## BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION STATE OF NEVADA

AUG 0 3 2021

2

3

4

5

6 7

VS.

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

REAL ESTATE COMMISS

Case No. 2021-486

COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF HEARING

Respondent.

Petitioner,

SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator,

REAL ESTATE DIVISION.

OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY,

DEPARTMENT

DAVID HOWIE, (unlicensed)

STATE OF NEVADA,

The REAL ESTATE DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY OF THE STATE OF NEVADA (Division), by and through its counsel, Aaron D. Ford, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Peter Keegan, Senior Deputy Attorney General, hereby notifies RESPONDENT DAVID HOWIE (Respondent) of the instant Complaint and Notice of Hearing. An administrative hearing will be held before the STATE OF NEVADA REAL ESTATE COMMISSION (Commission) to allow the Respondent to present his case. The hearing will be held pursuant to Chapters 233B and 645 of the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) as well as Chapter 645 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC). The purpose of the hearing is to consider the allegations stated below and to determine if the RESPONDENT should be subject to an administrative penalty or other discipline authorized by NRS 645 and/or NAC 645, if violations of law are proven.

#### JURISDICTION

RESPONDENT engaged in activities which require a license as a real estate broker, real estate broker-salesperson, or real estate salesperson, and/or a permit to engage in property management, issued by the Division and is therefore subject to the jurisdiction of the Division and the Commission, and provisions of NRS and NAC 645.

111

# 

# 

# 

# 

## 

# 

## 

## 

# 

# 

## 

### 

### 

### 

# 

## 

# 

## 

## 

# 

## 

#### PROCEDURAL HISTORY

- 1. At all times relevant, Respondent did not hold a valid Nevada real estate license.
- 2. On or about December 14, 2020, the Division received a complaint/statement of fact from Jonathan Schaff, the prospective purchaser (Complainant) of the real property located at 337 Barton Court, Stateline, NV 89449 (337 Barton).
- 3. The complaint alleged that Respondent engaged in unlicensed real estate activities in the State of Nevada involving 337 Barton.
- 4. On April 13, 2021, the Division's investigator emailed Respondent concerning the transaction for 337 Barton.
- 5. April 13, 2021, Respondent sent the Division's investigator a response indicating he was not a licensed broker in Nevada.
- 6. On May 5, 2021, the Division sent Respondent an NRS 233B letter indicating that the Division's investigation had obtained sufficient evidence to commence disciplinary action by filing a complaint with the Commission.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 1. The sellers of 337 Barton signed an Exclusive Right to Sell Contract with Anthony Laurian (BS. 0013406) on September 21, 2018.
- 2. The terms of the Exclusive Right to Sell Contract included a sales price for the property of \$949,000.
- 3. On March 25, 2019, Respondent, a California Real Estate Broker, emailed Anthony Laurian on behalf of the Complainant and submitted a Letter of Intent for the Complainant to purchase 337 Barton.
- 4. Respondent's email included proof of the Complainant's funds to complete the transaction, a credit report for the Complainant, and a mortgage loan pre-approval letter.
- 5. On March 26, 2019, Anthony Laurian emailed a Residential Offer and Acceptance Agreement to Respondent, which was based upon the terms included in the Letter of Intent.

- 6. Anthony Laurian's March 26, 2019, email to Respondent indicated that "I left the DO and CTA blank for you to fill in."
- 7. On March 26, 2019, Respondent emailed Anthony Laurian and stated he would need a "referral fee agreement" and he would be the Complainant's "liaison moving forward."
- 8. Respondent's March 26, 2021, email to Anthony Laurian also requested Anthony Laurian to complete the Duties Owed by a Nevada Real Estate Licensee and the Consent to Act "forms unless that's allowed in Nevada to have an out of state broker fill that out."
- 9. Respondent and Anthony Laurian signed a California Association of Realtors Referral Fee Agreement dated March 27, 2019, that would pay Respondent "2.5% of the final gross sales prices."
- 10. On March 27, 2019, Anthony Laurian emailed Respondent and stated "[y]ou are representing" the Complainant "throughout this transaction, including inspections and any and all communications with title."
- 11. On March 28, 2019, the Respondent sent the Complainant's DocuSigned copy of the Offer and Acceptance for 337 Barton back to Anthony Laurian.
- 12. The Buyer's Representation section of the March 28, 2019, Residential Offer and Acceptance Agreement was left blank.
- 13. On March 29, 2019, Anthony Laurian emailed Respondent the sellers' signed acceptance.
- 14. On March 29, 2019, the Respondent emailed the escrow officer's assistant and asked for wiring instructions to be sent to the Complainant.
- 15. Respondent's March 29, 2019, email requested a copy of the preliminary title report and earnest money deposit receipt so that he could continue to assist the Complainant throughout the transaction.
- 16. On April 3, 2019, Anthony Laurian emailed Respondent and Complainant the sellers' Real Property Disclosure and Mold Disclosure.

- 6
- 7
- 8 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- On April 3, 2019, Respondent emailed the escrow officer and asked that the 17. earnest money deposit and preliminary title report be sent to the Complainant's lender.
- 18. On April 12, 2019, Respondent emailed a copy of the home inspection report to Anthony Laurian.
- Respondent's April 12, 2019, email also indicated that he "plan on bringing a 19. handy man to bid out the recommended repairs on the home inspection."
- 20. Respondent's April 12, 2019, email also indicated that "Rob at west coast can't order the appraisal until the loan has been submitted to underwriting with the new contract date. So depending on when the appraisal can be assigned we will probably need more time for that."
- On April 14, 2019, Anthony Laurian emailed Respondent an addendum to the 21. purchase agreement.
- 22. On April 15, 2019, Respondent sent the Complainant's DocuSigned copy of the addendum back to Anthony Laurian.
- 23. On April 16, 2019, Anthony Laurian emailed Respondent the sellers' signed addendum.
- 24. On April 18, 2019, Respondent emailed the pest inspection company with instructions to provide a copy of the completed report to Anthony Laurian.
- 25. On April 18, 2019, Anthony Laurian emailed Respondent and stated the purchase agreement timelines had not been met and the Complainant could lose his deposit.
- 26. Anthony Laurian's April 18, 2019, email also stated that Respondent's actions had placed his client, the Complainant, "in a precarious position."
- On April 18, 2019, Anthony Laurian emailed the Complainant and stated he 27. regretted having to contact him directly, bypassing the Respondent, "but it is necessary because none of the timelines of this transaction have been met[.]"
- 28. Anthony Laurian followed up his April 18, 2019, email to the Complainant and advised him to "request an extension . . . to present your request for repairs."

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

22

23

21

24 25

26

111

111

111

27

28

- Anthony Laurian continued to communicate directly with the Complainant on 29. April 18-19, 2019, regarding the extension and opening the house to showings.
- 30. On April 22, 2019, Respondent emailed Anthony Laurian to negotiate the cost of repairs needed for 337 Barton.
- On April 22, 2019, Anthony Laurian emailed Respondent and requested 31. Respondent to contribute \$1,500.00 from the referral fee to the transaction before Anthony Laurian presented the property repair request to the sellers.
- On April 22, 2019, Respondent emailed Anthony Laurian and stated that his 32. work "was being drastically discounted already" and he would be willing to contribute \$500 to the transaction."
- 33. On April 22, 2019, Anthony Laurian emailed Respondent and asked if the Complainant would be willing to increase the earnest money deposit by \$10,000.00 and agree to a 30-day escrow with no contingencies.
- On April 22, 2019, Respondent emailed Anthony Laurian and stated he believed the Complainant would agree to increase his earnest money deposit by \$10,000 and agree to a 30-day escrow and would likely waive an appraisal contingency but would require a financing contingency.
- On April 22, 2019, the Complainant, and the sellers of 337 Barton executed a 35. new Residential Offer and Acceptance Agreement which identified Respondent as the buyer's agent for the Complainant.
- 36. On May 28, 2019, the Complainant emailed Respondent and Anthony Laurian with terms for cancelling the transaction.
- 37. On May 29, 2019, Anthony Laurian emailed the Complainant and attempted to negotiate a settlement between the sellers and the Complainant regarding the earnest money deposit funds.

#### VIOLATIONS ALLEGED

Respondent has committed the following violations of law:

1. Respondent violated NRS 645.230(1)(a) for acting in the capacity of a real estate broker in the State of Nevada without first obtaining a broker's license from the Real Estate Division.

#### DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED

- 1. Pursuant to NRS 645.235, the Commission is empowered to impose an administrative fine of \$5,000.00 per violation or the amount of any gain or economic benefit that the Respondent derived from each violation, whichever is greater.
- 2. Pursuant to NRS 645.314, the Division is authorized to request its investigative costs where the investigation was undertaken for disciplinary purposes.
- 3. Additionally, under NRS 622.400, the Commission is authorized to impose costs of the proceeding upon Respondent, including investigative costs and attorney's fees, if the Commission otherwise imposes discipline on Respondent.
- 4. Therefore, the Division requests that the Commission take such disciplinary action as it deems appropriate under the circumstances.

#### NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that a disciplinary hearing has been set to consider this Administrative Complaint against the above-named Respondent in accordance with Chapters 233B and 645 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and Chapter 645 of the Nevada Administrative Code.

THE HEARING WILL TAKE PLACE VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE on September 14, 2021 commencing at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the Commission is able to hear the matter, and each day thereafter commencing at 9:00 a.m. through September 16, 2021 or earlier if the business of the Commission is concluded. The Commission meeting will be held on September 14, 2021, at the Nevada Division of Insurance, 1818 E. College Parkway, Suite 103, Carson City, Nevada 89706. The meeting will continue on September 15, 2021 at the Nevada

Division of Insurance, 1818 E. College Parkway, Suite 103, Carson City, Nevada 89706, commencing at 9:00 a.m., and on September 16, 2021, should business not be concluded, starting at 9:00 a.m. at the Nevada Division of Insurance, 1818 E. College Parkway, Suite 103, Carson City, Nevada 89706.

STACKED CALENDAR: Your hearing is one of serval hearings scheduled at the same time as part of a regular meeting of the Commission that is expected to last from September 14, 2021, through September 16, 2021, or earlier if the business of the Commission is concluded. Thus, your hearing may be continued until later in the day or from day to day. It is your responsibility to be present when your case is called. If you are not present when your hearing is called, a default may be entered against you and the Commission may decide the case as if all allegations in the complaint were true. If you have any questions please call Evelyn Pattee, Commission Coordinator (702) 486-4074.

YOUR RIGHTS AT THE HEARING: except as mentioned below, the hearing is an open meeting under Nevada's open meeting law and may be attended by the public. After the evidence and arguments, the commission may conduct a closed meeting to discuss your alleged misconduct or professional competence. A verbatim record will be made by a certified court reporter. You are entitled to a copy of the transcript of the open and closed portions of the meeting, although you must pay for the transcription.

As the RESPONDENT you are specifically informed that, you have the right to appear and be heard in your defense, either personally or through your counsel of choice. At the hearing, the Division has the burden of proving the allegations in the complaint and will call witnesses and present evidence against you. You have the right to respond and to present relevant evidence and argument on all issues involved. You have the right to call and examine witnesses, introduce exhibits, and cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter relevant to the issues involved.

You have the right to request that the Commission issue subpoenas to compel witnesses to testify and/or evidence to be offered on your behalf. In making the request,

you may be required to demonstrate the relevance of the witness' testimony and/or evidence. Other important rights you have are listed in NRS 645.680 through 645.990, NRS Chapter 233B, and NAC 645.810 through 645.920.

The purpose of the hearing is to determine if the RESPONDENT has violated NRS 645 and/or NAC 645 and if the allegations contained herein are substantially proven by the evidence presented and to further determine what administrative penalty, if any, is to be assessed against the RESPONDENT.

DATED this 30 day of July 2021.

STATE OF NEVADA Department of Existing & Industry Real Estate Division

By:

SHARATH CHANDRA

Administrator

3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Ste. 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 DATED this 19th day of July 2021.

AARON D. FORD Attorney General

By:

PETER K. KEEGAN
Deputy Attorney General
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701

Tel: (775) 684-1153

Attorneys for the Real Estate Division