1 2 3 8 4 II 5 6 7

BEFORE THE COMMISSION OF APPRAISERS OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF NEVADA

SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator, REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA,

Petitioner,

VS.

DON E. BEEBE, JR. (License No. A.0004097-CR),

Respondent.

Case No. 2020-638, AP21A.007.S



MAY 2 2 2023

NEVADA COMMISSION OF APPRAISERS

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

8

9

10

COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF HEARING

State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry, Real Estate Division ("the Division"), by and through counsel, Attorney General AARON D. FORD and Deputy Attorney General Christal Park Keegan, hereby notifies DON E. BEEBE, JR. ("Respondent") of an administrative complaint and hearing which is to be held pursuant to Chapter 233B and Chapter 645C of the Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") and Chapter 645C of the Nevada Administrative Code ("NAC"). The purpose of the hearing is to consider the allegations stated below and to determine if the Respondent should be subject to a disciplinary penalty as set forth in NRS 645C and or NAC 645C, if the stated allegations are proven at the hearing by the evidence presented.

JURISDICTION

The Respondent is a Certified Residential Appraiser licensed by the Division, and therefore, is subject to the Jurisdiction of the Division and the provisions of NRS and NAC Chapter 645C. By availing himself of the benefits and protections of the laws of the State of Nevada, the Respondent has submitted to the jurisdiction of the Division.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

1. The Division received a complaint alleging the Respondent behaved in an

unprofessional manner and violated a code of ethics by betraying the public's fiduciary trust. 0001 - 0003.

2. This case was heard at the Appraisal Advisory Review Committee ("AARC") which remanded to the Commission of Appraisers of Real Estate ("Commission"). 0114.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- The Respondent provided an Appraisal Report for 5720 Whispering Waters Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89131 ("Property"). 0007-0013.
- 2. The Respondent's Appraisal Report represented a signature date of June 24, 2020. 0013.
- 3. But the Respondent's Appraisal Report provided two dates after the signature date of the report, 06/26/2020 and 06/29/2020, in the Supplemental Addendum. 0024.
- 4. The Respondent's Appraisal Report and workfile do not contain support for the adjustments made in the sales grid. 0009.
- 5. The Respondent's Appraisal Report contained differing statements on whether an inspection was exterior only or exterior and interior. 0008, 0011 0012, and 0014.

VIOLATIONS OF LAW

The Respondent failed to prepare the appraisal report for the Property in Compliance with the Standards of the Appraisal Foundation and the law. The Standards are published in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice ("USPAP") adopted by the Appraisal Standards Board of the Appraisal Foundation, as authorized by Congress, and adopted in Nevada by NAC 645C.400(1).

First Violation

The USPAP RECORD KEEPING RULE requires an appraiser must prepare a workfile for each appraisal or appraisal review assignment. A workfile must be in existence prior to the issuance of any report or other communication of assignment results. A written summary of an oral report must be added to the workfile within a

28 || / / /

reasonable time after the issuance of the oral report. The workfile must include all other data, information, and documentation necessary to support the appraiser's opinions and conclusions and to show compliance with USPAP, or references to the location(s) of such other data, information, and documentation.

The Respondent violated USPAP RECORD KEEPING RULE by failing to include all reports sent to the intended user in the workfile, as suggested by the subsequent dates indicated after the signature date of the Appraisal Report.

The Respondent also violated USPAP RECORD KEEPING RULE by failing to contain the data, information, or documentation used to arrive at the opinions or conclusions with the Appraisal Report.

The Respondent's actions constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to NRS 645C.470(2), as determined by NAC 645C.405(1) and (2) and grounds for disciplinary action pursuant to NRS 645C.460(1)(a) and/or (b).

Second Violation

USPAP Standards Rule 1-1(b) requires an appraiser to identify the problem to be solved, determine the scope of work necessary to solve the problem, and correctly complete research and analyses necessary to produce a credible appraisal. In developing a real property appraisal, an appraiser must (b) not commit a substantial error of omission or commission that significantly affects an appraisal.

Respondent violated Standards Rule 1-1(c) by failing to consistently report on inspections of the property, that state a drive-by inspection of the exterior occurred but in numerous other statements within the Appraisal Report provide interior inspections were performed.

The Respondent's actions constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to NRS 645C.470(2), as determined by NAC 645C.405(1) and (2) and grounds for disciplinary action pursuant to NRS 645C.460(1)(a) and/or (b).

Third Violation

USPAP Standards Rule 1-4(a) requires an appraiser must collect, verify, and analyze all information necessary for credible assignment results: (a) When a sales comparison approach is necessary for credible assignment results, an appraiser must analyze such comparable sales data as are available to indicate a value conclusion.

The Respondent violated Standards Rule 1-4(a) by failing to contain the necessary data, information, or documentation to support the adjustments made in the sales grid within the Appraisal Report or workfile.

The Respondent's actions constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to NRS 645C.470(2), as determined by NAC 645C.405(1) and grounds for disciplinary action pursuant to NRS 645C.460(1)(a) and/or (b).

Fourth Violation

USPAP Standards Rule 2-1(a) requires each written or oral real property appraisal report must: (a) clearly and accurately set forth the appraisal in a manner that will not be misleading.

In violation of Standards Rule 2-1(a), Respondent's Appraisal Report made differing statements regarding the inspection of the subject property on whether it was exterior only or exterior and interior.

The Respondent's actions constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to NRS 645C.470(2), as determined by NAC 645C.405(1) and (2) and grounds for disciplinary action pursuant to NRS 645C.460(1)(a) and/or (b).

DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED

- 1. Pursuant to NRS 645C.460(2), if grounds for disciplinary action against an appraiser are found to exist for unprofessional conduct, the Commission may revoke or suspend the certificate, place conditions upon the certificate, deny the renewal of his or her certificate, and/or impose a fine up to \$10,000.00 per violation.
- 2. Additionally, under NRS 622.400, the Commission is authorized to impose the costs of the proceeding upon the Respondent, including investigative costs and

attorney's fees, if the Commission otherwise imposes discipline on the Respondent.

3. Therefore, the Division requests the Commission to impose such discipline as it determines is appropriate under the circumstances and to award the Division its costs and attorney's fees for this proceeding.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a disciplinary hearing has been set to consider this Administrative Complaint against the above-named Respondent in accordance with Chapter 233B and Chapter 645C of the Nevada Revised Statutes and Chapter 645C of the Nevada Administrative Code.

THE HEARING WILL TAKE PLACE at the Commission meeting scheduled for July 18-20, 2023, beginning at approximately 9:00 a.m. each day, or until such time as the Commission concludes its business. The Commission meeting will be held at the Nevada State Business Center, 3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Nevada Room, 4th Floor, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102, with video conference to: Department of Business & Industry 1818 E. College Parkway Suite 103, Carson City, Nevada 89706.

STACKED CALENDAR: Your hearing is one of several hearings that may be scheduled at the same time as part of a regular meeting of the Commission that is expected to take place on July 18-20, 2023. Thus, your hearing may be continued until later in the day or from day to day. It is your responsibility to be present when your case is called. If you are not present when your case is called, a default may be entered against you, and the Commission may decide the case as if all allegations in the complaint were true. If you need to negotiate a more specific time for your hearing in advance, because of coordination with out-of-state witnesses or the like, please call Maria Gallo, Commission Coordinator, at (702) 486-4074.

YOUR RIGHTS AT THE HEARING: Except as mentioned below, the hearing is an open meeting under Nevada's open meeting Law (OML) and may be attended by the public. After the evidence and arguments, the Commission may conduct a closed meeting

to discuss your alleged misconduct or professional competence. You are entitled to a copy of the transcript of the open and closed portions of the meeting, although you must pay for the transcription.

As the Respondent, you are specifically informed that you have the right to appear and be heard in your defense, either personally or through your counsel of choice. At the hearing, the Division has the burden of proving the allegations in the complaint and will call witnesses and present evidence against you. You have the right to respond and to present relevant evidence and argument on all issues involved. You have the right to call and examine witnesses, introduce exhibits, and cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter relevant to the issues involved.

You have the right to request that the Commission issue subpoenas to compel witnesses to testify and/or evidence to be offered on your behalf. In making this request, you may be required to demonstrate the relevance of the witnesses' testimony and/or evidence. Other important rights you have are listed in NRS Chapter 645C, NRS Chapter 233B, and NAC Chapter 645C.

DATED the 18 day of May, 2023.

DATED the 18th day of May, 2023.

NEVADA REAL ESTATE DIVESION

By:

27

28

SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator CHARVEZ FOGER, Deputy Administrator 3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 350

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 486-4033 AARON D. FORD Attorney General

By: epkeegar

CHRISTAL PARK KEEGAN, ESQ.

Deputy Attorney General Bar No. 12725

Bar No. 12725 5420 Kietzke La

5420 Kietzke Lane #202 Reno, Nevada 89511

Telephone: (775) 687-2141 Email: <u>ckeegan@ag.nv.gov</u>

Attorney for Real Estate Division