L	BEFORE THE REAL E	STATE COMMISSING [] [] [] [] [] []	
2	STATE OF	NEVADA OCT 07 2020	
3	SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator, REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT	REAL ESTATE COMMISSION Case No. 2017-2135 By Such Tattle	2
+ 5	OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA,	STIPULATION FOR SETTLEMENT OF	
5		DISCIPLINARY ACTION	
7	vs.		
8	REBECCA L. CORDOVA,		
9	Respondent.		

This Stipulation for Settlement of Disciplinary Action ("Stipulation") is entered into by and between the State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry, Real Estate Division ("Division"), through its Administrator Sharath Chandra ("Petitioner"), and REBECCA L. CORDOVA ("RESPONDENT"), by and through her attorneys of record, Justin J. Zarcone, Esq., of Winner & Sherrod.

SUMMARY OF FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

1. RESPONDENT has been licensed as a Broker under license B.1001423.LLC since July 17, 2014 and also held a property manager permit, which were both active when this action commenced. RESPONDENT's property management permit has since expired as of July 31, 2020 but her broker's license is active.

2. RESPONDENT is an owner and a manager of CanAm Real Estate Services, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company.

3. RESPONDENT's husband, Manuel Cordova, Jr., is also a manager of CanAm, and at one time, was licensed by the Division as a salesperson under license number S.0180944, said license now being on closed status.

4. At all relevant times, Anthony Marinaccio ("Marinaccio" and/or "Complainant") owned thirty-two rental properties in Clark County, Nevada, either individually, or through the following entities- AMV Investments, LLC and AV Rentals, LLC.

5. Marinaccio either individually, or through his entities, entered into several residential

2 property management agreements with CanAm and/or RESPONDENT to lease and manage these 3 rental properties located at the following addresses: (1) 2241 Statz Street in North Las Vegas, Nevada, 4 consisting of four units ("2241 Statz Property"), (2) 2249 Statz Street in North Las Vegas, Nevada 5 consisting of four units ("2249 Statz Property") (collectively the "Statz Properties"), (3) 2240 Ellis 6 Street in North Las Vegas, Nevada, consisting of four units ("Ellis Property"), (4) 1409 Henry Drive in Las Vegas, Nevada, consisting of four units ("1409 Henry Property"), 1413 Henry Drive in Las Vegas, 7 8 Nevada, consisting of four units ("1413 Henry Property") (collectively the "Henry Properties"), and 9 2839 Judson Ave. in North Las Vegas, Nevada, consisting of six units ("Judson Property"). The 10 properties described in this paragraph and paragraph 7 of this Complaint shall be collectively referred 11 to as the "Properties."

12 6. Between August 21, 2015 and December 26, 2016, RESPONDENT and/or CanAm entered 13 into residential management agreements with Complainant for the Statz Properties, the Ellis Property, 14 the Henry Properties, and the Judson Property.

15 7. CanAm and/or RESPONDENT also performed property management duties on all six units 16 of Marinaccio's and/or his entity's property located at 180 W New York Avenue in North Las Vegas, 17 NV ("New York Property").

18 8. Between August 29, 2017 and September 22, 2017, Marinaccio notified RESPONDENT that 19 he was terminating his management agreement with RESPONDENT and/or CanAm for the Properties.

20 9. On or around September 29, 2017 Marinaccio filed a complaint with the Division alleging that RESPONDENT mismanaged the Properties.

22 10. On October 4, 2017, the Division properly notified RESPONDENT that it was opening an 23 investigation based on Marinaccio's complaint with the Division.

24 11. The Division obtained certain documents during its investigation, including 25 RESPONDENT's and/or CanAm's residential property management agreements with Marinaccio 26 and/or his entities, residential lease agreements for the Properties, and certain financial documents.

12. In his Complaint to the Division, Marinaccio claimed that with respect to a New York

28

27

21

Property unit, that a tenant paid several months' rent by credit card and then reversed those charges with his or her credit card company.

13. Marinaccio claimed that despite the tenant's rent delinquency, RESPONDENT improperly 4 permitted the tenant to remain in the New York Property unit.

5

6

7

1

2

3

14. In response to the Division, RESPONDENT admitted that the tenant in the New York Property unit had paid rent charges by credit card and then had those credit cards charges reversed, and that the credit card company was conducting an on-going investigation.

8 15. The documents provided to the Division show that the credit card charges had been reversed 9 on April 13, 2016, and that despite the tenant's failure to pay the delinquent rent, RESPONDENT 10 permitted the tenant to live in the New York Property until September 10, 2017- nearly a year and a 11 half after the tenant's rent had become delinquent.

12 16. In connection with leasing a unit at the Ellis Property, RESPONDENT paid a 13 commission/referral fee to an individual named Rafael Adrian Juarez ("Juarez").

14 17. RESPONDENT's commission/referral fee to Juarez was improper because Juarez was not a 15 licensee.

16 18. During the Division's investigation, the Division was provided with two different Owner's 17 Statements - one provided by Marinaccio and one provided by RESPONDENT, each containing 18 different numbers.

19 19. RESPONDENT failed to maintain and provide the Division with an accurate Owner's 20 Statement.

20. RESPONDENT and/or CanAm failed to enter into a residential management agreement with Marinaccio and/or his entities during the management of the six units located at the New York Property.

21. Despite failing to obtain a signed, written property management agreement with the landowner/Complainant, RESPONDENT managed the New York Property from approximately March of 2016 through September of 2017.

22. During her management of the Properties, with respect to some units, RESPONDENT

27 28

21

22

23

24

25

improperly charged tenants a \$15 dollar storage fee without the fee being specified as an additional fee in the lease agreement.

1

2

23. RESPONDENT charged this fee under some of the leases for unit B of the 2241 Statz
Property, and under some of the leases for units A, B, and D at the Ellis Property, on 19 different
occasions.

6 24. The form lease used by RESPONDENT lists storage as part of the premises, and is
7 included in the payment of monthly rent.

8 25. Nowhere in the form lease used by RESPONDENT does it list that additional money is owed
9 for storage.

10 26. NRS 118A.200 requires residential lease agreements to include required fees and the
11 purposes for which they are required.

12 27. RESPONDENT violated NRS 118A.200 by failing to include in leases that additional
13 money was owed for storage on 19 separate occasions.

28. Owner's Statements provided to the Division by RESPONDENT do not account for security
deposits collected by RESPONDENT from tenants under the following leases: Juarez lease at the Ellis
Property, Vasquez lease at the Ellis Property, Aguilar lease at the Ellis Property, Santos Lease at the
1409 Henry Property.

29. The terms of the Management Agreement for the Ellis Property required RESPONDENT to
collect a security deposit.

30. RESPONDENT violated the terms of the Management Agreement by failing to account for
security deposits on the leases set forth in paragraph 28 of this Complaint.

31. RESPONDENT also improperly permitted Manuel Cordova, Jr., to engage in property
management activities that required a license from the Division on all of the Properties.

32. By way of an example, during its investigation, the Division obtained correspondence
between RESPONDENT and Marinaccio where RESPONDENT referenced property management
activities performed with respect to the New York Property.

33. In correspondence from RESPONDENT to Marinaccio regarding the New York Property,
RESPONDENT stated:

1	Here are some of the problems affecting the building monthly that is causing expenses month after month.			
2 3	1. The cast iron plumbing throughout is deteriorated and the roofs from the neighboring pine tree have affected the sewer.			
4	2. The plumbing belly is to [sic] shallow causing monthly back ups into units.			
5	3. The flat roof needs to be replaced. It has continuous leaks.			
6 7	4. The Window and doors need commercial security bars. As you know the standard ones are continually pried open.			
8	5. Electrical Work.			
9 10	As a recap the problem with throwing tens of thousands of dollars at the building is it won't do anything. We can dress it up as nice as Statz or Ellis but we or anyone else for that matter will not be able to get tenants or quality tenants to occupy the building			
11 12	I specifically remember before you purchased the building driving by and inspecting the building. In particular the roof. I remember you asking me my thought and I specifically remember telling you not to buy it.			
13	34. RESPONDENT permitted Manuel Cordova, Jr. to engage in property management activities			
14 15	that as a licensee, she should have either performed herself or prevented Manuel Cordova, Jr. from			
16	norforming unloss he obtained the proper normit from the Division			
17	35. When managing 15 of the Properties' units, RESPONDENT and/or her company failed to			
18	obtain residential leases with each respective tenant			
19	36. On the Properties managed, RESPONDENT charged Complainant for landscaping when the			
20	lease permitted landscaping maintenance obligations to be placed on the tenant.			
21	SUMMARY OF VIOLATIONS OF LAW ALLEGED IN THE COMPLAINT			
22	It is alleged RESPONDENT committed the following violations of law:			
23	1. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.633(1)(h) by permitting a tenant to remain in the New			
24	York Property for approximately a year and a half despite having delinquent rent.			
25	2. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.6056(1) by performing property management activities on			
26	the units located at the New York Property without first properly obtaining a fully executed property			
27	management agreement with the landowner.			
28				

- 11			
1	3. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.633(1)(c) by paying a commission/referral fee to Juarez		
2	when Juarez was not a licensee for the rental of a unit at the Ellis Property.		
3	4. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.633(1)(i) by engaging in deceitful and dishonest dealings		
4	by providing an Owner's Statement to the Division different than the one provided to the Complainant.		
5	5. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.633(1)(h) by failing to include that additional fees were		
6	being charged for storage under certain lease agreements in violation of NRS 118A.200.		
7	6. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.235(1)(b) by permitting Manuel Cordova, Jr. to engage in		
8	unlicensed activity without obtaining the appropriate permit from the Division.		
9	7. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.633(1)(h) by failing to obtain residential lease		
10	agreements between RESPONDENT and/or her company and each respective tenant.		
11	8. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.633(1)(h) by charging Complainant for landscaping when		
12	the leases permitted landscaping maintenance to be placed on the respective tenants.		
13	DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED		
14	9. Pursuant to NRS 645.630 and NRS 645.633, the Commission is empowered to impose an		
15	administrative fine of up to \$10,000 per violation against RESPONDENT and further to suspend,		
16	revoke or place conditions on the license of RESPONDENT.		
17	10. Additionally, under NRS Chapter 622, the Commission is authorized to impose costs of		
18	the proceeding upon RESPONDENT, including investigative costs and attorney's fees, if the		
19	Commission otherwise imposes discipline on RESPONDENT.		
20	PROPOSED SETTLEMENT		
21	RESPONDENT DENIES the allegations contained herein, but in an effort to avoid the time and		
22	expense of litigating these issues before the Commission,		
23	the parties desire to compromise and settle the instant controversy upon the following terms and		
24	conditions:		
25	1. RESPONDENT agrees to pay the Division a total amount of \$6,490.00 ("Amount Due"),		
26	consisting of a \$5,000 fine imposed by the Division and the Division's pre-hearing costs in the amount		
27	of \$1,490.00.		
28			

2. The Amount Due shall be payable to the Division within 120 days of the entry of the Order approving this Settlement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

18

3. RESPONDENT further agrees to attend 3 hours of continuing education in ethics and 3 hours hours of continuing education in agency which shall not count towards the amount necessary for RESPONDENT's license renewal. RESPONDENT shall complete said education within 60 days of the date of the order approving this settlement.

4. RESPONDENT agrees not to reapply to the Division for a property management permit for a
10 year period.

9 5. RESPONDENT agrees to downgrade her broker's license to a salesperson license for a
10 period of 5 years and further agrees not to apply for a broker's license until the expiration of the 5 year
11 period.

12 6. In the even the settlement is rejected, the parties agree that the settlement will be heard at the
13 September 2020 Commission meeting.

14 RESPONDENT and the Division agree that by entering into this Stipulation, the Division does
15 not concede any defense or mitigation RESPONDENT may assert and that once this Stipulation is
16 approved and fully performed, the Division will close its file in this matter. Likewise, RESPONDENT
17 does not make any admission to any violation or liability by entering into this Stipulation.

5. RESPONDENT agrees and understands that by entering into this Stipulation,

19 RESPONDENT is waiving her right to a hearing at which RESPONDENT may present evidence in her 20 defense, her right to a written decision on the merits of the complaint, her rights to reconsideration 21 and/or rehearing, appeal and/or judicial review, and all other rights which may be accorded by the 22 Nevada Administrative Procedure Act, the Nevada Real Estate Brokers and Salespersons statutes and 23 accompanying regulations, and the federal and state Constitutions. RESPONDENT understands that 24 this Agreement and other documentation may be subject to public records laws. The Commission 25 members who review this matter for approval of this Stipulation may be the same members who 26 ultimately hear, consider, and decide the Complaint if this Stipulation is either not approved by the 27 Commission or is not timely performed by RESPONDENT. RESPONDENT fully understands that she 28 has the right to be represented by legal counsel in this matter at her own expense.

6. Each party shall bear their own attorney's fees and costs, except as provided above.

1

7. Approval of Stipulation. Once executed, this Stipulation will be filed with the
Commission and will be placed on the agenda for approval at its next public meeting. The Division
will recommend to the Commission approval of the Stipulation. RESPONDENT agrees that the
Commission may approve, reject, or suggest amendments to this Stipulation that must be accepted or
rejected by RESPONDENT before any amendment is effective.

8. Withdrawal of Stipulation. If the Commission rejects this Stipulation or suggests amendments
unacceptable to RESPONDENT, RESPONDENT may withdraw from this Stipulation, and the
Division may pursue its Complaint before the Commission. This Stipulation then shall become null
and void and unenforceable in any manner against either party.

11 9. Release. In consideration of the execution of this Stipulation, RESPONDENT for 12 herself, her heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns, hereby releases, remises, and 13 forever discharges the State of Nevada, the Department of Business and Industry, and the Division, and 14 each of their respective members, agents, employees, and counsel in their individual and representative 15 capacities, from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, judgments, executions, 16 claims, and demands whatsoever, known and unknown, in law or equity, that RESPONDENT ever had, 17 now has, may have, or claim to have against any or all of the persons or entities named in this section, 18 arising out of or by reason of the Division's investigation, this disciplinary action, and all other matters 19 relating thereto.

20 10. Indemnification. RESPONDENT hereby agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the 21 State of Nevada, the Department of Business and Industry, Petitioner, the Division, and each of their 22 respective members, agents, employees, and counsel, in their individual and representative capacities, 23 against any and all claims, suits, and actions brought against said persons and/or entities by reason of 24 the Division's investigation, this disciplinary action, and all other matters relating thereto, and against 25 any and all expenses, damages, and costs, including court costs and attorney fees, which may be 26 sustained by the persons and/or entities named in this section as a result of said claims, suits, and actions. 27

28 || 11. Default. In the event of default, RESPONDENT agrees that her license shall be

calendar days of the date of default. Debt collection actions for unpaid monetary assessments in this l 2 case may be instituted by the Division or its assignee. RESPONDENT agrees that her license shall be 3 immediately suspended if she fails to timely attend and complete the above continuing education. 4 RESPONDENT agrees that the suspension of her license shall continue until the continuing education 5 is completed. 12. RESPONDENT has signed and dated this Stipulation only after reading and 6 7 understanding all terms herein. 8 DATED this _____ day of September, 2020. DATED this | day of September, 2020. 9 10 11 NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS 12 & INDUSTRY REAL ESTATE DIVISION 13 14 By: By: REBECCA L. CORDOVA SHARAT 15 dministrator 16 17 Approved as to form: 18 19 20 By: 21 WINNER & SHERROD 22 Justin J. Zarcone (Bar No. 8735) 1117 S. Rancho 23 Las Vjegas, NV 89102 Attomeys for RESPONDENT 24 25 26 27 28 Page 9 of 11

AARON D. FORD Attorney General By: __ Karissa D. Neff (Bar. No. 9133) Deputy Attorney General 555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Real Estate Division Page 10 of 11

2			
3			
4			
5			
6	ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION		
7			
8	The Stipulation and Order for Settlement of Disciplinary Action having come before the Real		
9	Estate Commission, Department of Business and Industry, State of Nevada, during its regular agenda		
10	on March 10, 2020, and the Commission being fully apprised in the premises, and good cause		
11	appearing,		
12	IT IS ORDERED that the above Stipulation is approved in full.		
13	This Order shall become effective on the 1^{st} day of $september 2020$.		
14	Dated this Mt day of October. 2020.		
15	NEVADA REAL ESTATE COMMISSION		
16	By:		
17	President, Nevada Real Estate Commission		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Page 11 of 11		
		1	