DigiSign \	/erified - 54cbb368-8cee-46f4-bac7-a8821622ca44	
	BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION	
I	STATE OF NEVADA	
2		
3	SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator, REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY,	Case No. 2021-1217
5	STATE OF NEVADA,	FILED
6	Petitioner,	
7	VS.	SEP 0 5 2023 REAL ESTATE COMMISSION
8	MICHAEL SLOANE,	BY Keely Valader
9	Respondent.	
10	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER	
11	This matter came on for hearing before the REAL ESTATE DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT	
12	OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY OF THE STATE OF NEVADA ("Division") during a regular agenda,	
13	set for three days, beginning on August 22, 2023 (the "Hearing"). RESPONDENT MICHAEL SLOANE	
14	(hereinafter, "RESPONDENT") did not appear in person, through counsel, or otherwise, nor did he	
15	answer the complaint. Phil W. Su, Esq., Senior Deputy Attorney General with the Nevada Attorney	
16	General's Office, appeared on behalf of the Real Estate Division of the Department of Business and	
17	Industry, State of Nevada (the "Division").	
18	Section Coordinator Kelly Valadez testified regarding documents and notice of the hearing that	
19	were sent via Certified Mail to the RESPONDENT'S address on record with the Division. The	
20	Commission found appropriate service of the notice of the Hearing, the Complaint, Notice of the	
21	Complaint, and Notice of Documents, and all bates-stamped evidentiary documents submitted by the	
22	Division.	
23	After hearing testimony presented in this matter and for good cause appearing, the Commission	
24	now enters its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order by default against RESPONDENT as	
25	follows:	
26	JURISDICTION	
27	RESPONDENT Michael Sloane was licensed as a Nevada real estate salesperson	
28	(S.0177565) at all relevant times mentioned in this Complaint and is therefore subject	
	Pa	ge 1 of 7

the jurisdiction of the Division and the Commission, and the provisions of NRS chapter 645
 and NAC chapter 645.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Commission, by unanimous vote, based upon evidence presented during the Hearing, enters a finding of the following facts by default:

 At all relevant times mentioned in this Complaint, Michael Sloane ("RESPONDENT") was licensed as a Nevada real estate salesperson under license number S.0177565.

9

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

RESPONDENT'S license expired on August 31, 2022 and was not renewed.

3. At all relevant times mentioned in this Complaint, RESPONDENT'S broker of record was COMPLAINANT Nicole Lazarski, a Nevada real estate broker under license number B.1001821.CORP.

RESPONDENT'S most recent broker of record was Damon Caldwell, a
 Nevada real estate broker under license number B.0143673.CORP.

5. On December 20, 2021, COMPLAINANT Nicole Lazarski ("COMPLAINANT"
or "Lazarski") provided the Division with a signed Statement of Fact and supporting
documents alleging that RESPONDENT, while working under Lazarski's supervision as a
Redfin sales agent, requested from his assigned clients that they issue direct payments to
RESPONDENT via wire transfer/Western Union to cover incidental expenses and/or to
provide incentivizing funds directly to listing agents.

6. COMPLAINANT further noted in her complaint/statement of fact that Redfin
covers its agents' general expenses; that on the rare occasion that Redfin does receive a
commission or outside funds, those funds are to go directly to an escrow company; and that,
as a result, "[a] Redfin agent should never seek direct payment of funds from a customer
for any real estate activity."

26

Frank and Sheila Modrejewski

27 7. Upon being assigned to assist Frank and Sheila Modrejewski ("the
28 Modrejewskis") in identifying and pursuing a property for purchase, RESPONDENT asked

the Modrejewskis to wire him \$1,500.00, which he characterized as a 'fully refundable 2 servicing fee at close of escrow for inspections, gas, and any other incidentals.

8. The Modrejewskis agreed to wire RESPONDENT the \$1,500.00 via Western Union, and subsequently did so.

9. On August 10, 2021, RESPONDENT drafted and submitted a residential purchase agreement on behalf of the Modrejewskis for the property at 5277 Crooked Valley Drive, Las Vegas, NV 89149, which stated that the seller needed to respond by 5:00 p.m. on August 11, 2021 or the offer would lapse. ("5277 Crooked Valley Dr")

9 On August 12, 2021, (after already submitting an offer on behalf of the 10. 10 Modrejewskis) RESPONDENT obtained a signed Duties Owed form from Frank 11 Modrejewski.

12

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

Olexa and Vitalie Stavila

Upon being assigned to assist Olexa and Frank Stavila ("the Stavilas") in 13 11. 14 identifying and pursuing a property for purchase, RESPONDENT asked the Stavilas to 15 wire him \$2,500.00, which he characterized as a 'fully refundable servicing fee at close of 16 escrow for inspections, gas, and any other incidentals.'

17

18

12. On or about July 22, 2021, the Stavilas wired RESPONDENT the \$2,500.00 via Western Union.

19 On July 28, 2021, the day after RESPONDENT submitted a \$215,000.00 offer 13. 20 on behalf of the Stavilas for an unidentified residential property, Vitalie Stavila emailed 21 RESPONDENT at 4:38 p.m. asking "for the escrow, is there a reason we are not depositing a check?" 22

23 On July 29, 2021, at 8:22 a.m., the Stavilas emailed RESPONDENT to convey 14. 24 their willingness to give the seller a credit for \$2,500.00 per his suggestion, but that they 25 were "not fully comfortable with the cash transactions [sic]," that they had already 26 transferred \$2,500.00 in cash, and that that they would provide the additional \$2,500.00 27 for seller's credit in the form of a personal check.

111 28

Hitesh Kanani

2 On or about August 6, 2021, RESPONDENT conducted a property tour of 2808 15. 3 Mellow Breeze St. Las Vegas, NV ("2808 Mellow Breeze St.") with assigned client Hitesh 4 Kanani.

5 16. Via text chain later that day, RESPONDENT suggested that Kanani add \$3,000.00 in cash to give to seller on top of the seller's \$565,000.00 asking price to make 6 7 the offer more competitive.

8 17. Per Kanani, RESPONDENT assured him that if the offer was not ultimately 9 accepted, the \$3,000.00 would be returned to Kanani.

On August 7, 2021, RESPONDENT personally picked up the \$3,000.00 from 10 18. Kanani at Kanani's residence and, later that afternoon, signed offer papers for 2808 Mellow 11 12 Breeze St.

Kanani's offer was ultimately rejected by the sellers of 2808 Mellow Breeze St., 13 19. 14 and when Kanani asked RESPONDENT to return the \$3,000.00, RESPONDENT claimed 15 that the money was deposited into an escrow account and that he would need a few days to 16 withdraw it. As of December 3, 2021, the funds had not been returned to Kanani.

17

21

1

RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE

On December 27, 2021, the Division informed RESPONDENT that it was 18 20. 19 opening an investigation against him based upon the receipt of the complaint/statement of 20 fact, and requested from him any documents in his possession related to these matters, as well as a signed, notarized affidavit with his response to the allegations, by January 11, 2022. 22

23 21. Also on December 27, 2021, the Division informed RESPONDENT'S then-24 current broker, Damon Caldwell, that it was opening an investigation against 25 **RESPONDENT** based upon the receipt of the complaint/statement of fact, and notified 26 Caldwell of his obligation to appear at any subsequent disciplinary hearing against 27 RESPONDENT, pursuant to NAC 645.855.

28

22. On or about January 18, 2022, RESPONDENT provided the Division with his
 responsive affidavit.

3 23. RESPONDENT conceded that the factual events occurred but contends that
4 his actions were based upon a misunderstanding: he thought he was given leads by Redfin
5 to establish his own personal clients, when in fact he was a Redfin employee, and those
6 clients were clients of the Redfin corporation.

RESPONDENT stated that because the Modrejewskis and Stavilas were outof-state buyers, he proposed that they wire funds directly to him to "streamline the process"
at close of escrow for inspection, gas, and any other incidentals, to ensure that they had the
best chance to secure a deal in a competitive real estate market.

11 25. RESPONDENT states that after his employment was terminated by Redfin, 12 he reached out to the Modrejewskis and Stavilas to advise them of his termination and, 13 because they remained as Redfin clients, for him to arrange for the return of the wired 14 funds to those parties.

15 26. RESPONDENT claims he ultimately did return the wired funds to the
16 Modrejewskis and Stavilas.

17 27. Lastly, RESPONDENT, in his affidavit to the Division, requested permission
18 to contact Hitesh to set up a payment plan for return of the \$3,000.00 servicing fee that
19 Hitesh paid to RESPONDENT.

20 28. Out of concern that it could be directly interfering with an active
21 investigation, the Division did not respond to RESPONDENT'S request for leave to contact
22 Hitesh to set up a payment plan.

23 29. The Division is presently unable to confirm if RESPONDENT has ever repaid
24 Hitesh Kanani the \$3,000.00.

30. On June 9, 2022, the Division completed its investigation and issued an NRS
233B letter to RESPONDENT, wherein it determined that it obtained sufficient evidence
to commence disciplinary charges against him and to seek a formal hearing before the Real
Estate Commission.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based on the foregoing findings of facts by default, the Commission concludes by unanimous vote that RESPONDENT has committed the following violations of law by default:

31. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.630(1)(h) pursuant to NAC 645.605(6) on three (3) occasions by commingling his clients' money with his own and converting the money of others to his own use when he requested, and accepted funds via wire transfer or in cash, from the three above-mentioned transactions.

9 RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.630(1)(f) pursuant to NRS 645.310(2) on 32. three (3) occasions when he failed to account for or remit to his broker, promptly and/or 10 within a reasonable time, funds that came into his possession and that belonged to others.

33. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.633(1)(h) pursuant to NAC 645.637 when he failed to obtain a signed Duties Owed form prior to submitting a Residential Purchase Agreement on behalf of his clients for 5277 Crooked Valley Drive.

ORDER

1. RESPONDENT shall pay the Division a total of \$35,989.61 ("Amount Due"), consisting of \$30,000.00 in fines, plus the Division's costs and pre-hearing attorney's fees in the amount of \$5,989.61. The Amount Due shall be paid to the Division within 90 days of the effective date of this Order.

2. All real estate licenses and property management permits issued by the Division to the RESPONDENT are hereby revoked.

22 3. If the Amount Due is not actually received by the Division on or before its 23 due date, it shall constitute a default by RESPONDENT. In the event of default, the unpaid 24 balance of the administrative fine and costs, together with any attorney's fees and costs 25 that may have been assessed, shall be due in full to the Division within ten calendar days 26 of the date of default, and the Division may obtain a judgment for the amount owed, 27 including collection fees and costs.

28

4.

The Commission retains jurisdiction for correcting any errors that may

1	have occurred in the drafting and issuance of this document.		
2	5. This order shall become effective on the 5^{++} day of <u>October</u> ,		
3	2023.		
4	DATED this 5th day of Sept., 2023.		
5	REAL ESTATE COMMISSION		
6	STATE OF NEVADA		
7	By: David R Tina		
8	Acting President/Vice President Nevada Real Estate Commission		
9	Submitted by: AARON D. FORD		
10	Attorney General		
11	By:/s/ Phil W. Su		
12	Phil W. Su, Esq. Senior Deputy Attorney General		
13	555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
14	(702) 486-3420 Attorneys for Nevada Real Estate Division		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20	. 8		
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Page 7 of 7		