	1
I	
2	-
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	0
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

26

27

28

BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION

STATE OF NEVADA

FILED

SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator, REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA,

Case No. 2018-895

SEP 1 1 2020

REAL ESTATE COMMISSION

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR SETTLEMENT

Petitioner,

VS.

CHAIM LURYA,

· ·

Respondent.

This Stipulation for Settlement of Disciplinary Action ("Stipulation") is entered into by and between the State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry, Real Estate Division ("Division"), through its Administrator Sharath Chandra ("Petitioner"), by and through their attorney of record, Karissa D. Neff, Esq. and Chaim Lurya ("RESPONDENT"), by and through his attorney of record, Shlomo S. Sherman. Esq. of Sherman Law PLLC.

RESPONDENT at all relevant times mentioned in this Complaint was licensed by the Division as a salesperson. He is therefore subject to the jurisdiction of the Division and the Commission and the provisions of NRS chapter 645 and NAC chapter 645.

SUMMARY OF FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS SET FORTH IN THE COMPLAINT

- 1. RESPONDENT has been, and as of the date of this complaint, is currently licensed by the Division as a salesperson under license number S.0168805, issued on November 18, 2009, said license being active.
- 2. RESPONDENT's wife, Hava Lurya, held a provisional timeshare license under license TS.0001943-AGEN, said license now closed, and at all relevant times did not hold any other licenses with the Division.
- 3. On June 30, 2018, Scott Wells ("Complainant") filed a complaint with the Division stating that he was contacted by the owner of two properties, Mira Peer ("Owner"), to list the properties for sale located at 7221 Dry Lake ("Dry Lake Property") and 9509 Belgate ("Belgate Property"), both located in Las Vegas, Nevada, collectively the "Properties."

- 4. Complainant alleged that the Properties were managed by RESPONDENT and Hava Lurya, neither of whom held a property management permit from the Division.
- 5. In response to the Division's investigation, RESPONDENT admitted to helping the Owner purchase the Properties and stated that the Owner asked him to manage the Properties because the Owner lived in Israel.
- 6. RESPONDENT claimed that he informed the Owner that he could not manage the Properties but that his wife would be able to do so as a favor.
- 7. RESPONDENT was unable to provide a property management agreement for either of the Properties to the Division and admitted that no property management agreements existed.
 - 8. RESPONDENT prepared and completed residential lease agreements for both Properties.
 - 9. RESPONDENT's name was on the notices of rent increases to tenants for both Properties.
- 10. RESPONDENT further stated that his wife opened a joint banking account with the Owner and that his wife "took care of all payments through the joint account."
- 11. During its investigation, the Division obtained checks written to Mrs. Lurya and/or RESPONDENT's and his wife's entity, BH Creations LLC, a Nevada limited liability company ("BH Creations") from a joint account held by Mrs. Lurya and the Owner.
- 12. During its investigation, the Division obtained copies of insurance bills and receipt of payments for both Properties listing Ms. Lurya and the Owner as the policy holders.
- 13. During its investigation, the Division obtained copies of checks from the joint account used to pay for utilities, services, and taxes for the Properties.
- 14. RESPONDENT admitted in his response to the Division that from time to time, he helped with issues that arose related to the management of the Properties.
- 15. RESPONDENT stated that in 2016, he asked the Owner to find a property manager but that she refused.
- 16. RESPONDENT stated that again in approximately June of 2018, he told the Owner to hire another property manager for the Properties and offered to help do so.
- 17. RESPONDENT claims that on June 26, 2018, he received a note from the Owner that she found someone else and requested the files for the Properties.

- 18. RESPONDENT claims that Complainant's complaint was filed with the Division in revenge because he and his wife no longer wanted to continue assisting with the Properties.
 - 19. RESPONDENT's wife, Hava Lurya, also filed a response with the Division.
- 20. Mrs. Lurya admitted that she agreed to help the Owner with the management of the Properties as a favor.
- 21. Mrs. Lurya stated that when management of the Properties became too big of a burden, she asked her husband to end to the arrangement, first in 2016, and then again towards the beginning of June 2018.
- 22. RESPONDENT's broker, Cynthia Lujan ("Lujan"), of Nationwide Realty LLC, also filed a response with the Division.
- 23. In her response to the Division, Lujan stated that she was unaware that either RESPONDENT or his wife were engaging in property management activities with respect to the Properties.
- 24. Lujan further stated that the Owner's closing on the Belgate Property occurred prior to her becoming RESPONDENT's broker and that as a result, she was unable to locate any documents related to the Belgate Property.
- 25. With respect to the Dry Lake Property, Lujan stated that RESPONDENT deleted the transaction from her brokerage's paperless system on the day he learned of Complainant's complaint with the Division.
- 26. Lujan stated as a result of the Complainant's complaint, she terminated RESPONDENT from her brokerage.
- 27. RESPONDENT and Mrs. Lurya managed the Belgate Property beginning in 2014 through approximately Spring of 2018.
- 28. RESPONDENT and Mrs. Lurya managed the Dry Lake Property from 2015 through approximately Spring of 2018.
- 29. Checks obtained during the Division's investigation from the joint account made payable to Mrs. Lurya and/or RESPONDENT's and his wife's entity BH Creations, from January 1, 2018 to Jun 29, 2018, show payment to Mrs. Lurya or BH Creations in the amount of \$5,464,24.

- 30. On September 25, 2018, the Division issued both RESPONDENT and his wife cease and desist orders to stop engaging in property management activities because they did not hold the proper permits from the Division to do so.
- 31. On July 3, 2018, the Division properly notified RESPONDENT that it had received a complaint against him.
- 32. On September 27, 2018, the Division properly notified RESPONDENT that it intended to commence disciplinary action against him by filing a complaint for hearing with the Real Estate Commission.

VIOLATIONS

- 33. RESPONDENT is alleged to have violated NRS 645.235(a) and (b) by conducting property management services for the Properties without holding the proper permit from the Division to do so and by assisting his wife in the management of the Properties knowing that she did not hold a property management permit from the Division.
- 34. RESPONDENT is alleged to have violated NRS 645.252 (3)(a), (b) by failing to provide the property owner or tenants with a duties owed for the leasing transactions for the Properties.
- 35. RESPONDENT is alleged to have violated NRS 645.633 1(i) pursuant to NAC 645.605 (10)(e) by deleting the transaction file for the Dry Lake Property after being notified of Complainant's filing a complaint with the Division.
- 36. RESPONDENT is alleged to have violated NRS 645.650(2) by failing to provide his broker with lease agreements for the Properties.

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

In an effort to avoid the time and expense of litigating these issues before the Commission, the parties desire to compromise and settle the instant controversy upon the following terms and conditions:

- 1. RESPONDENT agrees to pay the Division a total amount of \$8,551.80 ("Amount Due"), consisting of a fine in the amount of \$7,500.00, and \$1.051.80 for the Division's pre-hearing costs and attorneys' fees.
- The Amount Due shall be payable to the Division within 90 days of the entry of the Order approving this Settlement.

- 3. RESPONDENT shall also complete 6 hours of continuing education in contracts and 6 hours of continuing education in ethics. Completion of this education shall not count towards the education needed for RESPONDENT's license renewal, and shall be completed within 90 days of the entry of the Order approving this Settlement.
- 4. RESPONDENT and the Division agree that by entering into this Stipulation, the Division does not concede any defense or mitigation RESPONDENT may assert and that once this Stipulation is approved and fully performed, the Division will close its file in this matter. Likewise, RESPONDENT does not make any admission to any violation or liability by entering into this Stipulation.
- 5. RESPONDENT agrees and understands that by entering into this Stipulation, RESPONDENT is waiving his right to a hearing at which RESPONDENT may present evidence in his defense, his right to a written decision on the merits of the complaint, his rights to reconsideration and/or rehearing, appeal and/or judicial review, and all other rights which may be accorded by the Nevada Administrative Procedure Act, the Nevada Real Estate Brokers and Salespersons statutes and accompanying regulations, and the federal and state Constitutions. RESPONDENT understands that this Agreement and other documentation may be subject to public records laws. The Commission members who review this matter for approval of this Stipulation may be the same members who ultimately hear, consider, and decide the Complaint if this Stipulation is either not approved by the Commission or is not timely performed by RESPONDENT. RESPONDENT fully understands that he has the right to be represented by legal counsel in this matter at his own expense.
 - 6. Each party shall bear their own attorney's fees and costs, except as provided above.
- 7. Approval of Stipulation. Once executed, this Stipulation will be filed with the Commission and will be placed on the agenda for approval at its next public meeting. The Division will recommend to the Commission approval of the Stipulation. RESPONDENT acknowledges that the Commission may approve, reject, or suggest amendments to this Stipulation that must be accepted or rejected by RESPONDENT before any amendment is effective.
- 8. <u>Withdrawal of Stipulation.</u> If the Commission rejects this Stipulation or suggests amendments unacceptable to RESPONDENT, RESPONDENT may withdraw from this Stipulation, and

the Division may pursue its Complaint before the Commission. This Stipulation then shall become null and void and unenforceable in any manner against either party.

- Release. In consideration of the execution of this Stipulation, RESPONDENT, for himself, his heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns, hereby releases, remises, and forever discharges the State of Nevada, the Department of Business and Industry, and the Division, and each of their respective members, agents, employees, and counsel in their individual and representative capacities, from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, judgments, executions, claims, and demands whatsoever, known and unknown, in law or equity, that RESPONDENT ever had, now has, may have, or claim to have against any or all of the persons or entities named in this section, arising out of or by reason of the Division's investigation, this disciplinary action, and all other matters relating thereto.
- Indemnification. RESPONDENT hereby agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the State of Nevada, the Department of Business and Industry, Petitioner, the Division, and each of their respective members, agents, employees, and counsel, in their individual and representative capacities, against any and all claims, suits, and actions brought against said persons and/or entities by reason of the Division's investigation, this disciplinary action, and all other matters relating thereto, and against any and all expenses, damages, and costs, including court costs and attorney fees, which may be sustained by the persons and/or entities named in this section as a result of said claims, suits, and actions.
- 11. <u>Default.</u> In the event of default, RESPONDENT agrees that his license shall be immediately suspended, and the unpaid balance of the administrative fine and costs, together with any attorney's fees and costs that may have been assessed, shall be due in full to the Division within ten calendar days of the date of default. Debt collection actions for unpaid monetary assessments in this case may be instituted by the Division or its assignce. RESPONDENT agrees that the foregoing suspension of his license shall continue until the unpaid monetary assessments are paid in full. RESPONDENT agrees that his license shall also be immediately suspended if he fails to timely attend and complete the above continuing education. RESPONDENT agrees that the suspension of his license shall continue until the continuing education is completed.

1	12 RESPONDENT has signed and	dated this Stipulation only after reading and
7	understanding all terms herein.	
3	DATED this 27 day of August. 2020.	DATED this 28 day of August, 2020.
weed to		
N.		WEVADA DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY KIND EST FOR DIVISION
The state of the s	By: CHAIN LURYA	By: Chia Paris (AND)
Sections of the section of the secti		Administrator
Anna anna de Se de Cambre de S	Approved as to figure.	
Addition	By:	
Shipping the state of the state	SHERMAN LAW, PLCC Sholomo S. Sherman (Bar No. 9688)	
9	2630 Regatta Drive Suite 202	
man den	Las Vegas, NV 89118	
A. A.	Attemay for RESPONDENT	
A Comment		
. I	AARON D. FORD	
8	Attorney Coneral	
535	By Brine	
ar.	Karissa D. Neff (Bar. No. 9183) Deputy Attorney General	
guess	555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 3900	
	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attenneys for Real Estate Division	
2	Principle of the Real Estate Physical	

, 1		10T + TT CO + 1 12010 \	
1	BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION		
2	STATE OF NEVADA		
3	SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator, REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT	Case No. 2018-895	
4	OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA,		
5	Petitioner,		
6	VS.		
7	CHAIM LURYA,		
8			
9	Respondent.		
10	ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION AND ORDER		
11	FOR SETTLEMENT OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION		
12	That certain Stipulation and Order for Settlement of Disciplinary Action in this action, having		
13	come before the Real Estate Commission, Department of Business and Industry, State of Nevada, during		
14	its regular agenda commencing on September 1, 2020, and the Commission being fully apprised in th		
15	premises, and good cause appearing,		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED that the Stipulation and Order for Settlement of Disciplinary Action in the		
17	matter, entered into by Petitioner and Respondent, is approved in full.		
18	Dated: September, 2020.		
19			
20		L ESTATE COMMISSION LE OF NEVADA	
21			
22			
23	By: ∠	resident, Nevada Real Estate Commission	
24			
25	Submitted by:		
26			
27			
28			

AARON FORD, Attorney General

By: /s/ Karissa Neff

Karissa D. Neff
Deputy Attorney General
555 E. Washington Ave. Ste 3900
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attorneys for Real Estate Division