JUN 16 2017

BY KIND SHOW

Matthew L. Johnson (6004)
Russell G. Gubler (10889)
Ashveen S. Dhillon (14189)
JOHNSON & GUBLER, P.C.
Lakes Business Park
8831 West Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
Phone: (702) 471-0065
Fax: (702) 471-0075
mjohnson@mjohnsonlaw.com
rgubler@mjohnsonlaw.com
Attorneys for Defendant

BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION

STATE OF NEVADA

SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator,
REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT
OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY, STATE OF
NEVADA,
Petitioner,

Case No. 2015-2027

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

DANE C. BROOKS,

V\$.

Respondent.

Respondent, Dane C. Brooks ("Respondent" or "Brooks"), by and through his attorneys of the law firm of JOHNSON & GUBLER, P.C., hereby answers the Complaint, filed October 27, 2016, in the above-referenced matter ("Complaint"), as follows:

JURISDICTION

Brooks admits that at all relevant times mentioned in the Complaint, that he was licensed by the Division as a broker under license number B.0062410.LLC, and as a property manager under permit number PM.0163550.BKR, and is therefore subject to the jurisdiction of the Division and the Commission and the provisions of NRS chapter 645 and NAC chapter 645.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Answering paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, and 8 of the Complaint, Brooks admits each and every allegations contained in said paragraphs.
- 2. Answering paragraph 5 of the Complaint, Brooks admits that Cox notified Brooks that she would be ending the lease on December 31, 2014. However, Brooks denies

- 3. Answering paragraph 6 of the Complaint, although Brooks had believed that a written accounting had been given within the 30-day period, Brooks admits that the written accounting had not been given within the 30-day period, but also asserts that Brooks did provide a written accounting to Cox. A true and correct copy of the accounting is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Further, Brooks asserts that he had scheduled a walk-through and itemization with Cox, wherein Brooks had planned to give Cox an accounting. However, Cox did not appear at the scheduled walk-through, and Brooks later gave the itemized accounting.
- 4. Answering paragraph 7 of the Complaint, Brooks denies that any security deposit was owed to Cox. Cox had breached the subject lease by failing to remain at the property for the full term of the lease. Further, Cox had caused approximately \$2,000 in damages to the property. Brooks denies any other remaining allegations in said paragraph.
- 5. Answering paragraph 9 of the Complaint, Brooks admits that Valleywide had a Security Deposit Account, XXXXXX8740, since October 23, 2007. Brooks denies any remaining allegations in said paragraph.
- 6. Answering paragraph 10 of the Complaint, Brooks admits that Valleywide had an Owner's Trust Account, XXXXXX6835, since October 23, 2007. Brooks denies any remaining allegations in said paragraph.
- 7. Answering paragraph 11 of the Complaint, Brooks admits that Brooks had a personal checking account, XXXXXX0622, since approximately October of 2007. Brooks denies any remaining allegations in said paragraph.
- 8. Answering paragraph 12 of the Complaint, Brooks admits that after any tenant had notified Brooks that the tenant would vacate a property, Brooks would notify the owner of the property, who generally held the Security Deposit. Brooks would request the security deposit from the owner to close out the account and would deposit the funds upon receipt. These deposits were generally deposited into the Security Deposit Account. If the deposit was initially placed into the Owner's Trust Account, the deposit was moved to the Security Deposit Account. After the inspection and account was closed for a specific tenant, Brooks would

transfer the remaining security deposit to the tenant. True and correct records are attached hereto as Exhibit "B", showing the transfer of the security deposit to the tenants. This process was followed for several years and reported to an auditor of the Division in a letter, dated in approximately September 2014, who accepted the process. A true and correct copy of the letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "C". The process changed in January 2017. Brooks denies any remaining allegations in said paragraph.

- 9. Answering paragraph 13 of the Complaint, Brooks admits that after any tenant had notified Brooks that the tenant would vacate a property, Brooks would notify the owner of the property, who generally held the Security Deposit. Brooks would request the security deposit from the owner to close out the account and would deposit the funds upon receipt. These deposits were generally deposited into the Security Deposit Account. If the deposit was initially placed into the Owner's Trust Account, the deposit was moved to the Security Deposit Account. After the inspection and account was closed for a specific tenant, Brooks would transfer the remaining security deposit to the tenant. True and correct records are attached hereto as Exhibit "B", showing the transfer of the security deposit to the tenants. This process was followed for several years and reported to an auditor of the Division in a letter, dated in approximately September 2014, who accepted the process. A true and correct copy of the letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "C". The process changed in January 2017. Brooks denies any remaining allegations in said paragraph.
- 10. Answering paragraph 14 of the Complaint, Brooks admits that on or about January 20, 2017, Brooks mistakenly paid for a pizza with a credit card associated with the Owner's Trust Account, XXXXXX6835, as the two cards for the Owner's Trust Account and the business account are virtually identical. A true and correct copy of the cards is attached hereto as Exhibit "D". After learning of the mistake, Brooks put an identifier of the card in place and memorized the last 4 digits to ensure that this did not happen again. Notwithstanding, Brooks denies that he converted the money of others to his own use.
- 11. Answering paragraph 15 of the Complaint, Brooks denies the allegation. Further, Brooks asserts that Brooks is entitled to between approximately \$17,000 to \$18,000 in property

management fees. Further, Brooks is entitled to other fees as outlined in each and every management agreement, including application fees, commission fees, eviction fees, and late fees. Further, Brooks has + or - 230 properties that he manages, with an agreement for each property. A true and correct copy of each management agreement from January 2015 through June of 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "E". Depending on the status of the property at any given time, any of these fees may or may not apply.

- 12. Answering Paragraph 16 of the Complaint, Brooks admits that Valleywide was paid \$24,616.85 in property management fees, application fees, commission fees, eviction fees, and/or late fees from the Owner's Trust Account for the month of January 2015, as allowed under each management agreement. However, Brooks denies each and every remaining allegation and further asserts that Valleywide was owed \$25,507.00 for said month. A true and correct copy of Valleywide's Profit & Loss statement for January of 2015 is attached here to as Exhibit "F". A true and correct copy of an accounting survey for the fees owed to Valleywide for the month of January 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "G". A true and correct statement showing the accounting and amounts paid to each individual owner for the month of January 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "H". A true and correct statement showing the fees/amounts that were actually taken by Valleywide in or for January 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "I".
- 13. Answering Paragraph 17 of the Complaint, Brooks admits that Valleywide was paid \$16,600.00 in property management fees, application fees, commission fees, eviction fees, and/or late fees from the Owner's Trust Account for the month of February 2015, as allowed under each management agreement. However, Brooks denies each and every remaining allegation and further asserts that Valleywide was owed \$24,775.00 for said month. A true and correct copy of Valleywide's Profit & Loss statement for February of 2015 is attached here to as Exhibit "J". A true and correct copy of an accounting survey for the fees owed to Valleywide for the month of February 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "K". A true and correct statement showing the accounting and amounts paid to each individual owner for the month of February 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "L". A true and correct statement showing the fees/amounts

that were actually taken by Valleywide in or for February 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "M".

- 14. Answering Paragraph 18 of the Complaint, Brooks admits that Valleywide was paid \$12,400.00 in property management fees, application fees, commission fees, eviction fees, and/or late fees from the Owner's Trust Account for the month of March 2015, as allowed under each management agreement. However, Brooks denies each and every remaining allegation and further asserts that Valleywide was owed \$25,174.86 for said month. A true and correct copy of Valleywide's Profit & Loss statement for March of 2015 is attached here to as Exhibit "N". A true and correct copy of an accounting survey for the fees owed to Valleywide for the month of March 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "O". A true and correct statement showing the accounting and amounts paid to each individual owner for the month of March 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "P". A true and correct statement showing the fees/amounts that were actually taken by Valleywide in or for March 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "Q".
- 15. Answering Paragraph 19 of the Complaint, Brooks admits that Valleywide was paid \$12,100.00 in property management fees, application fees, commission fees, eviction fees, and/or late fees from the Owner's Trust Account for the month of April 2015, as allowed under each management agreement. However, Brooks denies each and every remaining allegation and further asserts that Valleywide was owed \$26,151.90 for said month. A true and correct copy of Valleywide's Profit & Loss statement for April of 2015 is attached here to as Exhibit "R". A true and correct copy of an accounting survey for the fees owed to Valleywide for the month of April 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "S". A true and correct statement showing the accounting and amounts paid to each individual owner for the month of April 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "T". A true and correct statement showing the fees/amounts that were actually taken by Valleywide in or for April 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "U".
- 16. Answering Paragraph 20 of the Complaint, Brooks admits that Valleywide was paid \$34,000.00 in property management fees, application fees, commission fees, eviction fees, and/or late fees from the Owner's Trust Account for the month of May 2015, as allowed under each management agreement. However, Brooks denies each and every remaining allegation and further asserts that Valleywide was owed \$34,693.00 for said month. A true and correct copy of

Valleywide's Profit & Loss statement for May of 2015 is attached here to as Exhibit "V". A true and correct copy of an accounting survey for the fees owed to Valleywide for the month of May 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "W". A true and correct statement showing the accounting and amounts paid to each individual owner for the month of May 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "X". A true and correct statement showing the fees/amounts that were actually taken by Valleywide in or for May 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "Y".

- 17. Answering Paragraph 21 of the Complaint, Brooks admits that Valleywide was paid \$20,050.00 in property management fees, application fees, commission fees, eviction fees, and/or late fees from the Owner's Trust Account for the month of June 2015, as allowed under each management agreement. However, Brooks denies each and every remaining allegation and further asserts that Valleywide was owed \$24,499.50 for said month. A true and correct copy of Valleywide's Profit & Loss statement for June of 2015 is attached here to as Exhibit "Z". A true and correct copy of an accounting survey for the fees owed to Valleywide for the month of June 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "AA". A true and correct statement showing the accounting and amounts paid to each individual owner for the month of June 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "BB". A true and correct statement showing the fees/amounts that were actually taken by Valleywide in or for June 2015 is attached hereto as Exhibit "CC".
- 18. Answering paragraph 22 of the Complaint, Brooks admits that on or about June 8, 2015, Brooks mistakenly transferred \$1,000 from the Owner's Trust Account into his personal checking account, instead of transferring the funds to the business account first. On the bank website for the accounts, Brooks mistakenly clicked on the wrong drop down account and chose his personal account, and has since, put in a process to verify that the correct account is chosen. Notwithstanding, Brooks denies that he converted the money of others to his own use.
- 19. Answering paragraph 23 of the Complaint, Brooks admits that he submitted a trust account reconciliation report for the month of September 2015 to the Division indicating that he had \$20.98 in Security Deposit Account, XXXXXX8740. Notwithstanding, Brooks submits that prior to January of 2017, owners of the properties generally held the Security Deposits. Before the process was changed, tenant would notify Brooks that the tenant would vacate a property, and Brooks would notify the owner of the property, who was holding the

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Security Deposit. Brooks would request the security deposit from the owner to close out the account and would deposit the funds upon receipt. After the inspection and account was closed for a specific tenant, Brooks would transfer the remaining security deposit to the tenant. True and correct records are attached hereto as Exhibit "B", showing the transfer of the security deposit to the tenants. This process was followed for several years and reported to an auditor of the Division in a letter, dated in approximately September 2014, who accepted the process. A true and correct copy of the letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "C". This process changed to holding the security deposits in the Security Deposit Account in January 2017 for new customers. Brooks denies any remaining allegations in said paragraph.

20. Answering paragraph 24 of the Complaint, Brooks is without knowledge and therefore denies the allegation that a Division audit determined that Brooks should have had approximately \$144,570.00 in Security Deposit Account, XXXXXX8740, in the month of September 2015. Further, Brooks denies that he should have had approximately \$144,570.00 in Security Deposit Account, XXXXXX8740, in the month of September 2015. Further, Brooks submits that prior to January of 2017, owners of the properties generally held the Security Deposits. Before the process was changed, tenant would notify Brooks that the tenant would vacate a property, and Brooks would notify the owner of the property, who was holding the Security Deposit. Brooks would request the security deposit from the owner to close out the account and would deposit the funds upon receipt. After the inspection and account was closed for a specific tenant, Brooks would transfer the remaining security deposit to the tenant. True and correct records are attached hereto as Exhibit "B", showing the transfer of the security deposit to the tenants. This process was followed for several years and reported to an auditor of the Division in a letter, dated in approximately September 2014, who accepted the process. A true and correct copy of the letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "C". This process changed to holding the security deposits in the Security Deposit Account in January 2017 for new customers. Brooks denies any remaining allegations in said paragraph.

VIOLATIONS

21. Answering paragraphs 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, and 30 of the Complaint, Brooks denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraphs.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED

- 22. Answering paragraphs 31 and 32 of the Complaint, Brooks asserts that these paragraphs call for a legal conclusion, and therefore, an answer is unnecessary. Nevertheless, Brooks denies the allegations contained in said paragraphs.
- 23. Answering paragraph 33 of the Complaint, Brooks denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.

WHEREFORE, Respondent requests that the Division take the following actions:

- 1. Petitioner takes nothing against Respondent(s), by way of its Complaint for damages;
 - 2. The Complaint be dismissed, with prejudice;
- 3. Respondent(s) be awarded costs and attorney fees incurred in defending this action;
 - 4. Respondent(s) be awarded such other relief as this Division deems just.

DATED this 5th day of May 2017.

JOHNSON & GUBLER, P.C.

Matthew L. Johnson (6004)

Russell G. Gubler (10889)

Ashveen S. Dhillon (14189)

Lakes Business Park

8831 West Sahara Avenue

Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

Attorneys for Respondent