## BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION

STATE OF NEVADA

SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator, REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA,

Petitioner.

VS.

CRISTINA MORALES,

Respondent.

Case No. 2018-1008

DECISION AND ORDER L E

APR 19 2019

BY EVELLY Tattle

This matter came on for hearing before the Nevada Real Estate Commission, State of Nevada ("Commission") on Tuesday, March 26, 2019, at the Nevada Business Center, 3300 West Sahara Avenue, 4th Floor — Nevada Room, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102. Respondent Aaron Spears ("RESPONDENT") did not appear. Vivienne Rakowsky, Deputy Attorney General, appeared and prosecuted the Complaint on behalf of petitioner Sharath Chandra, Administrator of the Real Estate Division, Department of Business & Industry, State of Nevada ("Division").

The matter having been submitted for decision based upon the allegations of the Complaint, the Commission now enters its Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as follows:

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Commission, based upon the evidence presented during the hearing, finds that there is substantial evidence in the record to establish each of the following:

- 1. At all times relevant to this Complaint, RESPONDENT was not licensed by the Division in any capacity.
- 2. At all times relevant to this Complaint, RESPONDENT conducted unlicensed property management activities in Nevada.

and "Unable to Forward."

- 13. On or about August 23, 2018, the Division emailed a copy of the July 30, 2018 letter to the RESPONDENT at the last known email address. The RESPONDENT did not respond.
- 14. On August 29, 2019, the Division sent the RESPONDENT a Cease and Desist Order by Certified Mail. The mail was returned as "Unclaimed" and Unable to Forward."
- 15. On or about October 5, 2018, the Division sent the RESPONDENT a certified letter to the Miratan Street address, informing her that the Division has sufficient evidence to commence disciplinary action against her, and plans to file a Complaint for hearing before the Real Estate Commission. The return receipt appears to indicate that the RESPONDENT received and signed for the letter.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Commission, based upon the preponderance of the evidence, makes the following legal conclusions:

- 1. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.230(1)(a) and/or NRS 645.235(1)(a) by engaging in the business of, acting in the capacity of, or advertising or assuming to act as a real estate broker, real estate broker-salesperson, real estate salesperson within the State of Nevada without first obtaining a real estate license or from the Division.
- 2. RESPONDENT violated 645.230(1)(b) by engaging in the business of, acting in the capacity of a property manager without obtaining a permit to engage in property management from the Division.

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

# 1 2

#### ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that RESPONDENT shall pay to the Division a total amount of \$6,301.38. That amount reflects a fine of \$5,000 for committing the above violations of law plus \$1,301.38 for hearing and investigative costs. RESPONDENT shall pay the total amount to the Division within ninety (60) days of the effective date of this Order. The Division may institute debt collection proceedings for failure to timely pay the total amount.

The Commission retains jurisdiction for correcting any errors that may have occurred in the drafting and issuance of this Decision.

This Order shall become effective on the 19th day of May, 201 DATED this 19th day of April, 2019.

REAL ESTATE COMMISSION STATE OF NEVADA

President, Nevada Real Estate