- 1			
1	BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION		
2	STATE OF NEVADA		FOLED
3	SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator, REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT	Case No. 2018-896	JUL 3 0 2020
4 5	OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA,		REAL ESTATE COMMISSION BY Talle
6	Petitioner,		J. Take
7	vs.		
8	HAVA LURYA,		
9	Respondent.		
10	COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF HEARING		
11	The REAL ESTATE DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY		
12	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA ("Division") hereby notifies HAVA LURYA ("RESPONDENT") of a		
13	administrative hearing before the STATE	OF NEVADA REAL	ESTATE COMMISSION
14	("Commission"). The hearing will be held pursuant to Chapters 233B and Chapter 645 of the Nevad		
15	Revised Statutes ("NRS") and Chapter 645 of the Nevada Administrative Code ("NAC"). The purpos		
16	of the hearing is to consider the allegations stated below and to determine if the RESPONDENT should		
17	be subject to an administrative penalty as set forth in NRS 645.633 and/or NRS 645.630 and/or NRS		
18	622.400, and the discipline to be imposed, if violations of law are proven.		
19	JURISDICTION		
20	RESPONDENT held a provisional timeshare license from the Division and at all relevant time		
21	alleged in the complaint engaged in property management without holding a permit from the Division		
22	and is therefore subject to the jurisdiction of the Division and the Commission and the provisions of NR		
23	chapter 645 and NAC chapter 645.		
24	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS		

1. RESPONDENT held a provisional timeshare license under license TS.0001943-AGEN, said license now closed and at all relevant times held no other licenses from the Division.

25

26

27

28

2. RESPONDENT's husband, Chaim Lurya, has been, and as of the date of this complaint, is currently licensed by the Division as a salesperson under license number S.0168805, issued on November

3. On June 30, 2018, Scott Wells ("Complainant") filed a complaint with the Division stating that he was contacted by the owner of two properties, Mira Peer ("Owner"), to list the properties for sale located at 7221 Dry Lake ("Dry Lake Property") and 9509 Belgate ("Belgate Property"), both located in

Las Vegas, Nevada, collectively the "Properties."

4. Complainant alleged that the Properties were managed by RESPONDENT and her husband, Chaim Lurya, neither of whom held a property management permit from the Division.

5. In response to the Division's investigation, RESPONDENT's husband admitted to helping the Owner purchase the Properties and stated that the Owner asked him to manage the Properties because she lived in Israel.

6. RESPONDENT's husband claimed that he informed the Owner that he could not manage the Properties but that RESPONDENT would be able to do so as a favor.

7. RESPONDENT's husband was unable to provide a property management agreement for either of the Properties to the Division and admitted that no property management agreements existed.

8. RESPONDENT's husband prepared and completed residential lease agreements for both Properties.

9. RESPONDENT's husband's name was on the notices of rent increases to tenants for both Properties.

10. RESPONDENT's husband further stated that RESPONDENT opened up a joint account with Owner and that his wife "took care of all payments through the joint account."

11. During its investigation, the Division obtained checks written to RESPONDENT and/or RESPONDENT's and her husband's entity, BH Creations, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company ("BH Creations") from a joint account held by RESPONDENT and the Owner.

12. During its investigation, the Division obtained copies of insurance bills and receipt of payments for both Properties listing RESPONDENT and the Owner as the policy holders.

13. During its investigation, the Division obtained copies of checks from the joint account used to pay for utilities, services, and taxes for the Properties.

14. RESPONDENT's husband admitted that from time to time, he helped with issues that arose

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 2 | 15. RESPONDENT's husband stated that in 2016, he asked the Owner to find a property manager 3 | but that she refused.
- 4 | 16. RESPONDENT's husband stated that again in approximately June of 2018 he told the Owner to 5 | hire another property manager to manage the Properties and offered to help her do so.
- RESPONDENT's husband claimed that on June 26, 2018, he received a note from the Owner that she found someone else and requested the files for the Properties.
 - 18. RESPONDENT's husband claims that Complainant's complaint was filed with the Division in revenge because he and RESPONDENT no longer wanted to continue assisting with the Properties.
 - 19. RESPONDENT also filed a response with the Division.
- 11 20. RESPONDENT admitted that she agreed to help the Owner with the management of the Properties as a favor.
 - 21. RESPONDENT stated that when management of the Properties became too big of a burden, she asked her husband to end the arrangement, first in 2016, and then again towards the beginning of June 2018.
 - 22. RESPONDENT's husband's broker, Cynthia Lujan ("Lujan"), of Nationwide Realty LLC also filed a response with the Division.
 - 23. In her response to the Division, Lujan stated that she was unware that either RESPONDENT's husband or RESPONDENT were engaging in property management activities with respect to the Properties.
 - 24. Lujan further stated that the Owner's closing on the Belgate Property occurred prior to her becoming RESPONDENT's husband's broker and that as a result, she was unable to locate any documents related to the Belgate Property.
- 24 | 25. With respect to the Dry Lake Property, Lujan stated that RESPONDENT's husband deleted the transaction from her brokerage's paperless system on the day he learned of Complainant's complaint with the Division.
- 27 | 26. Lujan stated as a result of the Complainant's complaint, she terminated RESPONDENT's husband from her brokerage.

29. Checks obtained during the Division's investigation from the joint account made payable to RESPONDENT and/or RESPONDENT and her husband's entity BH Creations, from January 1, 2018 to Jun 29, 2018, show payment to RESPONDENT or BH Creations in the amount of \$5,464.24.

30. On September 25, 2018, the Division issued both RESPONDENT's husband and RESPONDENT cease and desist orders to stop engaging in property management activities because they did not hold the proper permits from the Division to do so.

31. On July 3, 2018, the Division properly notified RESPONDENT that it had received a complaint against her.

32. On September 27, 2018, the Division properly notified RESPONDENT that it intended to commence disciplinary action against her by filing a complaint for hearing with the Real Estate Commission.

VIOLATIONS

33. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.235(1) (a) by conducting property management services for the Properties without holding the proper permit from the Division to do so.

DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED

- 1. Pursuant to NRS 645.235, the Commission is empowered to impose an administrative fine in the amount of any gain or economic benefit that the person derived from the violation or \$5,000, whichever amount is greater.
- 2. Additionally, under NRS Chapter 622, the Commission is authorized to impose costs of the proceeding upon RESPONDENT, including investigative costs and attorney's fees, if the Commission otherwise imposes discipline on RESPONDENT.
- 3. Therefore, the Division requests that the Commission take such disciplinary action as it deems appropriate under the circumstances.

27 | // 28 | ///

NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that a disciplinary hearing has been set to consider this Administrative Complaint against the above-named RESPONDENTS in accordance with Chapters 233B and 645 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and Chapter 645 of the Nevada Administrative Code.

THE HEARING WILL TAKE PLACE at the Commission meeting scheduled for September 1-3, 2020, beginning at approximately 9:00 a.m. each day, or until such time as the Commission concludes its business.

If the Governor's Emergency Directive 006 - suspending physical location requirements - is extended through the date of the meeting, then the hearing will be held via virtual meeting. The Commission uses WebEx for its meetings. To join the hearing go to the Webex.com website and put in the Access code and Attendee ID:

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 1, 2020 Meeting number (Access code): 146 276 8028

Password (Attendee ID): MgCrCbJq662 (64272257662 from phones and video systems)

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 2, 2020 Meeting number (Access code): 146 650 1911

Password (Attendee ID): 2rGzteBYY24 (27498329924 from phones and video systems)

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 3, 2020 Meeting number (Access code): 146 520 3582

Password (Attendee ID): umV3mJJ2gP2 (86836552472 from phones and video systems)

If you do not have internet access, you may attend by phone at 1-844-621-3956 using the access codes and attendee IDs listed above. Some mobile devices may ask attendees to enter a numeric attendee ID provided above. If Emergency Directive 006 is not extended and the meeting is held in person, then the meeting will be located at the following locations:

Nevada State Business Center Real Estate Division 3300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 350 Las Vegas, NV 89102

If you would like an email containing this information, before the hearing, please contact Evelyn Pattee, Commission Coordinator, at (702) 486-4074 or epattee@red.nv.gov.

YOUR RIGHTS AT THE HEARING: except as mentioned below, the hearing is an open meeting under Nevada's open meeting law, and may be attended by the public. After the evidence and arguments, the commission may conduct a closed meeting to discuss your alleged misconduct or professional competence. You are entitled to a copy of the transcript of the open and closed portions of the meeting, although you must pay for the transcription.

As the Respondent, you are specifically informed that you have the right to appear and be heard in your defense, either personally or through your counsel of choice. At the hearing, the Division has the burden of proving the allegations in the complaint and will call witnesses and present evidence against you. You have the right to respond and to present relevant evidence and argument on all issues involved. You have the right to call and examine witnesses, introduce exhibits, and cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter relevant to the issues involved.

You have the right to request that the Commission issue subpoenas to compel witnesses to testify and/or evidence to be offered on your behalf. In making the request, you may be required to demonstrate the relevance of the witness' testimony and/or evidence. Other important rights you have are listed in NRS 645.680 through 645.990, NRS Chapter 233B, and NAC 645.810 through 645.875.

The purpose of the hearing is to determine if the Respondent has violated NRS 645 and/or NAC 645 and if the allegations contained herein are substantially proven by the evidence presented and to further determine what administrative penalty is to be assessed against the RESPONDENT, if any, pursuant to NRS 645.235, 645.633 and or 645.630.