1	BEFORE THE REAL 1	ESTATE COMMISSIO	» គេ	)	
2	STATE O	F NEVADA	SEP 1 1 2020		
3	SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator,		REAL ESTATE COMMISSION	1	
4	REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY,	Case No. 2018-1619	BY <u>Evely</u> Pattle	-	
5	STATE OF NEVADA,				
6	Petitioner,	STIPULATION AND Q FOR SETTLEMENT	PRDER		
7	VS.				
8	ASHAN PERERA,				
9	Respondent.				
10					
11	This Stipulation and Order for Settlement ("Stipulation") is entered into by and between the State				
12	of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry, Real Estate Division ("Division"), through its				
13	Administrator Sharath Chandra ("Petitioner"), by and through their attorney of record, Karissa D. Neff,				
14	Deputy Attorney General, and Ashan Perera ("RESPONDENT"), by and through his attorney of record,				
15	Paul Connaghan of Connaghan Law, LLC.				
16	RESPONDENT was at all relevant times mentioned in this Complaint licensed as a broker and is				
17	therefore subject to the jurisdiction of the Division and the Commission, and the provisions of NRS				
18	chapter 645 and NAC chapter 645.				
19	SUMMARY OF FACTUAL ALLEGAT	IONS SET FORTH IN T	HECOMPLAINT		
20	SUMMARY OF FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS SET FORTH IN THE COMPLAINT           1.         RESPONDENT was licensed by the Division as a salesperson under license number				
21	S.0078376.LLC, issued on April 11, 2008, said lic	-			
22	2. On November 1, 2018, Sheyanthi Welikala		-		
23			-		
24	alleging in part, that RESPONDENT should not hold a real estate license, and was convicted in a				
25	domestic violence case. 3. On June 7, 2014, RESPONDENT was charged with a misdemeanor count of domestic battery.				
26					
27	<ul> <li>4. On September 16, 2014, RESPONDENT pled nolo contendere to domestic battery. On September</li> <li>23, 2014, the Clark County Justice Court dismissed the charges against RESPONDENT.</li> </ul>				
28	23, 2014, the Clark County Justice Court dismissed	a me charges against KHSP	UNDENI.		

When RESPONDENT renewed his license with the Division, when answering Question No. 9
 which states, "Have you ever been convicted of, or entered a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo
 contendere to a misdemeanor, gross misdemeanor, or felony within your renewal period on March 30,
 2017," RESPONDENT responded "no."

6. On November 28, 2018, the Division properly notified RESPONDENT it was opening an
investigation based on the complaint and requested a response.

7. RESPONDENT responded to the Division.

8 8. In his response to the Division, RESPONDENT stated that he was married to Complainant but
9 that they divorced in October of 2013.

9. RESPONDENT further stated in his response, that in June of 2014 the Complainant made a false
domestic violence claim against him but that he had hired an attorney who successfully dismissed the
charges and the case.

13 10. On April 9, 2019, RESPONDENT was properly notified by the Division that it was bringing a
14 complaint for disciplinary action against him before the Nevada Real Estate Commission.

15

22

7

### SUMMARY OF VIOLATIONS OF LAW ALLEGED IN THE COMPLAINT

16 11. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.633(1)(i) by engaging in in deceitful and/or dishonest 17 dealings by responding "no" to the Division's Question No. 9 when asked if he had been convicted of, 18 or entered a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to a misdemeanor, gross 19 misdemeanor, or felony within your renewal period on March 30, 2017. RESPONDENT's answer of 20 "no" was deceitful and/or dishonest because RESPONDENT pled nolo contendere to a domestic violence 21 misdemeanor charge on September 16, 2014.

#### **DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED**

Pursuant to NRS 645.630 and NRS 645.633, the Commission is empowered to impose an
 administrative fine of up to \$10,000 per violation against RESPONDENT and further to suspend, revoke
 or place conditions on the license of RESPONDENT.

26 2. Additionally, under NRS Chapter 622, the Commission is authorized to impose costs of
27 the proceeding upon RESPONDENT, including investigative costs and attorney's fees, if the
28 Commission otherwise imposes discipline on RESPONDENT.

- 3. Therefore, the Division requests that the Commission take such disciplinary action as it
- deems appropriate under the circumstances.

#### PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

In an effort to avoid the time and expense of litigating these issues before the Commission, the parties desire to compromise and settle the instant controversy upon the following terms and conditions:

1. RESPONDENT agrees to pay the Division a total amount of \$937.44 ("Amount Due"), consisting of \$100.00 to the Division and \$837.44 to the Division for its pre-hearing costs and attorneys' fees.

2. The Amount Due shall be payable to the Division within 60 days of the entry of the Order approving this Settlement.

3. RESPONDENT and the Division agree that by entering into this Stipulation, the Division does not concede any defense or mitigation RESPONDENT may assert and that once this Stipulation is approved and fully performed, the Division will close its file in this matter.

4. RESPONDENT and the Division agree that for purposes of this action, the Division is pursuing only RESPONDENT's alleged violation of NRS 645.633(1)(i)- that RESPONDENT violated the statute by responding "no" to the Division's Question No. 9 when asked if he had been convicted of, or entered a plea of guilty, guilty but mentally ill or nolo contendere to a misdemeanor, gross misdemeanor, or felony within his renewal period on March 30, 2017, because RESPONDENT had entered into a nolo plea. The Division is not seeking to relitigate the domestic violence case brought by Complainant.

5. RESPONDENT does not make any admission to any violation or liability by entering into this Stipulation. RESPONDENT categorically denies that he engaged in any activities constituting domestic violence or is a violent individual as alleged by Complainant. Complainant's Justice Court case against RESPONDENT resulted in a nolo plea by RESPONDENT and dismissal of that case, resulting in no conviction. RESPONDENT contends that he believed that because the Justice Court case resulted in dismissal at the time he responded to the Division's investigation and upon renewal of his license when he answered Question 9, he didn't understand the ramifications of the Justice Court case's dismissal based on his nolo plea and was under the impression the dismissal eliminated his nolo plea.

1 6. RESPONDENT agrees and understands that by entering into this Stipulation, the 2 RESPONDENT is waiving his right to a hearing at which RESPONDENT may present evidence in his 3 defense, his right to a written decision on the merits of the complaint, his rights to reconsideration and/or 4 rehearing, appeal and/or judicial review, and all other rights which may be accorded by the Nevada 5 Administrative Procedure Act, the Nevada Real Estate Brokers and Salespersons statutes and б accompanying regulations, and the federal and state Constitutions. RESPONDENT understands that this 7 Agreement and other documentation may be subject to public records laws. The Commission members 8 who review this matter for approval of this Stipulation may be the same members who ultimately hear, 9 consider, and decide the Complaint if this Stipulation is either not approved by the Commission or is not 10 timely performed by RESPONDENT. RESPONDENT fully understands that he has the right to be 11 represented by legal counsel in this matter at his own expense.

12

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7. Each party shall bear their own attorney's fees and costs, except as provided above.

8. <u>Approval of Stipulation</u>. Once executed, this Stipulation will be filed with the
 Commission and will be placed on the agenda for approval at its next public meeting. The Division will
 recommend to the Commission approval of the Stipulation. RESPONDENT agrees that the Commission
 may approve, reject, or suggest amendments to this Stipulation that must be accepted or rejected by
 RESPONDENT before any amendment is effective.

9. <u>Withdrawal of Stipulation</u>. If the Commission rejects this Stipulation or suggests amendments
unacceptable to RESPONDENT, RESPONDENT may withdraw from this Stipulation, and the Division
may pursue its Complaint before the Commission. This Stipulation then shall become null and void and
unenforceable in any manner against either party.

10. <u>Release</u>. In consideration of the execution of this Stipulation, the Division agrees that RESPONDENT is forever released from all charges, claims and investigations by the Division its agents, representatives, and employees arising from and related to the facts and circumstances alleged in Complainant's complaint and the Division's above-referenced complaint of April 9, 2020 against RESPONDENT, which, upon approval of this Stipulation by the Commission, the Division's complaint shall be forever dismissed and the Division and all it agents, representatives and employees shall never prosecute RESPONDENT related to all matters, facts, and circumstances arising from the Division's complaint and Complainant's complaint in this case. RESPONDENT, himself, his heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns, hereby releases, remises, and forever discharges the State of Nevada, the Department of Business and Industry, and the Division, and each of their respective members, agents, employees, and counsel in their individual and representative capacities, from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, judgments, executions, claims, and demands whatsoever, known and unknown, in law or equity, that RESPONDENT ever had, now has, may have, or claim to have against any or all of the persons or entities named in this section, arising out of or by reason of the Division's investigation, this disciplinary action, and all other matters relating thereto. In the event this settlement is approved, the Division agrees to release and discharge RESPONDENT from any further causes of action, suits, or disciplinary actions arising from this complaint and its investigation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

11. Indemnification. RESPONDENT hereby agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the State of Nevada, the Department of Business and Industry, Petitioner, the Division, and each of their respective members, agents, employees, and counsel, in their individual and representative capacities, against any and all claims, suits, and actions brought against said persons and/or entities by reason of the Division's investigation, this disciplinary action, and all other matters relating thereto, and against any and all expenses, damages, and costs, including court costs and attorney fees, which may be sustained by the persons and/or entities named in this section as a result of said claims, suits, and actions.

12. Default. In the event of default under this Stipulation, RESPONDENT agrees that that the unpaid balance of the Amount Duc, together with any attorneys' fees and costs that may have been assessed, shall be due in full to the Division within ten calendar days of the date of default. Debt collection actions for unpaid monetary assessments in this case may be instituted by the Division or its assignee.

13. RESPONDENT has signed and dated this Stipulation only after reading and understanding

21 all terms herein. DATED this <u>8</u> day of August, 2020. day of August, 2020. 22 DATED this 23 24 NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY RH L ESTATE DIVISION 25 26 By: By: SHARATH 27 Administrator 28

l'age 5 of 6

Approved as to form:  $\mathbf{2}$ R Paul R. Conneghan (Bar No. 3299) Connaghan Law, LLC 7854 W. Sahara Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89117 Attomey for RESPONDENT AARON D. FORD Attorney General By: Karissa D. Neff (Bar. No. 9133) Deputy Attorney, General 555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Real Estate Division 

Page 6 of 6

1	BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION		
2	STATE OF NEVADA		
3	SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator,		
4	REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY, Case No. 2018-1619		
5	STATE OF NEVADA,		
6	Petitioner,		
7	vs.		
8	ASHAN PERERA,		
9	Respondent.		
10	ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION AND ORDER		
11	FOR SETTLEMENT OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION		
12	That certain Stipulation and Order for Settlement of Disciplinary Action in this action, having		
13	come before the Real Estate Commission, Department of Business and Industry, State of Nevada, during		
14	its regular agenda commencing on September 1, 2020, and the Commission being fully apprised in the		
15	premises, and good cause appearing,		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED that the Stipulation and Order for Settlement of Disciplinary Action in this		
17	matter, entered into by Petitioner and Respondent, is approved in full.		
18	Dated: September, 2020.		
19			
20	REAL ESTATE COMMISSION STATE OF NEVADA		
21			
22			
23	By: President, Nevada Real Estate Commission		
24			
25			
26			
27	Submitted by:		
28			
	Page 1 of 2		

## AARON FORD, Attorney General

# By: Karissa Neff

1

2

3

4 5	Karissa D. Neff Deputy Attorney General 555 F. Washington Ave. Ste 3000
6	Deputy Attorney General 555 E. Washington Ave. Ste 3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Real Estate Division
7	Attorneys for Real Estate Division
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	