R.S. 2477), detailed in Form 551. Identifies fencing requirements and warns about harming livestock. Open range is all unenclosed land outside cities or towns.</td>
<td>NRS 113.065</td>
<td>Seller</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>Before signing sales agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buyer obtaining an FHA insured home loan.</td>
<td>For Your Protection Get a Home Inspection Form is HUD-92564-CN</td>
<td>Informs buyer about the limits of the Federal Housing Administration and suggests buyers obtain a home inspection to evaluate the physical condition of the property prior to purchase.</td>
<td>HUD mortgage letter 99-18</td>
<td>Lender or licensee</td>
<td>Buyer/Borrower</td>
<td>Before or on signing sales agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property in Road Maintenance District</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Notice property is within Road Maintenance District. The amount of assessments for the last two (2) years.</td>
<td>NRS 320.130</td>
<td>Seller</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>Before property is sold.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selling Used Manufactured (Mobile) Home with underlying real property.</td>
<td>Used Manufactured/ Mobile Home Disclosure RED Form #610</td>
<td>Informs consumer that a manufactured home is personal property and is subject to personal property taxes unless converted. Also, instructs consumer to submit certain documents to Nevada’s Manufactured Housing Division pursuant to NRS 489.521 and NRS 489.531.</td>
<td>NRS 645.258</td>
<td>Broker/Licensee</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>Before property is sold.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property was Meth Lab.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>If the property was the site of the manufacture or preparation of methamphetamine (meth). No disclosure is necessary if the property has been declared safe for habitation by a governmental agency.</td>
<td>NRS 40.770(6)</td>
<td>Licensee or seller</td>
<td>Buyer or tenant</td>
<td>Before sale or rental.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective: January 1, 2011</td>
<td>Energy Consumption Evaluation Disclosure Form to be prepared and published by the Nevada Energy Commissioner.</td>
<td>An evaluation of the energy consumption of property based on State-prescribed standards and information about State programs for improving energy conservation and efficiency in residential properties. Evaluation served to buyer must have been completed 5 years or less from date parties enter into an agreement to purchase. Includes statues NRS 113.115. Buyer may waive rights.</td>
<td>NRS 113.115</td>
<td>Seller</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>Before close of escrow for the conveyance of property.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVENT REQUIRING DISCLOSURE</td>
<td>DISCLOSURE FORM NAME</td>
<td>WHAT IS BEING DISCLOSED</td>
<td>LEGAL CITE</td>
<td>RESPONSIBLE PERSON</td>
<td>GIVEN TO</td>
<td>LAST POSSIBLE TIME FOR DISCLOSURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property in a subdivision subject to deed restrictions in Washoe County.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Copy of deed restrictions IF property is located in a county with a Population between 100,000 and 400,000. As of February, 2006, this is only applicable to Washoe County.</td>
<td>NRS 278.565</td>
<td>Seller</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>Not stated, but presented to &quot;prospective&quot; buyer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When the Property has a Wood Burning Stove or solid fuel burning device in Washoe County.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Presented at sale of any residence, or change of title of any residence in Washoe County.</td>
<td>Washoe Co. Health Dist. Regulation 040.0516 § A &amp; D</td>
<td>Seller or agent</td>
<td>Buyer</td>
<td>Before escrow is complete or title is changed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New construction. Currently, only Clark County.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Gaming Enterprise District – (for NV counties with a Population over 400,000. As of Feb. 2006, this is only applicable to Clark County). Copy of most recent gaming enterprise district map, the location of the nearest gaming enterprise district and notice that map is subject to change.</td>
<td>NRS 113.080</td>
<td>Seller</td>
<td>Initial Buyer</td>
<td>24 hrs before signing sales agreement, time may be waived.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selling Residential Property in Churchill County.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Information sheet presented to real estate licensees titled “What Every Realtor Should Know About Water in Churchill County”. Provided by the City of Fallon, 2001. Local professional associations, such as the Sierra Nevada Association of Realtors®, may have other consumer disclosure pamphlets.</td>
<td>Information sheet only, not required by code or statute.</td>
<td>Licensees</td>
<td>Clients</td>
<td>Information sheet only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any property subject to Lake Tahoe Regional Planning Authority.</td>
<td>No Mandatory Form</td>
<td>Lake Tahoe – Best Management Practices — Residential property at Lake Tahoe is subject to multi-jurisdictional environmental controls that regulate ground, water and air quality in the Tahoe area. Licensees need to be aware of these restrictions, called Best Management Practices, when dealing with Tahoe property.</td>
<td>TRPA Code of Ordinances §25.5.A</td>
<td>Licensees</td>
<td>Clients and consumers</td>
<td>Various.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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DUTIES OWED BY A NEVADA REAL ESTATE LICENSEE

This form does not constitute a contract for services nor an agreement to pay compensation.

In Nevada, a real estate licensee is required to provide a form setting forth the duties owed by the licensee to:

a) Each party for whom the licensee is acting as an agent in the real estate transaction, and
b) Each unrepresented party to the real estate transaction, if any.

Licensee: The licensee in the real estate transaction is ________________________________
whose license number is ______________________. The licensee is acting for [client’s name(s)] ________________________________, who is/are the [seller/landlord] ☐ [buyer/tenant] ☐

Broker: The broker is ________________________________, whose company is ________________________________

Licensee’s Duties Owed to All Parties:
A Nevada real estate licensee shall:
1. Not deal with any party to a real estate transaction in a manner which is deceitful, fraudulent or dishonest.
2. Exercise reasonable skill and care with respect to all parties to the real estate transaction.
3. Disclose to each party to the real estate transaction as soon as practicable:
   a. Any material and relevant facts, data or information which licensee knows, or with reasonable care and
tenacity the licensee should know, about the property.
   b. Each source from which licensee will receive compensation.
4. Abide by all other duties, responsibilities and obligations required of the licensee in law or regulations.

Licensee’s Duties Owed to the Client:
A Nevada real estate licensee shall:
1. Exercise reasonable skill and care to carry out the terms of the brokerage agreement and the licensee’s duties in
   the brokerage agreement;
2. Not disclose, except to the licensee’s broker, confidential information relating to a client for 1 year after the
   revocation or termination of the brokerage agreement, unless licensee is required to do so by court order or the
   client gives written permission;
3. Seek a sale, purchase, option, rental or lease of real property at the price and terms stated in the brokerage
   agreement or at a price acceptable to the client;
4. Present all offers made to, or by the client as soon as practicable, unless the client chooses to waive the duty of the
   licensee to present all offers and signs a waiver of the duty on a form prescribed by the Division;
5. Disclose to the client material facts of which the licensee has knowledge concerning the real estate transaction;
6. Advise the client to obtain advice from an expert relating to matters which are beyond the expertise of the
   licensee; and
7. Account to the client for all money and property the licensee receives in which the client may have an interest.

Duties Owed By a broker who assigns different licensees affiliated with the brokerage to separate parties.
Each licensee shall not disclose, except to the real estate broker, confidential information relating to client.

Licensee Acting for Both Parties: You understand that the licensee ____________________________________________
may or ____________________________________________ may not, in the future act for two or more parties who have interests adverse to each other. In acting for these parties, the licensee has a conflict of interest. Before a licensee may act for two or more parties, the licensee must give you a “Consent to Act” form to sign.

I/we acknowledge receipt of a copy of this list of licensee duties, and have read and understand this disclosure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seller/Landlord</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Buyer/Tenant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seller/Landlord</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Buyer/Tenant</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONSENT TO ACT
This form does not constitute a contract for services nor an agreement to pay compensation.

DESCRIPTION OF TRANSACTION: The real estate transaction is the [ ] sale and purchase or [ ] lease of:
Property Address: ____________________________________________

In Nevada, a real estate licensee may act for more than one party in a real estate transaction; however, before the licensee does so, he or she must obtain the written consent of each party. This form is that consent. Before you consent to having a licensee represent both yourself and the other party, you should read this form and understand it.

Licensee: The licensee in this real estate transaction is ________________________________ ("Licensee") whose license number is ___________ and who is affiliated with __________________________ ("Brokerage").

Seller/Landlord
Print Name:

Buyer/Tenant
Print Name:

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: A licensee in a real estate transaction may legally act for two or more parties who have interests adverse to each other. In acting for these parties, the licensee has a conflict of interest.

DISCLOSURE OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION: Licensee will not disclose any confidential information for one year after the revocation or termination of any brokerage agreement entered into with a party to this transaction, unless Licensee is required to do so by a court of competent jurisdiction or is given written permission to do so by that party. Confidential information includes, but is not limited to, the client's motivation to purchase, trade or sell, which if disclosed, could harm one party's bargaining position or benefit the other.

DUTIES OF LICENSEE: Licensee shall provide you with a "Duties Owed by a Nevada Real Estate Licensee" disclosure form which lists the duties a licensee owes to all parties of a real estate transaction, and those owed to the licensee's client. When representing both parties, the licensee owes the same duties to both seller and buyer. Licensee shall disclose to both Seller and Buyer all known defects in the property, any matter that must be disclosed by law, and any information the licensee believes may be material or might affect Seller's/Landlord's or Buyer's/Tenant's decisions with respect to this transaction.

NO REQUIREMENT TO CONSENT: You are not required to consent to this licensee acting on your behalf. You may:
  – Reject this consent and obtain your own agent;
  – Represent yourself;
  – Request that the licensee's broker assign you your own licensee.

CONFIRMATION OF DISCLOSURE AND INFORMATION CONSENT

BY MY SIGNATURE BELOW, I UNDERSTAND AND CONSENT: I am giving my consent to have the above identified licensee act for both the other party and me. By signing below, I acknowledge that I understand the ramifications of this consent, and that I acknowledge that I am giving this consent without coercion.

I/we acknowledge receipt of a copy of this list of licensee duties, and have read and understand this disclosure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seller/Landlord</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Broker/Tenant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seller/Landlord</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Broker/Tenant</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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SELLER'S REAL PROPERTY DISCLOSURE FORM

In accordance with Nevada Law, a seller of residential real property in Nevada must disclose any and all known conditions and aspects of the property which materially affect the value or use of residential property in an adverse manner (see NRS 113.130 and 113.140).

Date ____________________________ Do you currently occupy or have you ever occupied this property? YES ❑ NO ❑

Property address ____________________________

❑ Check here if the Seller is exempt from the completion of this form pursuant to NRS 113.130(2).

Purpose of Statement: (1) This statement is a disclosure of the condition of the property in compliance with the Seller Real Property Disclosure Act, effective January 1, 1996. (2) This statement is a disclosure of the condition and information concerning the property known by the Seller which materially affects the value of the property. Unless otherwise advised, the Seller does not possess any expertise in construction, architecture, engineering or any other specific area related to the construction or condition of the improvements on the property or the land. Also, unless otherwise advised, the Seller has not conducted any inspection of generally inaccessible areas such as the foundation or roof. This statement is not a warranty of any kind by the Seller or by any Agent representing the Seller in this transaction and is not a substitute for any inspections or warranties the Buyer may wish to obtain.

Instructions to the Seller: (1) ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS. (2) REPORT KNOWN CONDITIONS AFFECTING THE PROPERTY. (3) ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES WITH YOUR SIGNATURE IF ADDITIONAL SPACE IS REQUIRED. (4) COMPLETE THIS FORM YOURSELF. (5) IF SOME ITEMS DO NOT APPLY TO YOUR PROPERTY, CHECK N/A (NOT APPLICABLE). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1996, FAILURE TO PROVIDE A PURCHASER WITH A SIGNED DISCLOSURE STATEMENT WILL ENABLE THE PURCHASER TO TERMINATE AN OTHERWISE BINDING PURCHASE AGREEMENT AND SEEK OTHER REMEDIES AS PROVIDED BY THE LAW (see NRS 113.150).

Systems / Appliances: Are you aware of any problems and/or defects with any of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electrical System</td>
<td>Plumbing</td>
<td>Shower(s)</td>
<td>Sewer System &amp; line</td>
<td>Sink(s)</td>
<td>Septic tank &amp; leach field</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXPLANATIONS: Any “Yes” must be fully explained. Attach explanations to form.
Property conditions, improvements and additional information:

Are you aware of any of the following?:

1. Structure:
   (a) Previous or current moisture condition and/or water damage? ........................................... [ ] [ ]
   (b) Any structural defect? ........................................................................................................... [ ] [ ]
   (c) Any construction, modification, additions, or repairs made without required county or city building permits? ................................................................. [ ] [ ]
   (d) Whether the property is or has been the subject of a claim governed by NRS 40.600 to 40.695 (construction defect claims)? ............... [ ]
   (e) If yes, further disclosure is required under NRS 113.005? .................................................... [ ]

2. Land or Foundation:
   (a) Any of the improvements being located on unstable or expansive soil? ................................ [ ] [ ]
   (b) Any foundation settling, movement, upheaval, or earth stability problems that have occurred on the property? .......................................................... [ ] [ ]
   (c) Any drainage, flooding, water seepage, or high water tables? ........................................... [ ] [ ]
   (d) The property being located in a designated flood plain? ...................................................... [ ] [ ]
   (e) Whether the property is located near to or near any known future development? ............ [ ] [ ]
   (f) Any encroachments, easements, zoning violations or nonconforming uses? ................... [ ] [ ]
   (g) Is the property adjacent to "open range" land? ....................................................................... [ ] [ ]
   (h) If yes, further disclosure is required under NRS 113.005? ..................................................... [ ] [ ]

3. Roof: Any problems with the roof? .............................................................................................. [ ]

4. Pool/spa: Any problems with structure, shell, liner, or equipment? ........................................ [ ] [ ]

5. Insulation: Any history of infestation of vermin, carpenter ants, etc.? ...................................... [ ] [ ]

6. Environmental: Any substances, materials, or products which may be an environmental hazard such as, but not limited to, asbestos, radon gas, i.e. formaldehyde, fuel or chemical storage tanks, contaminated water or soil on the property? .................................................. [ ] [ ]

7. Fungi / Mold: Any previous or current signs of fungi or mold? .................................................. [ ] [ ]

8. Any features of the property shared in common with adjoining landowners such as walls, fences, road, driveways or other features whose use or responsibility for maintenance may have an effect on the property? ............................................................................................................................... [ ] [ ]

9. Common Interest Communities: Any "common areas" (facilities like pools, tennis courts, walkways or other areas owned with others) or a homeowner association which has any authority over the property? .......................................................................................................................... [ ] [ ]
   (a) Common Interest Community Declaration and Bylaws available? ..................................... [ ] [ ]
   (b) Any periodic or recurring association fees? ........................................................................... [ ] [ ]
   (c) Any unpaid assessments, fines or liens, and any warnings or notices that may give rise to an assessment, fine or lien? ......................................................................................................................... [ ] [ ]
   (d) Any litigation, arbitration, or mediation related to property or common areas? ................. [ ] [ ]
   (e) Any encroachments, easements, or restrictions related to the property (e.g. deed restrictions)..................................................................................................................................... [ ] [ ]
   (f) Any construction, demolition, alterations, or repairs made without required approval from the appropriate Common Interest Community board or committee? ........................................................................................................ [ ] [ ]

10. Any problems with water quality or water supply? ..................................................................... [ ]

11. Any other conditions or aspects of the property which materially affect its value or use in an adverse manner? .................................................................................................................. [ ]

12. Lead-Based Paint: Was the property constructed on or before 1978? ....................................... [ ] [ ]
   (If yes, additional Federal EPA notification and disclosure documents are required)

13. Water source: Municipal ☐ Community Well ☐ Domestic Well ☐ Other ☐
   If Community Well: State Engineer Well Permit ☐ Recreational ☐ Permanent ☐ Cancelled ☐
   Use of community and domestic wells may be subject to change. Contact the Nevada Division of Water Resources for more information regarding the future use of this well.

14. Wastewater disposal: Municipal Sewer ☐ Septic System ☐ Other ☐

EXPLANATIONS: Any "Yes" must be fully explained. Attach explanations to form.

[Signatures]

[Seal]
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Buyers and sellers of residential property are advised to seek the advice of an attorney concerning their rights and obligations as set forth in Chapter 113 of the Nevada Revised Statutes regarding the seller’s obligation to execute the Nevada Real Estate Division’s approved “Seller’s Real Property Disclosure Form”. For your convenience, Chapter 113 of the Nevada Revised Statutes provides as follows:

CONDITION OF RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY OFFERED FOR SALE

NRS 113.100 Definitions. As used in NRS 113.105 to 113.129, inclusive, unless the context otherwise requires:
1. "Defect" means a condition that materially affects the use or value of residential property in an adverse manner.
2. "Disclosure form" means a form that complies with the regulations adopted pursuant to NRS 113.120.
3. "Dwelling unit" means any building, structure or portion thereof which is occupied as, or designed or intended for occupancy as, a residence by one person who maintains a household or by two or more persons who maintain a common household.
4. "Residential property" means any land in this state to which is affixed less than one or more than four dwelling units.
5. "Seller" means a person who sells or intends to sell any residential property.

(Amended to NRS by 1993, 8-2; A 1999, 14-6)

NRS 113.110 Conditions required for "conveyance of property" and to complete service of document. For the purposes of NRS 113.100 to 113.129, inclusive:
1. A "conveyance of property" occurs:
   (a) Upon the execution of any conveyance for the conveyance;
   (b) If no conveyance has been executed, for the conveyance, when the purchaser of the property receives the deed of conveyance.
   (c) Service of a document is complete:
   (d) Upon personal delivery of the document to the person being served; or
   (e) Three days after the document is mailed, postage prepaid, to the person being served at his last known address.

(Amended to NRS by 1993, 8-4)

NRS 113.120 Regulations prescribing format and contents of form for disclosing condition of property. The Real Estate Division of the Department of Business and Industry shall adopt regulations prescribing the format and contents of a form for disclosing the condition of residential property offered for sale. The regulations must ensure that the form:
1. Provides for an evaluation of the condition of any electrical, heating, cooling, plumbing and sewer systems on the property, and of the condition of any other aspects of the property which affects, its use or value, and allows the seller of the property to indicate whether or not each of those systems and other aspects of the property has a defect of which the seller is aware.

(Amended by 1993, 8-3)

NRS 113.130 Completion and service of disclosure form before conveyance of property; discovery or worsening of defect after service of form; exceptions; waiver.
1. Except as otherwise provided in subsections 2 and 3:
2. At least 10 days before the residential property is conveyed to a purchaser:
   (a) The seller shall complete a disclosure form regarding the residential property; and
   (b) The seller shall complete the disclosure form not later than 10 days before the conveyance of the property to the purchaser, a seller or his agent discovers a new defect in the residential property that was not identified on the completed disclosure form or discovers that a defect described in the completed disclosure form has become worse than was indicated on the form, the seller or his agent shall inform the purchaser or his agent of the fact. If in writing, as soon as practicable after the discovery of the defect but in no event later than the conveyance of the property to the purchaser. If the seller does not agree to repair or replace the defect, the purchaser may:
   (1) Reject the agreement to purchase the property; or
   (2) Close escrow and accept the property with the defect as revealed by the seller or his agent without further recourse.
2. Notwithstanding paragraph 1, if this provision is not applicable to a residential property:
   (a) If the disclosures are pursuant to chapter 507 of the Revised Statutes,
   (b) Between any co-owners of the property, tenants or persons related within the third degree of consanguinity,
   (c) With the limitation of a residence that was constructed by a licensed contractor,
   (d) By a person who takes temporary possession of or title to the property solely to facilitate the sale of the property on behalf of a person who relinquishes to another county, state or country the legal title to the property is transferred to a purchaser.
3. A purchaser of residential property may waive any of the exemptions of subsection 1. Any such waiver is effective only if it is made in a written document that is signed by the purchaser and recorded.

(Amended to NRS by 1993, 8-2; A 1997, 349; 2005, 1335; 2006, 502)
NRS 113.135 Certain sellers to provide copies of certain provisions of NRS and give notice of certain soil reports; initial purchaser entitled to rescind sales agreement in certain circumstances; waiver of right to rescind.

1. Upon signing a sales agreement with the initial purchaser of residential property that was not occupied by the purchaser for more than 120 days after substantial completion of the conversion of the residential property, the seller shall:
   (a) Provide to the initial purchaser a copy of NRS 113.222 to 113.226, inclusive, and 40.650 to 40.659, inclusive.
   (b) Notify the initial purchaser of any soil report prepared for the residential property or for the subdivision in which the residential property is located; and
   (c) If requested in writing by the initial purchaser not later than 5 days after signing the sales agreement, provide to the purchaser without cost each report described in paragraph (a) not later than 3 days after the seller receives the written request.

2. Not later than 20 days after receipt of all reports pursuant to paragraph (b) of subsection 1, the initial purchaser may cancel the sales agreement.

3. The initial purchaser may waive his right to rescind the sales agreement pursuant to subsection 2. Such a waiver is effective only if it is made in a written document that is signed by the purchaser.

(Amended to NRS by 1995, SB 233; 2001, SB 36)

NRS 113.148 Disclosure of unknown defect not required; form does not constitute warranty; duty of buyer and prospective buyer to exercise reasonable care.

1. NRS 113.148 does not require a seller to disclose a defect in a residential property of which he is not aware.

2. A completed disclosure form does not constitute an express or implied warranty regarding any condition of a residential property.

3. Neither this chapter nor chapter 53 of NRS relieves a buyer or prospective buyer of the duty to exercise reasonable care to protect himself.

(Amended to NRS by 1995, SB 233; 2001, SB 36)

NRS 113.150 Remedies for seller's delayed disclosure or nondisclosure of defects in property; waivers.

1. If a seller or his agent fails to serve a completed disclosure form as required by the requirements of NRS 113.133, the purchaser may, at any time before the conveyance of the property to the purchaser, rescind the sales agreement to purchase the property without any penalties.

2. If, before the conveyance of the property to the purchaser, a seller or his agent informs the purchaser or his agent, through the disclosure form or another written notice, of a defect in the property of which the cost of repair or replacement was not dated by provisions in the agreement to purchase the property, the purchaser may:
   (a) Request that the agreement be rescinded at any time before the conveyance of the property to the purchaser; or
   (b) Close the transaction and accept the property with the defect as revealed by the seller or his agent without further notice.

3. Rejection of a notice pursuant to subsection 2 is effective only if made in writing, notarized and served not later than 4 working days after the date on which the purchaser is advised of the defect.

4. On the holder of any notice served for the conveyance or
   (b) An escrow agent shall not be excused for the conveyance, or the seller's agent.

5. Receipt as otherwise provided in subsection 5, if a seller conveys residential property to a purchaser without complying with the requirements of NRS 113.132 or subsections providing the purchaser or his agent with written notice of all defects in the property of which the seller is aware, and there is a defect in the property of which the seller was aware before the property was conveyed to the purchaser and of which the cost of repair or replacement was not dated by provisions in the agreement to purchase the property, the person in whom the interest to recover from the seller based on the amount necessary to repair or replace the defective part of the property, together with court costs and reasonable attorney's fees. An escrow to allocate the provisions of this subsection must be commenced not later than 1 year after the purchaser discovers or reasonably should have discovered the defect or 2 years after the conveyance of the property to the purchaser, whichever occurs later.

6. A purchaser of residential property may waive any of his rights under this section. Such a waiver is effective only if it is made in a written document that is signed by the purchaser and notarized.

(Amended to NRS by 1995, SB 233; 1997, SB 307, SB 308)

The above information provided on pages one (1) and two (2) of this disclosure form is true and correct to the best of seller's knowledge as of the date set forth on page one (1). SELLER HAS DUTY TO DISCLOSE TO BUYER AS NEW DEFECTS ARE DISCOVERED AND/OR KNOWN DEFECTS BECOME WORSE (See NRS 113.130(1)(b)).

Sellers(s):

Date:

Buyer(s):

Date:
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Disclosure of Information on Lead-Based Paint and/or Lead-Based Paint Hazards

Lead Warning Statement
Every purchaser of any interest in residential real property on which a residential dwelling was built prior to 1978 is notified that such property may present exposure to lead from lead-based paint that may place young children at risk of developing lead poisoning. Lead poisoning in young children may produce permanent neurological damage, including learning disabilities, reduced intelligence quotient, behavioral problems, and impaired memory. Lead poisoning also poses a particular risk to pregnant women. The seller of any interest in residential real property is required to provide the buyer with any information on lead-based paint hazards from risk assessments or inspections in the seller’s possession and notify the buyer of any known lead-based paint hazards. A risk assessment or inspection for possible lead-based paint hazards is recommended prior to purchase.

Seller’s Disclosure
(a) Presence of lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards (check (i) or (ii) below):
   (i) ______ Known lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards are present in the housing property (explain)

   (ii) Seller has no knowledge of lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards in the housing property.

(b) Records and reports available to the seller (check (i) or (ii) below):
   (i) Seller has provided the purchaser with all available records and reports pertaining to lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards in the housing property (list documents below)

   (ii) Seller has no reports or records pertaining to lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards in the housing property.

Purchaser’s Acknowledgment (initial)
(c) ______ Purchaser has received copies of all information listed above.
(d) ______ Purchaser has received the pamphlet Protect Your Family from Lead in Your Home.
(e) Purchaser has (check (i) or (ii) below):
   (i) ______ received a 10-day opportunity (or mutually agreed upon period) to conduct a risk assessment or inspection for the presence of lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards; or
   (ii) ______ waived the opportunity to conduct a risk assessment or inspection for the presence of lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards.

Agent’s Acknowledgment (initial)
(f) ______ Agent has informed the seller of the seller’s obligations under 42 U.S.C. 4852(d) and is aware of his/her responsibility to ensure compliance.

Certification of Accuracy
The following parties have reviewed the information above and certify, to the best of their knowledge, that the information they have provided is true and accurate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seller</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Seller</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchaser</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Purchaser</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Agent</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For Your Protection: Get a Home Inspection

Name of Buyer(s):
Property Address:

Why a Buyer Needs a Home Inspection
A home inspection gives the buyer more detailed information about the overall condition of the home prior to purchase. In a home inspection, a qualified inspector takes an in-depth, unbiased look at your potential new home to:

- evaluate the physical condition: structure, construction, and mechanical systems
- identify items that need to be repaired or replaced
- estimate the remaining useful life of the major systems, equipment, structure, and finishes

Appraisals are Different from Home Inspections
An appraisal is different from a home inspection. Appraisals are for lenders; home inspections are for buyers. An appraisal is required for three reasons:

- to estimate the market value of a house
- to make sure that the house meets FHA minimum property standards/requirements
- to make sure that the house is marketable

FHA Does Not Guarantee the Value or Condition of your Potential New Home
If you find problems with your new home after closing, FHA cannot give or lend you money for repairs, and FHA cannot buy the home back from you.

I/We understand the importance of getting an independent home inspection. I/We have considered this before signing a contract with the seller for a home. Furthermore, I/We have carefully read this notice and fully understand that FHA will not perform a home inspection nor guarantee the price or condition of the property.

I/We choose to have a home inspection performed.
I/We choose not to have a home inspection performed.

Signature & Date
Signature & Date

Radon Gas Testing
The United States Environmental Protection Agency and the Surgeon General of the United States have recommended that all houses should be tested for radon. For more information on radon testing, call the National Radon Information Line at 1-800-829-RADON or 1-800-767-7236. As with a home inspection, if you decide to test for radon, you may do so before signing your contract, or you may do so after signing the contract. As long as your contract states the sale of the home depends on your satisfaction with the results of the radon test.

Be an Informed Buyer
It is your responsibility to be an informed buyer. Be sure that what you buy is satisfactory in every respect. You have the right to carefully examine your potential new home with a qualified home inspector. You may arrange to do so before signing your contract, or may do so after signing the contract as long as your contract states that the sale of the home depends on the inspection.
OPEN RANGE DISCLOSURE

Assessor Parcel Number: __________________________________________

OR
Assessor's Manufactured Home ID Number: __________________________

Disclosure: This property is adjacent to “Open Range”

This property is adjacent to open range on which livestock are permitted to graze or roam. Unless you construct a fence that will prevent livestock from entering this property, livestock may enter the property and you will not be entitled to collect damages because livestock entered the property. Regardless of whether you construct a fence, it is unlawful to kill, maim or injure livestock that entered this property.

The parcel may be subject to claims made by a county or this State of rights-of-way granted by Congress over public lands of the United States not reserved for public uses in chapter 262, section 8, 14 Statutes 253 (former 43 U.S.C. § 932, commonly referred to as R.S. 2477), and accepted by general public use and enjoyment before, on or after July 1, 1979, or other rights-of-way. Such rights-of-way may be:

1. Unrecorded, undocumented or unsurveyed; and
2. Used by persons, including, without limitation miners, ranchers or hunters, for access or recreational use, in a manner which interferes with the use and enjoyment of the parcel.

SELLERS: The law (NRS 113.065) requires that the seller shall:

- Disclose to the purchaser information regarding grazing on open range;
- Retain a copy of the disclosure document signed by the purchaser acknowledging the date of receipt by the purchaser of the original document;
- Provide a copy of the signed disclosure document to the purchaser; and
- Record, in the office of the county recorder in the county where the property is located, the original disclosure document that has been signed by the purchaser.

I, the below signed purchaser, acknowledge that I have received this disclosure on this date: __________________________, 20__

Buyer Signature

Print or type name here

Buyer Signature

Print or type name here

In Witness, Whereof, I/we have hereunto set my hand/our hands this ______ day of ______________________, 20__.

Seller Signature

Print or type name here

Seller Signature

Print or type name here

STATE OF NEVADA, COUNTY OF ________________________

This instrument was acknowledged before me on ______________________

(by) ______________________

Person(s) appearing before notary

(by) ______________________

Person(s) appearing before notary

Signature of notarial officer

Consult an attorney if you doubt this form's fitness for your purpose.

NOTE: Leave space within 1-inch margin blank on all sides.
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STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY
REAL ESTATE DIVISION
788 Fairview Drive, Suite 200 * Carson City, NV 89701-5453 * (775) 687-4280
2501 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 102 * Las Vegas, NV 89104-4137 * (702) 486-4033
e-mail: realest@red.state.nv.us http://www.red.state.nv.us

USED MANUFACTURED/MOBILE HOME DISCLOSURE
Personal Property Taxes and Required Documents

Pursuant to Section 6, AB 114 (2005), a Real Estate Licensee is required to provide to the purchaser of a Used Manufactured or Used Mobile Home that has NOT been converted to real property the following information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MANUFACTURER:</th>
<th>YEAR:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SERIAL #</td>
<td>SIZE:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTICE:** The used manufactured/used mobile home you are purchasing is PERSONAL PROPERTY and is subject to personal property taxes. Personal property taxes are paid through your county assessor’s office.

Personal property taxes on used manufactured/used mobile homes are required by law to be paid in full before title (certificate of ownership) is transferred and an Assessor’s endorsement must be placed on the face of the title verifying the payment. Title to the manufactured/mobile home will not transfer until the assessor’s endorsement is received (NRS 489.531). You may contact the county assessor to verify if the taxes on this manufactured/mobile home have been paid in full.

In this transaction, you are purchasing both personal property (the used manufactured/used mobile home) and real property (the land the used manufactured/used mobile home is located on). **As a result, you will be paying both real property taxes and personal property taxes.**

**REQUIREMENT TO SUBMIT DOCUMENTS (NRS 489.521):** Within 45 days after the sale of the used manufactured/used mobile home is completed, you must submit the following documents to the Manufactured Housing Division and a copy to the County Assessor of the county in which the used manufactured/used mobile home is located:

- A properly endorsed Certificate of Ownership (if the certificate of ownership has been issued in this state) or
- A properly endorsed certificate of title or other document of title issued by another state (if the certificate of ownership has not been issued in this state) and a statement with the following information (if it is not contained on the certificate or document of title):
  - the description of the used manufactured/used mobile home;
  - the names and addresses of the buyer and seller;
  - the name and address of any person who takes or retains a purchase money security interest.

THE STATEMENT MUST BE SIGNED AND ACKNOWLEDGED BY THE BUYER AND SELLER.

If a used manufactured/used mobile home is sold pursuant to an installment contract or other agreement whereby the certificate of title or certificate of ownership does not pass immediately to the buyer upon the sale, the seller, buyer or both shall submit to the Manufactured Housing Division any information required by the regulations adopted pursuant to NRS 489.272.

**NOTICE PURSUANT TO NRS 489.531:** The Manufactured Housing Division shall not issue a certificate of ownership on a used manufactured/used mobile home unless the county assessor of the county in which the used manufactured/used mobile home was situated at the time of the sale has endorsed on the certificate that all personal property taxes for the fiscal year have been paid. Additionally, the certificate of ownership must contain a warning, printed or stamped on its face, to the effect that the title does not pass until the county assessor endorses on the certificate of title that all personal property taxes have been paid.

**RESPONSIBILITY OF BROKER:** A real estate broker who represents a client in this transaction shall take such actions as necessary to ensure that the client complies with the requirements of NRS 489.521 and NRS 489.531.

The disclosures provided above do not constitute a warranty as to title or condition of the used manufactured/mobile home information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purchaser</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Real Estate Broker</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchaser</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Real Estate Licensee</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nevada Real Estate Division
Replaces all previous versions

Page 1 of 1

Revised 10/01/05
WAIVER FORM

In representing any client in an agency relationship, a real estate licensee has specific statutory duties to that client. Under Nevada law only one of these duties can be waived. NRS 645.254 requires a licensee to "present all offers made to or by the client as soon as practicable." This duty may be waived by the client.

"Presenting all offers" includes without limitation: accepting delivery of and conveying offers and counteroffers; answering a client's questions regarding offers and counteroffers; and assisting a client in preparing, communicating and negotiating offers and counteroffers.

In order to waive the duty, the client must enter into a written agreement waiving the licensee's obligation to perform the duty to present all offers. **By signing below you are agreeing that the licensee who is representing you will not perform the duty of presenting all offers made to or by you with regard to the property located at:**

__________________________
Property Address

__________________________
City

AGREEMENT TO WAIVER

By signing below I agree that the licensee who represents me shall not present any offers made to or by me, as defined above. I understand that a real estate transaction has significant legal and financial consequences. I further understand that in any proposed transaction, the other licensee(s) involved represents the interests of the other party, does not represent me and cannot perform the waived duty on my behalf. I further understand that I should seek the assistance of other professionals such as an attorney. I further understand that it is my responsibility to inform myself of the steps necessary to fulfill the terms of any purchase agreement that I may execute. I further understand that this waiver may be revoked in writing by mutual agreement between client and broker.

**WAIVER NOT VALID UNTIL SIGNED BY BROKER.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Licensee</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Broker</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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AUTHORIZATION TO NEGOTIATE
DIRECTLY WITH SELLER

Nevada law permits a real estate licensee to negotiate a sale or lease directly with the seller or lessor with written permission from the listing broker. This form grants that permission with respect to the below-named Seller(s) and the listed property.

- Seller agrees, and the Seller's broker authorizes, that a Buyer's agent or broker may present offers (including subsequent counteroffers) and negotiate directly with the Seller.

- "Negotiate" means (a) delivering or communicating an offer, counteroffer, or proposal; (b) discussing or reviewing the terms of any offer, counteroffer, or proposal; and/or (c) facilitating communication regarding an offer, counteroffer, or proposal and preparing any response as directed.

- Seller understands and agrees that, after accepting an offer, additional contact from the Buyer's agent may be required to obtain disclosures and other documents related to the transaction.

- Seller acknowledges and agrees that Buyer's agent does not represent the Seller, and negotiations pursuant to this authorization do not create or imply an agency relationship between the Buyer's agent and the Seller. Seller understands that he/she should seek advice from Seller's broker and/or financial advisers or legal counsel.

- Seller acknowledges that Seller's broker will provide a copy of this authorization to the Buyer's agent or broker upon request, prior to presenting an offer.

Seller's Name(s):

Seller's Signature(s): ___________________________ Date __________ Time __________

Property Address: ____________________________

City: ______________________ Zip: __________ Contract Listing Date: _______________

Company Name: ______________________________

Seller's Agent Name: __________________________ Signature: __________________________ Date __________ Time __________

Seller's Broker Name: _________________________ Signature: __________________________ Date __________ Time __________
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VI. NEVADA LAW ON ADVERTISING
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A core duty of the real estate licensee is to promote the interests of the client by seeking “a sale, purchase, option, rental or lease of real property ... at the price . . . acceptable to the client.” Most licensees advertise to promote either the client’s property or to market the licensee’s services. The public has a societal interest in promoting accurate and fair representations of the things or services being advertised. To this end, various laws regulate real estate advertising. Here, we explore the advertising laws that impact the licensee’s marketing plan, whether marketing the licensee’s services or the client’s property.

A. ADVERTISING: WHAT IT IS AND ISN’T

“Advertisement” means the attempt by publication, dissemination, solicitation or circulation to induce, directly or indirectly, any person to enter into any obligation to lease or to acquire any title or interest in any property. Advertising includes printed materials such as business cards, stationery, signs, billboards, pre-printed forms and other documents used in a real estate transaction. Advertising laws are applicable in face-to-face solicitations such as door-to-door canvassing, listing and other presentations; any live or recorded presentations; “selling” seminars; and open houses.

Advertising includes all “electronic” formats such as broadcasts made by radio, television or other electronic means, including, without limitation, unsolicited electronic mail (email) and the internet. Nevada law defines advertising by email to mean material that advertises for commercial purposes the availability or the quality of real property, goods or services, made with the intent to solicit a person to purchase such real property, goods or services.

“Advertorials” are paid-for news articles and are subject to the advertising laws. It is an advertisement in the form of an editorial or feature story and is often found in the Sunday newspaper real estate section. Advertorials may focus on a specific licensee, a real estate team, a brokerage firm, or the features of a property or subdivision.

Advertisements are applicable regardless of the type of media used. In addition, there may be specific laws for the use of certain media such as email or the internet.

What It Isn’t - Not all information disseminated about a property or a licensee’s services is advertising. For example, legitimate news articles and non-purchased media interviews, a licensee’s or brokerage’s reputation, statements made in restricted members – only multiple listing service, are not considered advertising. Though not subject to advertising laws, there may be other laws or rules that control the content of those items. For example, a multiple listing service may have rules regarding what comments may be placed on the service.
Why are there advertising laws - what is their purpose? What types of laws control the licensee's advertising?

1. PRESENTING A TRUE PICTURE

The main reason advertising laws exist is to control commerce for the public good by requiring merchants to present a true picture of what is being sold. Truth in advertising is such an integral concept to our free market economy that the law provides for civil penalties for a violation and has made intentional false advertising a crime. A court has the authority to order such false advertising stopped through an injunctive action. Interestingly, actual deception of a consumer is unnecessary (in other words, the consumer doesn’t need to have been actually deceived); any statement with the tendency to deceive is subject to the law.

Other laws that fall under the True Picture purpose include the federal Truth-in-Lending laws and Nevada’s Deceptive Trade Practices statutes. Various real estate statutes require licensees to advertise in an honest and truthful manner. Intentional misrepresentation, deceit or fraud by a real estate licensee is a felony criminal act subjecting the licensee to imprisonment.

2. PROMOTING FAIR TRADE

Some advertising laws are designed to ensure fair trade and competition in the open market. These are the state and federal anti-trust laws. The real estate licensee is subject to both sets of laws.

3. CONTROLLING UNWANTED INTRUSIONS

Recently, a number of advertising laws and restrictions were passed to protect the public against aggressive or unwanted intrusions into the public’s privacy. The telemarketing laws, solicitation rules are examples of such laws.

4. FOSTERING EQUAL ACCESS

Finally, many advertising laws are designed to ensure that members of various protected classes have equal access to advertised services and properties. These rules are found in the fair housing laws.

B. PURPOSE AND SOURCES OF ADVERTISING LAWS

5. NRS 207.174

6. NRS 207.171 “It is unlawful for any person,… to use, publish, disseminate, display or make … directly or indirectly … by any radio, television or other advertising medium, … any statement which is known or through the exercise of reasonable care should be known to be false, deceptive or misleading in order to induce any person to purchase, sell, lease, dispose of, utilize or acquire any title or interest in any real or personal property or any personal or professional services ….”

7. NRS 207.176 – An injunction is where the court orders a person to do, or to stop doing, a specific action.

8. NRS 207.173


11. NRS 645.630 (1)(b) A licensee shall not make false promises to influence, persuade or induce; NRS 645.633 (1)(b), a licensee shall not participate in any deceitful, fraudulent or dishonest conduct.

12. NRS 645.990 (1)(b) a person who sells or attempts to sell any interest in real property by means of intentional misrepresentation, deceit or fraud is guilty of a category D felony. A category D felony is 1-4 years in prison and a fine of not less than $5,000.


5. SOURCES OF ADVERTISING LAW

Advertising laws are found in federal, state, county, city, and local laws, statutes, codes, and ordinances. Many of the state statutes echo federal law. For example, anti-discrimination housing laws are found in both federal and state law.

Generally, federal law is the controlling law and any state law in conflict with federal law will not be enforced. However, at times, federal law allows state law to take precedence if that law is within certain parameters. For example, the federal “Do Not Call” laws provided that a state may make “Do Not Call” laws that are more restrictive than the federal law, but it will not allow state laws that are more lenient than the federal law. Nevada’s “Do Not Call” laws are more restrictive and thus are the controlling law in Nevada. Nevada real estate licensees who are “cold calling” are required to follow the stricter Nevada “Do Not Call” laws.\(^\text{16}\)

In addition to federal and state laws and regulations, a real estate licensee may be subject to various local advertising restrictions. These restrictions range from controlling the size and placement of signs, to door-to-door solicitation hours, to the permitting and placement of handbills. For example, Clark County has a “sign” code which establishes parameters regarding the location of signs;\(^\text{17}\) the Las Vegas Municipal Code addresses the distribution of handbills;\(^\text{18}\) and the City of Henderson’s Municipal Code restricts the hours a solicitor may knock on a residential door.\(^\text{19}\)

There are various administrative regulations that impact a licensee’s advertising. When applicable, these regulations have the force and effect of law.\(^\text{20}\) In Nevada, the regulations are codified in the Nevada Administrative Code and the cites have the designation of NAC. The Nevada Real Estate Commission, and the Real Estate Division with Commission approval, promulgates regulations. (NRS 645.190). The Real Estate Division oversees enforcement of those regulations. If a licensee is found in violation of an advertising regulation, the RED may grant a licensee up to 10 days to correct any deficiency. After a hearing it may suspend or revoke a real estate license if the licensee fails to timely correct the noticed deficiency.\(^\text{21}\)
1. GENERAL RESTRICTIONS

All advertising, whether for a licensee’s services or a client’s property, must not be false or misleading and must provide a true and accurate picture of what is being sold.22

All advertising, whether for services or a client’s property, and whether paid for by the licensee, the client, or the broker, is done under the auspices and supervision of the broker who retains ultimate legal control and liability for the advertising.23

As all real estate transactions occur under the auspices of a broker, any advertisement, whether about a licensee’s services or a client’s property, must indicate the brokerage firm’s name in prominence to the licensee’s name. In determining whether the brokerage name is “in prominence”, the Real Estate Division (RED) will consider the style, size and color of the type or font used and the location of the name of the brokerage firm as it appears in the advertisement.24

In any advertisement, the licensee must use the name under which he or she is licensed. The use of nicknames is discouraged.

The purpose of this regulation is to provide accurate identification of the licensee to a consumer and the Real Estate Division.25

2. ANTI-TRUST/UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES

The anti-trust laws cover four main areas of activity: monopolies, tying arrangements, boycotting and price fixing. The two areas that are most subject to advertising restrictions are boycotting and price fixing. The majority of anti-trust violations in advertising occur verbally with face-to-face presentations.

Boycotting occurs when the government finds there is a consensus among members of a trade or profession to isolate or limit a specific competitor’s access to the market. In real estate, this has occurred when “traditional” brokerages advertised against “discount” brokerages; however, it can occur whenever two or more brokerages agree to not cooperate with a specific brokerage or other real estate related service provider.26 Activities considered by the federal government that may indicate boycotting are when licensees or brokers publish disparaging remarks against other agents, brokerages, or a competitor’s services.

Changes at the RED have modified the current advertising practice to remove the use of any nickname in advertising. This is a change from the RED position as stated in the RED Open House, Summer 2007, which stated in part that “common nicknames may be used.” NAC 645.610(1)(e) states a licensee shall not advertise under a name, including a nickname, other than his or her licensed name.
Price fixing occurs when two or more competitors agree to a common marketing price and subsequently modify their prices to conform to that agreement. Price fixing among real estate brokerages based on their association within the Realtor boards has been an issue since the 1950s.

Claims of price fixing occur when various brokers advertise their rates are the "prevailing", "common", "fixed" or "standard" rates in the area, thereby implying there is a common scheme for price fixing among competitors. Having common market rates is not, in and of itself, illegal unless two or more brokers from different brokerages have appeared to have agreed to charge the same rate.

Brokers should establish in their office policies and procedures, an independent justification for the prices the broker charges based on the broker's cost of doing business and required profit.

The licensee must be careful as an anti-trust violation can occur not only when prices are similar, but when competitors set the same terms as one another. For example, brokers agreeing to take only six-month listings and informing sellers that no broker accepts less than a six-month listing can be a violation.

The conspiracy part (two or more brokers) of an anti-trust violation claim need not be based on a formal agreement between competitors to price fix. A general casual conversation between competitors that results in common terms or prices is sufficient to warrant the charge of anti-trust.

3. DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES

Licensee advertising is also covered under Nevada's Deceptive Trade Practices statutes. These statutes provide that a person engages in a "deceptive trade practice" if he or she knowingly makes a false representation as to:

- the source of goods or services for sale or lease;
- the affiliation, association or certification by another entity;
- the characteristics, uses, alterations or quantities of goods or services;
- advertising goods or services without the intent to sell them as advertised;
- advertising "free" services with the intent to receive payment in undisclosed costs;
- making false or misleading statements of fact; and
- fraudulently altering any contract; or knowingly making any other false representation.

4. ADVANCED FEE AGREEMENTS

An "advanced fee" is when the broker charges a client a fee in advance of performing services. In the advertising realm, most advance fee agreements occur when a broker advertises that he or she has a list of potential properties, clients or rentals available and will provide that list for a fee. When a licensee takes an advanced fee, the licensee cannot advertise and imply that a buyer for the property is immediately or soon available.

If a broker advertises lists of available rentals for an advance fee, the broker must have the rental property owner's permission before advertising the rental.
A licensee taking available property information from the internet and selling that information is a violation of this regulation unless the licensee has the owner’s permission.

5. THE INTERNET

The law concerning what constitutes appropriate advertising on the internet continues to evolve. Nevada statutes and regulations provide that the dissemination of unsolicited information concerning real property or the marketing of real property is “advertising” and all advertising laws are applicable.

When advertising on the internet, each internet “page” should be considered a distinct advertisement and should comply with all advertising laws. For example, identifying the brokerage only on the first page of the website and not on each subsequent webpage may violate the regulation requiring each advertisement to prominently display the brokerage name.

There are additional rules whenever a licensee attempts to enter into an agency relationship with a client by internet. Though not specific to advertising, these rules should be reviewed to ensure the licensee includes the necessary disclosures and adheres to the appropriate signature requirements.

6. ELECTRONIC MAIL (EMAIL)

For the last several years, federal law has attempted to address the “spam” situations. The Federal Trade Commission (FTC) issued its final rules which were effective March, 2005. Those rules required the FTC to develop criteria to determine which emails are commercial. If an email is commercial, it is subject to the federal Controlling the Assault of Non-Solicited Pornography and Marketing Act of 2003 (CAN-SPAM Act). The federal rules require a commercial email to contain: a legitimate return email and physical postal address; a clear and conspicuous “opt-out” provision which must be honored by the sender within 30 days; and a notice “clear and conspicuous” that the email is an advertisement or solicitation. There is no prior or existing business relationship exemption.

If a licensee is found in violation of the FTC rules, he or she may be fined up to $250 per violation (that is per email that went out), with a maximum award of $2 million dollars. There is also the possibility of five years imprisonment if the emails were sent for the furtherance of any felony.

Nevada has its own statutes regarding advertising by email. For emails, an “advertisement” is defined as an email transmittal, for commercial purpose, stating the availability of real property, goods or services. The federal law specifically preempts all state laws that expressly regulate commercial emails, even if such state’s laws are more stringent than the federal law (this is unlike the federal “Do Not Call” law which allows states to have laws that are more stringent, but not less strict, than the federal law).

For all email advertisements generated by a licensee, each of the advertising rules regarding brokerage name, etc. are applicable.

7. FACSIMILE (FAX)

The federal law governing the transmittal of advertisements by facsimile (fax) is part of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991. These rules prohibit the sending of unsolicited fax advertisements. “Unsolicited advertisement” is defined as “any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person’s prior express invitation or permission.”
40. NRS 207.325

41. For example, Clark County has a code addressing handbills (Clark County Code 12.46.010). See also Las Vegas Code 6.42.140.

42. U.S. Postal regulations are found in the Domestic Mail Manual 1.3 which provides that mail boxes may only be used for matter bearing U.S. postage. Any other use is a violation and subject to fines.

43. For example, Henderson Code 4.72.200 provides that it is unlawful for any person to knock on the door, or ring the bell, of any residence before 8:00 am or after 7 pm.

Before sending an unsolicited advertisement the sender must have the written consent or an “established business relationship” with the recipient. The written consent requirement requires the sender to have voluntarily received the recipient’s fax number. In other words, purchasing the fax number from a third party would not be voluntary. An “established business relationship” is formed by the voluntary two-way communication between the recipient and fax sender based on a prior inquiry, application, purchase or transaction regarding products or services offered by the fax sender.

When a fax falls under the Act, it must have an opt-out provision allowing the recipient to stop future unsolicited advertising faxes whether an established business relationship exists or not. Finally, if the recipients does opt-out, the sender has 30 days to honor the request. The remedy for violations is $500 per fax with treble damages if the violation is willful.

The law provides that the “sender” is the individual or entity requesting the fax be sent. Therefore, if a licensee hires a third-party entity to broadcast an advertising fax, the licensee remains liable for any violations.

Nevada’s statute provides that a person shall not make any unsolicited electronic or telephonic transmissions to a facsimile machine to solicit a person to purchase real property, goods or services. Under Nevada law, the single exception is if there is a preexisting business relationship. This law is applicable to both business-to-individual and business-to-business transactions and real estate transactions are covered under this law. Again, for a Nevada licensee using faxes to advertise, all advertising laws apply.

8. HANDBILLS, TIME AND MAILBOX RESTRICTIONS

Licensees should be aware of some restrictions dealing with various methods of advertising. Two time tested advertising methods include the distribution of handbills and door-to-door canvassing. Many local governments have municipal codes or ordinances regarding these advertising activities.

Handbills: Sometimes, brokers and licensees will have printed handbills to pass out or put on automobiles. Many local governments have restrictions on the distribution of handbills. These restrictions include filing the handbill with the local government, paying a fee, and receiving a permit number which often must be on the handbill itself. If a licensee distributes the handbills on private property, e.g., a grocery store parking lot, the prior permission of the property owner must be obtained. Neither handbills, nor any other advertising that has not gone through the U.S. mails, may be placed in mailboxes.

Door-to-door canvassing: The licensee should be aware that when canvassing a neighborhood, the local government may have time restrictions as to when a residence may be solicited by either knocking on doors or hanging door bills.
D. ADVERTISING BROKERAGE AND LICENSEE SERVICES

The majority of laws regarding the advertisement of a licensee’s services concern providing the consumer with sufficient information in the advertisement to trace the licensee and brokerage.

1. BROKERAGE SIGNS

Each broker is required to erect a sign in a conspicuous place identifying the brokerage at the broker’s place of business. The brokerage name, or the name under which the broker does business, must be clearly identified. If the broker has more than one office, each office must have a similar sign. The sign must be readable from the nearest public sidewalk, street or highway. If the business is located in an office building, Hotel or apartment house, the sign must be posted on the building directory or on the exterior of the entrance to the business.

2. FRANCHISES & FICTITIOUS NAMES

If a broker is advertising under the name of a franchise, the broker must incorporate in a conspicuous way the real, fictitious or corporate name under which the brokerage is licensed. If applicable, there must also be an acknowledgement that each office is independently owned and operated.

A broker may not operate or advertise under a fictitious name without first registering the fictitious name and obtaining a certificate from the county clerk. This name must then be filed with the Nevada Real Estate Division and the broker may not use more than one name for each license under which the broker operates.

3. TEAMS

The last decade has seen the growth of a business model in which several licensees come together as a “team” or “group” to provide real estate related services. Currently, the Real Estate Division does not require teams to register with the Division; however, the Real Estate Commissioners have established regulations regarding the formation and identification of teams, including regulations about team advertising. These are:

1. A team must have two or more members. A single person cannot be, nor advertise, as a team.

2. Team members must be employed by the same broker. A team may not be composed of members who work for different brokerages.

3. The team name must incorporate the last name of one of the team members. For example, Sally Young and Mary Smith may form “The Young Team”.

4. Team names must not use a trade name nor may the team name be deceptively similar to a name under which another person or entity is lawfully doing business. The test of whether a name is
“deceptively similar” is whether a person of average intelligence would be misled by the name. It does not require actual deception or intent to deceive.49

The purposes of the regulation prohibiting deceptively similar names are: (1) the protection of vested rights to corporate names; (2) the protection of the public from deception and confusion; (3) the prevention of unnecessary litigation.50

In addition to these rules, any team advertising must comply with all other applicable advertising laws and regulations.51

4. LICENSEE STATUS

Some advertising rules require the licensee to disclose upfront the licensee’s status as a real estate licensee.52 The regulation states the licensee must provide a statement of the licensee’s licensed status in any advertisement which contains the words “for sale by owner” or “for lease by owner” or similar words. The licensee who advertises to acquire, lease or dispose of any interest in a time share or real property is required to disclose in the advertisement if the licensee has an active or inactive license and his or her status as a salesperson, broker or broker-salesperson.

This restriction applies to the use of a licensee’s telephone number, (or the name or telephone number of another licensee in the brokerage firm with which the licensee is associated) in any advertisement for the sale or lease of property if that sign or advertisement indicates the property is for sale or lease by an owner.53

If the licensee has an actual ownership interest in the property, the licensee may state the property is for sale or lease by “owner-broker” or “owner-agent”, which ever is applicable.54 This requirement to disclose the licensee’s status in the advertisement is applicable if the purchaser or seller is a member of the licensee’s immediate family, the licensee’s firm or any member of the firm, or any entity in which the licensee has an interest as owner.55
5. COLD CALLING AND THE “DO NOT CALL” LAWS

Cold calling is a common real estate marketing technique. Licensees “cold call” when they solicit previously unknown individuals by telephone in order to sell their real estate services. This type of telephone solicitation is currently regulated by both the federal and state “Do Not Call” laws.56

Briefly, the cold calling procedure requires a person to gather a list of telephone numbers of potential customers. The licensee or broker must then register with the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). Once registered, the licensee will receive a list of restricted telephone numbers filed with the FTC. The licensee then “scrubs” (compares) his or her list against the restricted list removing any matching numbers. The remaining telephone numbers may be called. Once called, if the recipient expresses a desire not to be disturbed or called again, the licensee must put that telephone number on an internal “do not call” list. All brokers should have an office policy regarding using cold calling by their agents.

Nevada’s Do Not Call laws are more restrictive than the federal law and are found in Nevada’s Deceptive Trade Practices NRS 598 statutes. Nevada’s Do Not Call rules include:

• No calling between 8 p.m. and 9 a.m.;
• No annoying, abusive or harassing language;
• No fair housing violations – blockbusting;
• No claiming to be information gathering when the intent is to induce a sale;
• The caller must inform the person who answers the telephone of the sales nature of the call within 30 seconds after beginning the conversation and must provide the name, address and telephone number of the business or organization.57


57. NRS 598.0915 through NRS 598.0953.

“Block-busting” This is the practice by which a person frightens a homeowner into selling his property at less than market value by spreading rumors that certain racial groups will move into the neighborhood. AGO 1972-71, March 24, 1972.
Advertising a client’s property encompasses laws and entities not previously dealt with when a licensee or broker advertises their services. Often, the property owner will want to share in how the property is advertised or in the very least, review what the broker proposes. When advertising a client’s property the broker needs to retain control over the advertised content and process as it is the broker who retains the most liability for errors, misleading or fraudulent advertising.

In this chapter, the fair housing rules are reviewed along with the laws regarding Truth-in-Lending, Regulation Z, property signs, land, subdivisions and owner/developer regulations as they relate to advertising.

1. FAIR HOUSING

Fair housing laws, both federal and state, are often the first set of restrictions people think of when it comes to real estate advertising.

Most advertising violations by a licensee are reported to a governing entity by another licensee or real estate professional. However, the majority of fair housing violations are brought to the attention of a governing entity by a member of the public as the laws are well known to the public.

The broker always retains the ultimate liability for his or her agent’s breach of advertising laws. Specifically, the Nevada Administrative Code requires the broker to supervise his or her licensees and familiarize them with the requirements of federal and state law prohibitions against discrimination.

Protected Classes: There are seven federally identified protected classes. Nevada fair housing law echoes those protected classes but includes an eighth class, that of ancestry. In 2011, the Nevada Legislature added gender identity or expression and sexual orientation to the protected classes. The federal protected classes are race, color, religion, sex, handicap (disability in Nevada), familial status, and national origin. Most fair housing advertising violations arise from indicating, either directly or indirectly, a preference for, or a bias against, a protected class.

Though each protected class may be violated in an advertising forum, the three protected classes which tend to have the greatest advertising violations are religion, familial status, and sex.

Religion: Potential violations occur when a licensee advertises only in a religious venue, or when in an advertisement, the licensee or client expresses a preference for, or bias against, certain buyers, sellers, or renters of a specific religion or belief system.

Many times the fair housing advertising violation in religion is not one of identifying a bias against a particular religion (e.g.,
no Muslims), but occurs when expressing a preference for a particular religion (e.g., Christians preferred).

Brokers have been found in violation of the fair housing laws when they placed signs, symbols or other indices of religion in their advertising. Additional violations can occur when references are made in the advertising to a local religious site, e.g., “house within walking distance of Beth Shalom”. An exception may be granted when the religious institution mentioned is of such national recognition that it becomes a landmark, such as the National Cathedral in Washington, D.C.

Familial Status: Familial Status refers to any adult (over 18 years of age) who is the parent, legal custodian, or other person with custody of a child or person under 18 years of age. It encompasses a wide range of relationships and is not dependant on blood or traditional parent/child legal status and includes women who may be pregnant. The term “family” includes single adults with minors.

Familial status is probably the most unintentionally violated fair housing class in advertising because violations often stem from the advertiser's good intentions. For example, a Reno newspaper advertisement for a rental recently read 'house on busy street, not suitable for small children'. Regardless of the advertiser's intention, this wording is a violation of the fair housing laws. More than at any time does the old saw “describe the property, not the people”, hold true. Regardless of the advertiser's intentions, the licensee should not identify people for whom the property would be perfect based on their status in a protected class.

Age is commonly assumed to be a protected class; however, it is not a protected class for housing. It is an exemption to the familial status class and is only applicable when the housing meets certain restriction guidelines for either 55 or 65 year old or older persons. Advertising for “mature”, “retired”, or “settled” persons is a violation unless an exemption applies.

The licensee should be careful about allowing the client to express a fair housing violation in an indirect manner by advertising that certain properties have “children only” sections, or that children will require an additional deposit or fee. No property advertisement should include any reference to a bias against children or a preference for childless persons.

Sex: A fair housing violation occurs when a licensee advertises a preference for a preferred gender. The term “sex” in the fair housing laws does not refer to sexual orientation; it is a reference to gender. Sexual orientation is not a protected class for residential housing federally or in Nevada. An exception is made when advertising for a “shared living” arrangement. A person may advertise for a same sex roommate and certain religious/non-profit institutions may advertise accommodations for a specific gender, i.e., YMCA.

2. TRUTH-IN-LENDING/REGULATION Z

Of the myriad of federal laws that impact real estate advertising, the Truth-in-Lending laws are probably the least well known. This law addresses how lenders advertise their loan information and includes what information is required to be disclosed to the public so that a consumer can intelligently compare lenders and loan programs.

Regulation Z is the federal regulation that sets forth the specifics of the Truth-in-Lending Act. Its rules are applicable to all media advertising that refers to mortgage financing terms and is generally applicable in all residential credit transactions. It applies to any advertisement for any loan that is regulated by the Truth-in-Lending laws. Thus, Regulation Z impacts any real estate mortgage advertisement.
advertising that includes certain credit or financing “trigger” terms. The trigger terms include any reference to an amount of down payment, monthly payment, the number of payments, a specific payment period (ex: 30 years, etc.), an interest rate, an annual percentage rate (APR), or a finance charge amount.  

Once Regulation Z is triggered by the use of any one of the “trigger” terms, all of the following information must be contained in the advertisement: the cash price, the amount or percentage of any down payment, the term of the repayment (number of payments, amount of each payment, and the due date of each payment), the annual percentage rate and whether the APR may change.

Certain statements do not trigger Regulation Z, for example, it is permissible to make such statements as “no down payment”, “easy monthly payments”, “pay weekly”, “terms to fit your budget”, “financing available”, or “liberal financing terms available”.

The Truth-in-Lending Act, and by extension Regulation Z, is enforced by the Division of Credit Practices, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission. If an advertisement violates the Truth-in-Lending laws, a court may require an injunction, i.e., stop the problem advertising; impose a fine of not more than $5,000 per violation up to $10,000 per day for each violation; and if the advertiser is found guilty of criminal behavior, prison, for not more than 1 year. Additionally, any individuals harmed may receive their actual damages, with civil penalties of not less than $100 or more than $1,000, plus court costs and attorney fees (the government’s and any harmed individual).

3. “FOR SALE” SIGNS

As the real estate market slows down, competition for listings becomes more aggressive. Some assertive licensees intent on capturing any business available have placed their signs on properties not listed by their brokerage firm. The Nevada Administrative Code provides only one licensee may place a “for sale” sign on a property unless otherwise authorized by owner.

A licensee may not advertise or place any sign on a property when that property is exclusively listed for sale by another broker unless the licensee obtains the prior written consent of the broker with whom the property is listed. Additionally, the listing broker cannot give or withhold such consent without the knowledge of the property’s owner.

4. LAND, SUBDIVISIONS, OWNER/DEVELOPERS

There are special laws and regulations regarding the advertising of vacant or unimproved land or subdivisions. Because of the tainted history of licensees and developers selling unimproved parcels of land using fraudulent advertising, these laws have been strictly enforced.

The Real Estate Division (RED) has the authority to investigate any circumstances surrounding its suspicion that fraud, deceit or false advertising is occurring in connection with the sale, purchase, rental, lease or exchange of any vacant or unimproved land or subdivision outside the corporate limits of any city. Not only may the RED investigate, but Nevada’s Attorney General may take all appropriate legal action under any applicable Nevada law.
Any advertising in Nevada of a subdivision, whether that subdivision is in Nevada or another state, must be pre-approved by the Nevada Real Estate Division. Advertising under the subdivision regulations additionally includes any advertisement for the retention of purchasers after the sale. In advertising subdivisions, all advertising rules apply when using traditional advertising media as well as when the licensee uses any telephone solicitation, promotional meetings, or the offering of vacation or other gift certificates.

Whenever a licensee is hired by a developer to sell subdivisions, the licensee must ensure that the advertising was submitted to and approved by the Real Estate Division. Nothing in the subdivision laws of Nevada excuses a person licensed under NRS 645 from the obligations imposed in NRS and NAC 645.

There are very specific laws and regulations regarding sales presentations. For example, all oral and written advertising designed to induce any attendance at or participation in a sales presentation must conspicuously include the following statement: “The purpose of (the event or activity) is to attempt to sell you property in (name of state in which property is located).”

75. NRS 119.184
76. NAC 119.015
77. NAC 119.035
78. NAC 119.040
79. NAC 119.050.
80. NAC 119.400
81. NAC 119.330 (2)
82. NAC 119.455, see also NAC 119.500 to 119.520.
F. REVIEW

If real property is the heart of real estate, advertising is its life blood. Because of the potential influence advertising has on the public and industry, various laws have been promulgated to address issues of public concern. These include the requirement that advertising presents a true picture of the thing being offered; the promotion of fair trade; control unwanted intrusions into a consumer’s privacy; and fostering equal access to housing and real estate services.
At no time does an "as is" clause allow the seller or licensee to conceal or not disclose known defects.