		The second states in the second	
1	ANS	FILED	
2	CAROLYN M. BROUSSARD, ESQ. State Bar No. 004545		
3	LAW OFFICES OF S. DENISE MCCURRY Mail to:	NOV 1 2 2021 NEVADA COMMISSION FOR	
4	P.O. Box 258829 Oklahoma City, OK 73125-8829	COMMON INTEREST COMMUNITIES AND CONDOMINIUM MOTELS	
5	Physical Address: 7455 Arroyo Crossing Parkway, Suite 200	Kelly Valader	
6	Las Vegas, NV 89113 Phone: (702) 408-3800		
7	carolyn.1.broussard@farmersinsurance.com		
8	Attorney for Respondents		
9	BEFORE THE COMMISSION FOR COM	MMON-INTEREST CCOMMUNITIES AND	
9	CONDOMINIUM HOT	ELS, STATE OF NEVADA	
·]			
11	SHARATH CHANDRA, ADMINISTRATOR,		
12 13	REAL ESTATE DIVISIÓN, DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA,	Case No.: 2020-186	
14	Petitioner,		
15	vs.		
16	WEXFORD VILLAGE HOMEOWNERS		
17	ASSOCIATION; JOSE A. MALAVE II, ERIKA ZUMAYA, AND CHARLES THARP,		
18	Respondents.		
19			
20	RESPONDENTS' ANSWER TO COM	IPLAINT FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION	
21	COMES NOW, Respondents, Wexford Village Homeowners Association ("HOA"), Jose A.		
22	Malave II, Erika Zumaya, and Charles Tharp, by	and through their attorney of record, CAROLYN M.	
23	BROUSSARD, ESQ., of the LAW OFFICES	OF S. DENISE MCCURY, and answer Petitioners'	
24	Complaint, as follows:		
25	JUDICIA	AL NOTICE	
26	I. Answering Paragraphs I, and 2, of P	etitioner's Complaint (Judicial Notice), Answering	
27	Respondents object to the allegations contained the	erein on the grounds that they are vague and	
28			
	KESPUNDENTS' ANSWER TO COM	PLAINT FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION - 1	
	1		

ambiguous. Answering Respondents further object, as the allegations therein call for legal conclusions. Without waiving said objections, Answering Respondents state they are without sufficient knowledge or information necessary to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein, and therefore, deny the same.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

2. Answering Paragraphs 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, of Petitioner's Complaint, (Factual Allegations) Answering Respondents admit the allegations contained therein.

3. Answering Paragraphs 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 15a, 15b, 15c, 15d, 15e, 15f, 15g, 15h, 15i, 15j, 15k, 15l, 15m, 15n, 15o, 15p, and 15q, of Petitioner's Complaint, (Factual Allegations) Answering Respondents object to the allegations contained therein on the grounds that they are vague and ambiguous. Answering Respondents further object, as the allegations therein call for legal conclusions. Without waiving said objections, Answering Respondents state they are without sufficient knowledge or information necessary to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein, and therefore, deny the same.

VIOLATIONS OF LAW

4. Answering Paragraphs 16, 17, 18, 19, and 20, of Petitioner's Complaint, (Violations of Law) Answering Respondents object to the allegations contained therein on the grounds that they are vague and ambiguous. Answering Respondents further object, as the allegations therein call for legal conclusions. Without waiving said objections, Answering Respondents state they are without sufficient knowledge or information necessary to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained therein, and therefore, deny the same.

DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED

4. Answering Paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, of Petitioner's Complaint, (Discipline

Authorized) Answering Respondents object to the allegations contained therein on the grounds that they RESPONDENTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION - 2

1			
	are vague and ambiguous. Answering Respondents further object, as the allegations therein call for		
2	legal conclusions. Without waiving said objections, Answering Respondents state they are without		
3	sufficient knowledge or information necessary to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations		
4	contained therein, and therefore, deny the same.		
5	5. As to those matters, if any, not herein answered, Answering Respondents expressly deny		
6			
7	any and all allegations relating thereto.		
8	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES		
9	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
10	Respondents, at all times reference in this action or relative hereto, did not possess the requisite		
11	intent pursuant to the NRS and/or NAC 116, such that would prove violations of same.		
12	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
13	Respondents, at all times referenced in this action, acted in the best interests of the Association.		
14	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
15	Respondents, at all times referenced in this action, had the honest and sincere beliefs they were		
16	acting in the best interests of the Association.		
17	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
18	Respondents allege that no injuries have been sustained by the Petitioner or the Association		
19	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
20	Respondents are not liable to Petitioner pursuant to the counts alleged.		
21	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
22	Pursuant to Rule 11 of NRCP as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been		
23	alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts are not available after reasonable inquiry from the filing of		
23	Petitioner's Complaint, and therefore. Respondents reserves the right to amend his Answer to allege		
25	additional affirmative defenses, delete or change the same as subsequent investigation warrants.		
26	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
20	Respondents incorporates by reference each and every affirmative defense set forth in NRCP 8(c)		
28	as if fully set forth herein		
20	RESPONDENTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION - 3		

i		
2	WHEREFORE, Answering Respondents pray for relief as follows:	
3	1. That Petitioner take nothing by way of their Complaint on file herein;	
4	2. For an Order proclaiming that the Respondents acted in good faith and therefore not	
5	violative of the charges alleged herein;	
6	3. That no penalties and/or fines be imposed upon Respondents;	
7	4. That Answering Respondents be dismissed with costs incurred and reasonable	
8	attorney fees; and,	
9	5. For such other and further relief as the Tribunal deems just and proper in the	
10	premises.	
11	DATED: November 11, 2021 LAW OFFICES OF S. DENISE MCCURRY	
12		
13	BY:	
14	CAROLYN M. BROUSSARD, ESQ.	
15	Attorney for Respondents	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	RESPONDENTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION - 4	

1			
2	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>		
3	Pursuant to Rule 5(b) of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, I certify that I am an employee of		
4	and that on the12 day of November, 2021, I served a true and correct copy of the above and		
5	foregoing RESPONDENTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION on the		
6	parties addressed as shown below:		
7			
8	_x Via U.S. Mail by placing said document in a sealed envelope, with postage prepaid [N.R.C.P. 5(b)]		
9	Via Electronic Filing [N.E.F.R. 9(b)]		
0	Via Electronic Service [N.E.F.R. 9]		
I	Via Facsimile [E.D.C.R. 7.26(a)]		
2			
3	Matthew Feely Deputy Attorney General		
4	555 E. Washington avenue, #3900		
5	Las Vegas, NV 89101 (7-2_486-3420		
6	Attorneys for the NRED		
7	Sharath Shandra, Administrator NRED		
8	3300 W. Sahara Avenue #350		
19	Las Vegas, NV 89102 (702) 486-4033		
0			
21			
2	-ek-		
3	78		
24	SHERRI LECKY, An Employee of		
25	The Law Offices Of S. Denise McCurry		
26			
27			
28			
	RESPONDENTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION - 5		