1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BEFORE THE COMMISSION FOR COMMON-INTEREST COMMUNITIES AND CONDOMINIUM HOTELS STATE OF NEVADA

Sharath Chandra, Administrator, Real Estate Division, Department of Business & Industry, State of Nevada,

Petitioners.

vs.

Dayton Valley Community Association, Dennis Drury, Carla Cole, Sandy Mass, Joan Latimer, Steve Gallisdorfer, James Kepler, Michelle Carr,

Respondents.

Case No. 2021-761 and 2021-696

FILED

DEC 09 2022

NEVADA COMMISSION FOR COMMON INTEREST COMMUNITIES AND CONDOMINIUM HOTELS



ORDER DISMISSING CASE

This matter came on for hearing before the Commission for Common-Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels, State of Nevada (the "Commission"), during a regular agenda on December 6, 2022, and December 7, 2022. Respondents Dayton Valley Community Association, Dennis Drury, Carla Cole, Sandy Mass, Joan Latimer, Steve Gallisdorfer, James Kepler and Michelle Carr appeared via their attorney Gayle A. Kern, Esq., and Virginia T. Tomova, Esq., Deputy Attorney General with the Nevada Attorney General's Office, appeared on behalf of the Real Estate Division of the Department of Business and Industry, State of Nevada (the "Division"). The Division filed a Complaint before the Commission on November 3, 2022. RESPONDENTS filed a motion to dismiss the Complaint on November 17, 2022. Subsequently, on November 29, 2022, RESPONDENTS filed an answer to the Complaint.

The Commission, having considered the evidence introduced by the Division and being fully advised, enters the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order. Under Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 116, the Commission has legal jurisdiction and authority over this matter.

WHEREAS, the Commission denies the RESPONDENTS' motion to dismiss the Division's Complaint.

WHEREAS, the Commission, by majority vote finds that the Division did not meet its burden of proving the Factual Allegations detailed in the Complaint by a preponderance of the evidence; and

j	
1	WHEREAS, based on the foregoing, the Commission concluded by majority vote that
2	RESPONDENT did not commit the violations of law detailed in the Complaint.
3	THEREFORE, the Commission hereby orders that this case be DISMISSED against
4	RESPONDENTS.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	
7	Dated this 12 day of December 2022.
8	
9	COMMISSION FOR COMMON-INTEREST COMMUNITIES AND CONDOMINIUM HOTELS
10	DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY STATE OF NEVADA
11	\mathcal{M}
12	By: All Dy Dy Dy Dy Dy
13	MICHAEL BURKE CHAIRMAN
14	Submitted by:
15	AARON D. FORD Attorney General
16	
17	By: /s/ Virginia T. Tomova
18	VIRGINIA T. TOMOVA, ESQ. (12504) Deputy Attorney General 555 East Washington Avenue, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Real Estate Division
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
10	
	d Control of the Cont