

MAY 3 0 2024

NEVADA COMMISSION FOR COMMON INTEREST COMMUNITIES AND CONDOMINIUM HOTELS

Michael W. McKelleb, Esq., 12040
THE LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL W. MCKELLEB, ESQ. PLLC
1820 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 130
Las Vegas, NV 89119
Office: (702) 876-0067

Office: (702) 876-0067 Fax: (702) 703-2128

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

mmckelleb@mckelleblaw.com

Attorney for Bordeaux Homeowners Association, Inc.

BEFORE THE COMMISSION FOR COMMON-INTEREST COMMUNITIES AND CONDOMINIOUM HOTELS STATE OF NEVADA

Sharath Chandra, Administrator, Real Estate Division Department of Business and Industry State of Nevada,

Petitioner,

VS.

Bordeaux Homeowners Association, Inc., a Nevada non-profit corporation,

Respondent,

Case No.: 2023-771

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

The respondent, Bordeaux Homeowners Association, Inc., hereby submits this answer to the Real Estate Division of the Department and Industry, State of Nevada ("Division") Complaint for disciplinary action and notice of hearing:

JURISDICTION AND NOTICE

Respondent acknowledges that the Division and the Commission for Common-Interest Communities and Condominium Hotels has jurisdiction over both the association and the subject matter at issue herein.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Respondent generally admits the factual allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 19 of the complaint.

The Law Office of Michael W. McKelleb, Esq., PLLC 1820 E Warm Springs Drive Suite 130 Las Vegas, NV 89119

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VIOLATIONS OF LAW

The board acknowledges that it violated NRS 116.31144 by failing to have a CPA audit performed annually for the years 2021 and 2022.

The board acknowledges that it violated NRS 116.3115(2)(b) by admission for having a low reserve funding.

The board acknowledges that it violated NRS 116.31152(1)(a), (b), and/or (c) by having low reserve funding, and by making a transfer from the Reserve fund to the Operating account for operating expenses.

The board acknowledges that it violated NRS 116.31153 for failing on at least 73 occasions to have two approved signatures on its checks.

The board acknowledges that it violated NRS 116.31083(8), (9)(a), (b), (c), (d), and/or (e), and/or (11) for failing to include in its minutes the substance of all matters proposed, discussed or decided at meetings.

The board acknowledges that it violated NRS 116.3103 by failing its fiduciary duties with regard to the Association's missing records.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION/SETTLEMENT

The association admits that it has committed certain violations as enumerated above, most of which can be attributed to the number of management changes the association suffered between 2022 until last year. In this regard, the association has been managed by 4 management companies from 2022 to today. Importantly, the association did not terminate these contracts, but instead, the management companies all terminated their contracts, most of which can be directly attributed to harassment from unit owners. Fortunately, the association has undertaken several projects that are intended to negate all such abuse. Indeed, the current climate at the association has changed, with current management expressing no concerns. In other words, the association anticipates no further complications due to these changes in management.

In relation to violation of NRS 116.31144 for failing to have a CPA audit performed annually for the years 2021 and 2022, the association wishes the Commission to note that the

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

board was under the impression these audits were in process, but that accounting firms were declining to perform the Association's audits. Even as early as February of this year, it was finally disclosed that several had declined due to prior board member practices (which instituted threats of lawsuits to prompt the firm's immediate attention). Nevertheless, when the board learned that they were not in process, it took steps to get the audits done and that was in process when the association received notice of an investigation. Indeed, the current board was able to get Hilburn & Lein, a reputable HOA accounting firm that had formerly declined, to perform the audits. Unfortunately, even then the audits took longer than anticipated due to Hilburn and Lien suffering unanticipated employee turnover.

The foregoing explanation notwithstanding, the association's audits are complete, and they are attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**. Please note, the 2023 audit is currently being performed by Hilburn & Lien. It is unknown if it will be complete by the time of the hearing on this matter. If it is, the association shall bring copies of its 2023 audit.

In relation to violations of NRS 116.31152(1)(a), (b), and/or (c) for having a low reserve funding, the association wishes the Commission to know the board recognized its reserves were low and had taken action to address the issue prior to notice of an investigation, expressing this issue is of importance to the association. Nevertheless, it is also important for the Commission to know that the current situation was due, in part, to prior boards prioritizing low assessments over reserve funding. On top of that, the association has suffered an alarming amount of unexpected reserve maintenance, which issue was exasperated by the historically high increased costs of inflation the last several years. For example, the association's pool and spa project required the pool to be completely rebuilt, including the shower stalls and deck surface. Even then, when all the repairs were made, it was discovered the pool was leaking, which caused additional repairs. The project went over what was budgeted based on the reserve study by more than double.

To overcome the shortfall, including to pay back amounts owed to the reserve fund, the board undertook a funding plan by adopting a budget for 2023 and 2024 that increased assessments to allow the association to contribute \$4,000 each month to that account. Please

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

see Exhibit 2. Additionally, as part of that plan, last November the association imposed a reserve assessment of \$2,000 per unit. However, because many of the association's members are on a fixed income, the association allowed its members to pay the assessment over the course of 2024. Notably, the assessment and increases in funding are intended to not only bring the reserve account to an acceptable level by the end of 2025, but it shall also zero out the due-to amount owed from operating to reserves, discussed in greater detail below. The foregoing notwithstanding, the association represents that there are currently sufficient funds in the reserve account to conduct all required maintenance and repairs in a timely manner. In other words, there will be no deferred maintenance due to the reserves being underfunded.

In relation to violations of NRS 116.31152(1)(a), (b), and/or (c) for a transfer from reserves to operating that resulted in a "pay-to" amount owed to reserves, the association wishes to the Commission to know the association addressed zeroing out this amount through the imposition of the special assessment. Before leaving this issue, the association wishes for the Commission to know that when the transfer was made, the association's board questioned whether the transfer was appropriate. Indeed, it even sought the advice of legal counsel, which advised the board that the proposed solution was to transfer funds. As such, the board believed it was legal. Now that it is better informed, it would not make such a transfer in the future but instead would seek to adopt a new budget or pass a special assessment.

In relation to violation of NRS 116.31153 for failing to have two signatures on each check, the association wishes for the Commission to know that it agrees that 72 checks did not have both signatures, but that all 72 of those expenses were reviewed and approved by the board through its management company's (BBCM) website. Nevertheless, the association did not note that the appropriate signatures were being placed on the checks. However, when this was brought to the association's attention during a management change, the issue has not been repeated. In other words, the association has not re-violated this provision since BBCM terminated its contract with the association. Since then, all checks have had two signatures placed on them and this shall be the practice moving forward in perpetuity. Finally, in relation the state's concerns regarding the association's current compliance with automatic electronic

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

payments, the association wishes to inform the Commission it does not currently make any electronic transfers, but instead issues checks to pay all vendors.

In relation to violation of NRS 116.31083(8), (9)(a), (b), (c), (d), and/or (e), and/or (11) for failing to include in its minutes the substance of all matters proposed, discussed or decided at meetings and violations of NRS 116.3103 for failing to have all association records, the association wishes the Commission to know that in regards to NRS 116.31083, the violation arises not out of the minutes not having this information or not being done, but instead because the association's former management companies refuse to provide the approved minutes to the association. This issue is due to the numerous management changes, with each management company stating they provided records the association does not have. To resolve this, the association is currently using its legal counsel to send demands for the information. This has not rectified the problem to date. As such, it may be necessary for the association to request the Commission issue subpoenas, which it is authorized to do under NRS 116.660. Alternatively, the association may determine it best to use the recordings of the meetings in conjunction with the minutes that were provided in each respective board packet, to have the current board review and adopt appropriate minutes based on that review. If so, those shall be provided at the continued hearing.

In relation to the missing records, the association notes this is largely due to the number of changes in management, creating a gap in the association having control of all its records. This is and has been an issue with management companies for some time. In fact, the problem was significant enough to cause the 2023 legislature to adopt AB309, which amended NAC 116A.620 to allow the Commission to adopt new regulations to require managers to ensure that records are better transferred when a management agreement expires or is terminated. To date, a moratorium has prevented adoption of these regulations, however, the need for this change in law should not be ignored in relation to the association's failure to have its records, because this change in law expresses the association is not alone in this problem. The foregoing notwithstanding, the association shall obtain its records to completely rectify the gap in its record-keeping. Notably, the association may need the Commission's assistance. To

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

date, the association has been forced to play proverbial pinball with former management companies, all of whom claim another company has the records. Accordingly, if the association is unable to obtain all records by the next Commission hearing date, the Association requests the Commission to continue the hearing on this matter to the next scheduled time, to allow the association to return and request the Commission issue subpoenas to assist the association with obtaining its missing records.

CONCLUSION

Respondent understands that this matter is placed on a stacked calendar to be heard on June 11-13, 2024, and shall attend said hearing. Based upon the foregoing admissions, representations, and noted remedial actions, including the fact that most of the issues were being addressed when an investigation was opened, the association requests that the Commission not impose monetary sanctions on the association, but instead leave the matter open until such time as the association has resolved all outstanding issues, such as obtaining its records.

Dated this 30th day of May, 2024.

THE LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL W. MCKELLEB, PLLC

Michael W. McKelleb, Esq.

1820 E Warm Springs Road, Suite 130

Las Vegas, NV 89119 Office: (702) 876-0067 Direct: (702) 879-4279 Fax: (702) 703-2128

mmckelleb@mckelleblaw.com

The Law Office of Michael W. McKelleb, Esq., PLLC 1820 E Warm Springs Drive Suite 130 Las Vegas, NV 89119

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 30th day of May 2024, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Answer was served handed to the Office of the Ombudsman.

Morgan Mazur
An employee of the Law Firm of Michael W. McKelleb Esq., PLLC.