1						
2	BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION					
3	STATE OF NEVADA NOV 1 4 201					
4	SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator,		REAL ESTATE COMMISSION			
5	REAL ESTATE DIVISIÓN, DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY,	Case No. 2017-1839	They raule			
6	STATE OF NEVADA,	STIPULATION AND OR	DER			
7	Petitioner,	OF DISCIPLINARY ACT	TION			
8	WILLIAM G. WITHELDER,					
10	Respondent.					
11		-				
12	between the State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry, Real Estate Division ("Division"),					
13						
14	through its Administrator Sharath Chandra ("Petitioner"), and William G. Withelder					
15	("RESPONDENT"). RESPONDENT was at all relevant times mentioned in this Complaint licensed as a broker					
16						
17	salesperson and is therefore subject to the jurisdiction of the Division and the Commission, and the					
18	provisions of NRS chapter 645 and NAC chapter 645					
19	SUMMARY OF FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS SET FORTH IN THE COMPLAINT					
20	1. RESPONDENT has been licensed as Broker Salesperson under license number BS.0059099					
21	since January 5, 2004, and said license is current	-				
22	2. In late 2005, Delbert and Darlene Mod	-	d RESPONDENT to look			
23						
24	3. After looking at properties, RESPONI	DENT and Mr. Moore went to	RESPONDENT's house			
25	which is also located in the Falcon Ridge comm	nunity on Clark County Asse	ssor's Parcel No. 001-07-			
26	410-021, more commonly known as 484 Calais Dr., Mesquite, NV 89027 (the "Property").					
27	4. Mr. Moore inquired whether he could	purchase the Property from R	ESPONDENT.			
28	5. RESPONDENT agreed to sell the Property to the Moores for a purchase price of \$975,000					
	dollars.					

6. During the sale of the Property, RESPONDENT claims that he disclosed to the Moores that he was a licensed real estate agent but was entering into the sale of the Property on his own behalf and was not represented by his broker at the time, Premier Properties of Mesquite, Inc., a Nevada corporation ("Premier").

7. RESPONDENT claims that no agents were used on his or the Moores' behalf.

8. The Moores claim that prior to the sale of the Property closing, RESPONDENT represented to them that the pool on the Property had inadvertently been built outside the rear property line and was encroaching upon the adjacent golf course.

9. The Moores allege that RESPONDENT assured them that the encroachment issue had been remedied through a lot line adjustment.

10. RESPONDENT'S broker at the time, Premier, claims that it did not represent RESPONDENT in the transaction with the Moores and that Premier did not receive any compensation for the sale of the Property.

11. Ultimately the parties closed the sale of the Property and the deed from RESPONDENT to the Moores was recorded on May 2, 2006.

12. On or around April of 2017, the Moores decided to sell the Property to a subsequent purchaser.

13. During the 2017 transaction, the Moores discovered that the lot line of the Property had not been adjusted as they claim RESPONDENT had represented to them, and that as a result, the pool area of the Property still encroached upon the adjacent golf course.

14. The Moores allege that they then paid approximately \$2,364.15 to have the Property surveyed to create a new legal description to effectuate the lot line adjustment so that they could complete the sale of the Property in 2017 to the subsequent purchasers.

15. The Moores sale of the Property to the subsequent buyers closed and the deed was recorded on July 6, 2017.

16. The Moores allege that following the 2017 sale of the Property, they contacted RESPONDENT regarding his representation to them that the line adjustment had been effectuated prior to their purchase of the Property.

17. The Moores allege RESPONDENT told them to contact Premier regarding the issue.

18. The Moores allege they subsequently sent a demand letter to the title company and to Premier but received no response.

19. On August 10, 2017 the Moores filed a complaint with the Division alleging RESPONDENT misrepresented to them that the encroachment issue on the Property had been resolved by a lot line adjustment prior to their purchase of the Property.

## SUMMARY VIOLATION OF LAW ALLEGED IN THE COMPLAINT

Respondent is alleged to have violated the following:

20. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.633(1)(i) by misrepresenting to the Moores that the lot line

adjustment on the Property had been effectuated prior to the sale of the Property when it had not.

21. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.633(1)(i) by telling the Moores to speak to his broker, Premier, regarding the unresolved lot line adjustment in 2017 because RESPONDENT knew that he handled the 2006 transaction on his own behalf and not through Premier.

## **DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED**

22. Pursuant to NRS 645.630 and NRS 645.633, the Commission is empowered to impose an administrative fine of up to \$10,000 per violation against RESPONDENT and further to suspend, revoke or place conditions on the license of RESPONDENT.

23. Additionally, under NRS Chapter 622, the Commission is authorized to impose costs of the proceeding upon RESPONDENT, including investigative costs and attorney's fces, if the Commission otherwise imposes discipline on RESPONDENT.

## PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

1. In an effort to avoid the time and expense of litigating these issues before the Commission, the parties desire to compromise and settle the instant controversy upon the following terms and conditions:

RESPONDENT agrees to pay complainants Delbert and Darlene Moore the sum of \$2,364.15 (the "Payment to Claimant"). RESPONDENT shall provide proof of the Payment to Claimant to the Division within 60 days of the effective date of the order approving this settlement. RESPONDENT also agrees to pay the Division's pre-hearing costs and attorneys' fees in the total amount of \$1,535.85 ("Real Estate Division Payment"). RESPONDENT shall pay the Real Estate Division Payment to the Division within 60 days of the effective date of the order approving this settlement.

RESPONDENT further agree to attend 3 hours of continuing education in ethics which shall be live instruction and which shall not count towards the amount necessary for RESPONDENT's license renewal. RESPONDENT shall complete said education within 60 days of the date of the order approving this settlement.

2. RESPONDENT and the Division agree that by entering into this Stipulation, the Division does not concede any defense or mitigation RESPONDENT may assert and that once this Stipulation is approved and fully performed, the Division will close its file in this matter. Nothing herein prevents proof and giving consideration to acts complained of in this matter in determining or penalizing a future violation by RESPONDENT of any provision of NRS Chapter 645 or NAC Chapter 645.

3. RESPONDENT agrees and understands that by entering into this Stipulation,

RESPONDENT is waiving his right to a hearing at which RESPONDENT may present evidence in his defense, his right to a written decision on the merits of the complaint, his rights to reconsideration and/or rehearing, appeal and/or judicial review, and all other rights which may be accorded by the Nevada Administrative Procedure Act, the Nevada Real Estate Brokers and Salespersons statutes and accompanying regulations, and the federal and state Constitutions. RESPONDENT understands that this Agreement and other documentation may be subject to public records laws. The Commission members who review this matter for approval of this Stipulation may be the same members who ultimately hear, consider, and decide the Complaint if this Stipulation is either not approved by the Commission or is not timely performed by RESPONDENT. RESPONDENT fully understands that he has the right to be represented by legal counsel in this matter at his own expense.

4. Each party shall bear their own attorney's fees and costs, except as provided above.

5. <u>Approval of Stipulation</u>. Once executed, this Stipulation will be filed with the Commission

and will be placed on the agenda for approval at its next public meeting. The Division will recommend to the Commission approval of the Stipulation. RESPONDENT agrees that the Commission may approve, reject, or suggest amendments to this Stipulation that must be accepted or rejected by RESPONDENT before any amendment is effective.

6. <u>Withdrawal of Stipulation</u>. If the Commission rejects this Stipulation or suggests amendments unacceptable to RESPONDENT, RESPONDENT may withdraw from this Stipulation, and the Division may pursue its Complaint before the Commission at the next or a future public meeting. This Stipulation then shall become null and void and unenforceable in any manner against either party.

7. <u>Release</u>. In consideration of the execution of this Stipulation, RESPONDENT for himself, his heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns, hereby releases, remises, and forever discharges the State of Nevada, the Department of Business and Industry, and the Division, and each of their respective members, agents, employees, and counsel in their individual and representative capacities, from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, judgments, executions, claims, and demands whatsoever, known and unknown, in law or equity, that RESPONDENT ever had, now has, may have, or claim to have against any or all of the persons or entities named in this section, arising out of or by reason of the Division's investigation, this disciplinary action, and all other matters relating thereto.

8. <u>Indemnification</u>. RESPONDENT hereby agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the State of

Nevada, the Department of Business and Industry, Petitioner, the Division, and each of their respective members, agents, employees, and counsel, in their individual and representative capacities, against any and all claims, suits, and actions brought against said persons and/or entities by reason of the Division's investigation, this disciplinary action, and all other matters relating thereto, and against any and all expenses, damages, and costs, including court costs and attorney fees, which may be sustained by the persons and/or entities named in this section as a result of said claims, suits, and actions.

9. <u>Default</u>. In the event of default, RESPONDENT agrees that his license shall be immediately

suspended, and the unpaid balance of the administrative fine and costs, together with any attorney's fees and costs that may have been assessed, shall be due in full to the Division within ten calendar days of the date of default. Debt collection actions for unpaid monetary assessments in this case may be 5 instituted by the Division or its assignee. RESPONDENT agrees that his license shall be immediately 6 suspended if he fails to timely attend and complete the above continuing education. RESPONDENT 7 agrees that the suspension of his license shall continue until the continuing education is completed. 8

10. RESPONDENT has signed and dated this Stipulation only after reading and understanding all terms herein.

DATED this. Orh day of October, 2019.

15 16 Bv: 17 AM G. WITHELDER 18

Approved as to form:

19 AARON D. FORD 20 Attorney General

21 В 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

By:						
5	Karissa D. Neff (Bar. No. 9133)					
	Deputy Attorney General					
	555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 3900					

Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorneys for Real Estate Division

DATED this 30 day of October, 2019.

NEVADA DEPA MENT OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY ESTAT DIVISION By:

SHARATH Administrator

1 2 suspended, and the unpaid balance of the administrative fine and costs, together with any attorney's 3 fees and costs that may have been assessed, shall be due in full to the Division within ten calendar days 4 of the date of default. Debt collection actions for unpaid monetary assessments in this case may be 5 instituted by the Division or its assignee. RESPONDENT agrees that his license shall be immediately 6 suspended if he fails to timely attend and complete the above continuing education. RESPONDENT 7 agrees that the suspension of his license shall continue until the continuing education is completed. 8 9 10. RESPONDENT has signed and dated this Stipulation only after reading and understanding 10 all terms herein. 11 12 DATED this <u>30</u> day of October. 2019. DATED this. Orh day of October, 2019 13 14 NEVADA DEP. NT OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY IVISION 15 16 By: By: ITHELDER SHARATH 17 G NDRA Administrator 18 Approved as to form: 19 AARON D. FORD 20 Attomey General 21 By: Karissa D. Neff (Bar. No. 9133) 22 Deputy Attorney General 23 555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101 24 Attorneys for Real Estate Division 25 26 27 28 Page 6 of 6

1	Í.	1			
1	BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION				
2	STATE OF NEVADA				
3	SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator,				
4	OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY,	Case No. 2017-1839			
5	STATE OF NEVADA,				
6	Petitioner,				
7	VS.				
8	WILLIAM G. WITHELDER,				
9	Respondent.				
10	ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION AND ORDER				
11	FOR SETTLEMENT OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION				
12	That certain Stipulation and Order for Settlement of Disciplinary Action in this action, having				
13	come before the Real Estate Commission, Department of Business and Industry, State of Nevada, during				
14	its regular agenda commencing on November 5, 2019, and the Commission being fully apprised in the				
15	premises, and good cause appearing,				
16	IT IS SO ORDERED that the Stipulation and Order for Settlement of Disciplinary Action in this				
17	matter, entered into by Petitioner and Respondent, is approved in full.				
18	Dated: November 14, 2019.				
19					
20		ESTATE COMMISSION E OF NEVADA			
21					
22		2 1			
23	By: Pre	sident, Nevada Real Estate Commission			
24					
25					
26	Submitted by:				
27					
28					
- 1					

AARON FORD, Attomey General - Aler By: Karissa D. Neff Deputy Attorney General 555 E. Washington Ave. Ste 3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Real Estate Division **9** Page 2 of 2

ŧ