

ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
• 2810 West Charleston Blvd, Suite 67 • Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 •
Telephone: (702) 254-7775 • Facsimile (702) 228-7719

1 ROGER P. CROTEAU, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No.: 4958
2 ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES, LTD
2810 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 67
3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
(702) 254-7775
4 (702) 228-7719 (facsimile)
croteaulaw@croteaulaw.com
5 Attorneys for Respondent

FILED

JUN 13 2023

REAL ESTATE COMMISSION

BY Kelsey Valadez

6
7 **BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION**

8 **STATE OF NEVADA**

9 SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator, REAL
ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF
10 BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY, STATE OF
11 NEVADA,

Case No 2021-220

12 Petitioner

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

13
14 vs.

15 MARK HALL

16 Respondent
17

18 Respondent Mark Hall ("Respondent"), Broker license number B.0042782.LLC and
19 Credential Number PM.0142782.BRK, by and through his counsel of record Roger P. Croteau,
20 Esq., of Roger P. Croteau & Associates, Ltd., hereby submits his answer to the Complaint filed
21 with the Real Estates Division of the Department of Business and Industry of the State of Nevada
22 as follows:
23

24 **JURISDICTION**

25 No response by Respondent is required as all paragraphs recite the applicable law.
26
27
28

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1
2 1. Answering paragraph 1 of the Complaint, Respondent admits that the Division received a
3 Complaint against Respondent from Complainant but denies all of the allegations in the
4 Complaint.
- 5 2. Answering paragraph 2 of the Complaint, Respondent admits the allegation contained
6 therein.
- 7 3. Answering paragraph 3 of the Complaint, Respondent admits the allegation contained
8 therein.
- 9 4. Answering paragraph 4 of the Complaint, Respondent admits the allegation contained
10 therein.
- 11 5. Answering paragraph 5 of the Complaint, Respondent admits that Respondent owns the
12 Casey Property in Respondent's name along with Cynthia Hall.
- 13 6. Answering paragraph 6 of the Complaint, Respondent denies as the RPA was between
14 Respondent and Respondent's spouse, individually, and SMART; Respondent admits the
15 remainder of the allegation.
- 16 7. Answering paragraph 7 of the Complaint, Respondent is without sufficient information to
17 form a response, and therefore denies the allegation.
- 18 8. Answering paragraph 8 of the Complaint, Respondent admits that SMART leased the Casey
19 Property to the first tenant from June 3, 2019, through September 2019, and the second
20 tenant from January 2020 through April 2021, per NRED 0080-0083.
- 21 9. Answering paragraph 9 of the Complaint, Respondent admits the allegation contained
22 therein.
- 23 10. Answering paragraph 10 of the Complaint, Respondent admits the allegation contained
24 therein.
- 25
26
27
28

- 1 11. Answering paragraph 11 of the Complaint, Respondent admits the allegation contained
2 therein.
- 3 12. Answering paragraph 12 of the Complaint, Respondent denies as it was SMART's Trust
4 Account Reconciliation, SMART being a Nevada limited liability company partially owned
5 by Respondent, and SMART distributed the rental funds collected to Respondent
6 individually, in the same fashion as any other owner of rental property that SMART
7 provided property management services to, Respondent denies the remainder of paragraph
8 12.
- 9 13. Answering paragraph 13 of the Complaint, Respondent denies the allegation in their
10 entirety as set forth in the answer to paragraph 12.
- 11 14. Answering paragraph 14 of the Complaint, Respondent admits the allegation contained
12 therein.
- 13 15. Answering paragraph 15 of the Complaint, Respondent admits this allegation and object to
14 the use of stolen, misleading emails as Echo Woods sent herself the email on September 7,
15 2020, at 9:43 a.m., when she had been terminated for conspiracy to commit theft after
16 further investigation in July of 2020.
- 17 16. Answering paragraph 16 of the Complaint, Respondent admits the allegation contained
18 therein.
- 19 17. Answering paragraph 17 of the Complaint, Respondent admits that the refund check was
20 deposited into the SMART General Account to reimburse SMART's advances.
- 21 18. Answering paragraph 18 of the Complaint, Respondent admits the allegation.
- 22 19. Answering paragraph 19 of the Complaint, Respondent admits generally, but denies as
23 misleading as the response was specific.
- 24
25
26
27
28

1 20. Answering paragraph 20 of the Complaint, Respondent admits the allegation contained
2 therein.

3 **VIOLATIONS OF LAW**

4 21. Answering paragraph 21 of the Complaint, Respondent denies the allegation.

5 22. Answering paragraph 22 of the Complaint, Respondent denies the allegation.

6 23. Answering paragraph 23 of the Complaint, Respondent denies the allegation.

7 24. Answering paragraph 24 of the Complaint, Respondent denies the allegation.

8 25. Answering paragraph 25 of the Complaint, Respondent denies the allegation.

9 **DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED**

10 No response by Respondent is required as all paragraphs recite the applicable law.

11 **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

12 **First Affirmative Defense**

13 Respondent did not commingle the money or other property of a client with his or her own
14 per NRS 645.310(3).

15 **Second Affirmative Defense**

16 Respondent did not violate NRS 645.310(3) when Respondent deposited the NV Energy
17 refund check into SMART's general account as it was SMART's funds.

18 **Third Affirmative Defense**

19 SMART managed 3155 Casey Property for the previous owner.

20 **Fourth Affirmative Defense**

21 Respondent purchased the Casey Property on June 6, 2019.

22 **Fifth Affirmative Defense**

23 Respondent discovered at the end of 2019 that a Residential Property Management and
24 Brokerage Agreement had not been executed by Respondent and SMART at which time
25
26
27
28

ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
• 2810 West Charleston Blvd, Suite 67 • Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 •
Telephone: (702) 254-7775 • Facsimile (702) 228-7719

1 Respondent Executed the then current version of the agreement and back dated, incorrectly, to
2 August 1, 2019, instead of June 6, 2019. Respondent had no intent to be deceitful, fraudulent, or
3 dishonest in any representation to the Division.

4 **Sixth Affirmative Defense**

5 Respondent did not violate NRS 645,633(1)(i) pursuant to NAC 645,605(11) and had no
6 intent to be deceitful, fraudulent or dishonest in Respondent's representations to the Division
7 regarding the RPA.
8

9 **Seventh Affirmative Defense**

10 Respondent did not violate NRS 645.605(11)(c) and NRS 645.605(11)(d).

11 *Case No. 2021-220*

12 Dated this 9th Day of June 2023

13 ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES, LTD.

14 /s/ Roger P. Croteau, Esq.

15 ROGER P. CROTEAU, ESQ.
16 ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES, LTD
17 2810 W. Charleston Blvd., Ste. 67
18 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
19 (702) 254-7775
20 (702) 228-7719 (facsimile)
21 Attorneys for Respondent
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES, LTD.
• 2810 West Charleston Blvd, Suite 67 • Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 •
Telephone: (702) 254-7775 • Facsimile (702) 228-7719

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I hereby certify that on June 9, 2023, I served the foregoing document by way of U.S. Mail
and Certified Mail as follows:

REAL ESTATE DIVISION	Phil W. Su, Esq.
STATE OF NEVADA	Senior Deputy Attorney General
3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 350	555 E. Washington, Ste. 3900
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attn: Legal Administrative Office	

/s/Christopher L. Benner
An employee of ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES, LTD.