

1                   **BEFORE THE COMMISSION OF APPRAISERS OF REAL ESTATE**

2                                   **STATE OF NEVADA**

3 SHARATH CHANDRA , Administrator,  
4 REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT  
5 OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY,  
6 STATE OF NEVADA,

7                                   Petitioner,

8 vs.

9 MICHAEL L. BRUNSON  
10 (License No. A.0207222-CG),

11                                   Respondent.

Case No. 2016-4146 & AP 17.020.S

**ORDER DENYING RESPONDENT  
MICHAEL L. BRUNSON'S PETITION  
FOR REHEARING PURSUANT TO  
NAC 645C.505**

**FILED**

**JUN 05 2019**

**NEVADA COMMISSION OF APPRAISERS**

12           Respondent Michael L. Brunson's Petition for Rehearing Pursuant to NAC 645C.505  
13 came before the Nevada Commission of Appraisers of Real Estate, State of Nevada  
14 ("Commission") on Tuesday, May 21, 2019, at the Nevada State Business Center, 3300 W.  
15 Sahara Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102. Respondent Michael L. Brunson  
16 ("Respondent") appeared through counsel, Janeen Isaacson, Esq. Peter K. Keegan, Deputy  
17 Attorney General, appeared on behalf of Petitioner Sharath Chandra, Administrator of the  
18 Real Estate Division, Department of Business & Industry, State of Nevada ("Division").  
19 This matter was consolidated with Case No. 2016-4145 due to the overlapping facts and  
20 both matters were heard jointly by the Commission.

21 **I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

22           On February 8, 2019, the Commission entered its Findings of Fact and Conclusions  
23 of Law in the underlying case. On February 11, 2019, a copy of the Commission's Findings  
24 of Fact and Conclusions of Law was mailed to Respondent via certified mail. On February  
25 27, 2019, Respondent filed his Petition for Rehearing Pursuant to NAC 645C.505. On  
26 February 27, 2019, the Commission entered its First Amended Findings of Fact and  
27 Conclusions of Law and a copy was mailed to Respondent on February 28, 2019.

1 On March 8, 2019, the Administrator of the Division signed an order recognizing the  
2 parties' stipulation to stay the enforcement of the Commission's First Amended Findings  
3 of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

4 On April 18, 2019, the Division filed its Opposition to Respondent's Petition for  
5 Rehearing Pursuant to NAC 645C.505.

6 On or about May 20, 2019, Respondent filed his Request for Permission to File  
7 Additional Briefing for Petition for Rehearing Pursuant to NAC 645C.505 and to Continue  
8 Hearing Based on NAC 645C.505.

9 **II. FINDINGS OF FACT**

10 The matter having been submitted for decision based upon the filings submitted to  
11 the Commission in accordance with NAC 645C.505, the Commission now, based upon the  
12 evidence presented during the underlying hearing, finds that there is substantial evidence  
13 in the record to establish each of the following:

14 1. The Respondent chose not to retain counsel and instead represented himself  
15 during the underlying disciplinary hearing.

16 2. The Respondent was provided sufficient time to present his case during the  
17 course of the underlying disciplinary hearing.

18 3. The Commission continued the underlying hearing to allow for Respondent to  
19 fully present his case.

20 4. The Respondent's Petition for Rehearing did not contain any of the grounds  
21 set forth in NAC 645C.505(7) to justify the Commission granting a rehearing.

22 **III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

23 The Commission, based upon the record of the underlying hearing and the filings  
24 submitted by the parties herein, makes the following legal conclusions:

25 1. Respondent's Petition for Rehearing has failed to identify any basis, as set  
26 forth in NAC 645C.505(7), to justify a rehearing in this matter.

27 ///

28 ///

