

1 **BEFORE THE COMMISSION FOR COMMON-INTEREST**
2 **COMMUNITIES AND CONDOMINIUM HOTELS**

3 **STATE OF NEVADA**

4 SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator,
5 REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT
6 OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY, STATE OF
7 NEVADA,

8 Petitioner,

9 vs.

10 WINTERWOOD VILLAGE UNIT NO. 1
11 HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION (Entity No.
12 C485-1972); and LESLIE ORTEGA;

13 Respondents.

Case No. 2025-86

FILED

JUL 02 2026

NEVADA COMMISSION FOR
COMMON INTEREST COMMUNITIES
AND CONDOMINIUM HOTELS

mgallo

14 **FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER**

15 This matter came on for hearing before the Commission for Common-Interest
16 Communities and Condominium Hotels, State of Nevada (the "Commission") on
17 Thursday, June 11, 2026 (the "Hearing"). Respondent Association Winterwood Village
18 Unit No. 1 Homeowner's Association ("RESPONDENT ASSOCIATION") and Respondent
19 board member/president Leslie Ortega, individually, ("RESPONDENT ORTEGA")
20 (collectively, the "RESPONDENTS") appeared in-person and were represented by and
21 through their attorney, Troy Dickerson, Esq. of the law firm Hall & Evans. Phil W. Su,
22 Esq., Senior Deputy Attorney General, appeared on behalf of the Real Estate Division of
23 the Department of Business and Industry, State of Nevada (the "Division").

24 The parties presented their cases-in-chief, with the Division calling one witness,
25 Investigator Daniel Messer, and RESPONDENTS calling one witness, RESPONDENT
26 ORTEGA. The Division's bates stamped documents NRED0001-0499, as well as its 2019
27 letter of instruction and denial of reconsideration, collectively identified as Exhibit G, were
28 admitted into evidence. RESPONDENTS' filed documentary evidence, consisting of
Exhibit B (time sheets, mileage forms, and reimbursement receipts, collectively bates

1 stamped Winterwood Village 0001-0223), character references (Exhibits C-E), and a letter
2 from RESPONDENT ORTEGA'S therapist (Exhibit F), were also admitted into evidence.

3 FINDINGS OF FACT

4 Based on a preponderance of the evidence in the record and the testimony and
5 documents admitted at the Hearing, the Commission hereby unanimously finds, 4-0, that
6 the following factual allegations were proven:

7 1. RESPONDENT ASSOCIATION, Entity Number C485-1972, consists of 213
8 units, in a common interest community located in Las Vegas, Nevada.

9 2. RESPONDENT ORTEGA, at all times relevant to this Complaint and, since
10 at least January 2022, has served as Board President of RESPONDENT ASSOCIATION.

11 3. On February 10, 2025, the Division initiated an investigation of
12 RESPONDENT ASSOCIATION based upon concerns, arising out of review of
13 RESPONDENT ASSOCIATION'S submitted documents to the Division, that reflected 16%
14 reserve funding.

15 4. On February 10, 2025, Investigator Pitch issued a Request for Information
16 letter to the executive board, requesting a response to allegations that the reserves were
17 not properly funded, and documents related to that allegation.

18 5. On February 24, 2025, the executive board for RESPONDENT
19 ASSOCIATION provided its initial response to the RFI, and requested additional time to
20 provide supporting documents.

21 6. On April 25, 2025, RESPONDENT ASSOCIATION provided additional
22 documents in response to the RFI, including a copy of their 2023 audit report.

23 7. On July 14, 2025, Investigator Pitch sent a follow-up Request for Information
24 letter to the RESPONDENT ASSOCIATION'S Board, requesting monthly financial
25 statements and copies of invoices for all checks paid.

26 8. The Board did not provide the requested documents within the timeframe set
27 forth in the follow-up RFI.

28 9. On August 11, 2025, Investigator Pitch sent a third Request for Information

1 letter to the RESPONDENT ASSOCIATION'S Board, requesting a response to the original
2 allegation that the reserves were only 16% funded; as well as to three additional
3 allegations:

- 4 a. that the association did not comply with NRS 116.31153(1)'s requirement for
5 dual-signed check withdrawals from the Association's reserve account'
- 6 b. that the association did not comply with NRS 116.31153(2)'s requirement for
7 dual-signed check withdrawals from the Association's operating account; and
- 8 c. that the Association and its president, RESPONDENT ORTEGA, violated
9 NRS 116.31187(1) by accepting a commission, personal profit, or
10 compensation for providing financing, goods or services to the association.

11 10. On August 26, 2025, RESPONDENTS' counsel, Troy Isaacson, provided a
12 response to the third RFI, noting that the 16% reserve funding was based on incorrect
13 documents initially filed with the Division, and that corrected documents indicated reserve
14 funding levels at 41%; that only three (3) checks from the reserve account and two (2)
15 checks from the operating account were not dual-signed and that steps were being taken
16 to ensure future compliance; and that compensation was indeed paid to RESPONDENT
17 ORTEGA for her work, which comprised of office clerk duties, which was due to
18 understaffing at the association's office.

19 11. On September 24, 2025, Investigator Pitch sent a fourth Request for
20 Information letter, requesting documents including, but not limited to, timesheets for
21 Leslie Ortega, and invoices and receipts for board member reimbursements.

22 12. The Board provided bank records for 2022, 2023, 2024, and 2025 (through
23 September 30, 2025) but did not provide timesheets for RESPONDENT ORTEGA'S work
24 or invoices and receipts for board member reimbursements.

25 13. The bank records contained copies of checks, payable to RESPONDENT
26 ORTEGA, that were dual signed by ORTEGA, herself, in each of calendar years 2022
27 through 2025.

28 14. The aforementioned checks payable to RESPONDENT ORTEGA totaled

1 approximately \$4,140.00 in 2022; \$12,065 in 2023; \$10,950 in 2024; and \$10,250 in 2025,
2 all from checks that she counter-signed in her capacity as RESPONDENT ASSOCIATION's
3 board president.

4 15. On October 22, 2025, the Division sent, via Certified Mail, an NRS 233B letter
5 notifying the RESPONDENTS that it would pursue disciplinary action in a hearing before
6 the Commission for potential violation of NRS 116.31187(1), for compensating
7 RESPONDENT ORTEGA for providing financing, goods, and services to the association.

8 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

9 Based on the foregoing factual findings and the preponderance of the evidence, the
10 Commission unanimously found, 4-0, that all the following violations of law occurred:

11 1. RESPONDENT ASSOCIATION, through its executive board, violated NRS
12 116.3103 pursuant to NRS 116.31187(1) by allowing RESPONDENT ORTEGA to be
13 compensated by Association funds for providing financing, goods, and services to the
14 association.

15 2. RESPONDENT ORTEGA willfully and knowingly violated NRS 116.3103
16 pursuant to NRS 116.31187(1) by accepting compensation payments made through
17 Association funds for providing financing, goods, and services to the association.

18 **ORDER**

19 The Commission, being fully apprised in the premises and good cause appearing,
20 ORDERS as follows:

21 1. RESPONDENTS shall pay a total amount of Seven Thousand Four Hundred
22 Thirty Eight Dollars and 70/100 cents (\$7,438.70, "amount due"), consisting of \$2,000.00
23 in administrative fines and the Division's costs and fees in amount of \$5,438.70.
24 RESPONDENT ASSOCIATION and RESPONDENT ORTEGA, individually, shall each
25 be responsible for fifty percent (50%) of the amount due, which shall be payable in equal
26 monthly payments of \$619.90 over six (6) months, starting from thirty (30) days after the
27 effective date of this Order. Payments may be paid in advance without penalty.
28

1 2. If any payment is not actually received by the Division on or before its due
2 date, it shall be construed as a default by the respective defaulting RESPONDENT. In the
3 event of default, the unpaid balance of the administrative fine and costs, together with
4 any attorney's fees and costs that may have been assessed, shall be due in full to the
5 Division within ten (10) calendar days of the date of default, and the Division may obtain
6 a judgment for the amount owed, including collection fees and costs.

7 3. RESPONDENT ORTEGA shall be removed from all board positions effective
8 immediately, and is barred from seeking election for, or appointment to, any NRS 116
9 executive board for a period of ten (10) years from the effective date of this Order, and that
10 if, after the completion of that period, she wishes to seek an executive board member
11 position, she will first seek leave from this Commission at its next regularly scheduled
12 meeting.

13 4. RESPONDENT ASSOCIATION shall, within ninety (90) days of the effective
14 date of this Order, obtain a minimum of three (3) bids from Nevada-certified community
15 association managers and, thereafter, enter into an agreement to retain one of those
16 community association managers to service RESPONDENT ASSOCIATION.

17 5. All current board members of RESPONDENT ASSOCIATION, and any
18 appointed or elected within six (6) months after the effective date of this order, are
19 required to complete at least six (6) hours of NRED board member unit training and/or
20 Community Association Manager Continuing Education, with at least one course (1.5
21 hours) of instruction on fiduciary duties. Proof of completion will be provided to the
22 Commission and Division at least ten (10) business days prior to the Commission's
23 December 8-10, 2026, hearing.

24 6. RESPONDENT ASSOCIATION, represented by at least one (1) current
25 board member, shall appear for a status check at the Commission's December 8-10, 2026,
26 hearing, and shall file documentary proof of compliance with the terms of this Order with
27 the Division at least ten (10) business days prior to the hearing.
28

