1	MAY 0 4 2022 BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION ^{REAL ESTATE} COMMISSION
2	BY Quelen tattel
3	STATE OF NEVADA
4	SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator, REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY, Case No. 2020-401
5	STATE OF NEVADA,
6	Petitioner,
7	vs.
8	RONALD POWELL,
9	Respondent.
10	COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF HEARING
11	The REAL ESTATE DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY
12	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA ("Division") hereby notifies RESPONDENT RONALD POWELL
13	("RESPONDENT") of an administrative hearing before the STATE OF NEVADA REAL ESTATE
14	COMMISSION ("Commission"). The hearing will be held pursuant to Chapters 233B and Chapter 645
15	of the Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") and Chapter 645 of the Nevada Administrative Code ("NAC").
16	The purpose of the hearing is to consider the allegations stated below and to determine if the
17	RESPONDENT should be subject to an administrative penalty as set forth in NRS 645.633 and/or NRS
18	645.630 and/or NRS 622.400, and the discipline to be imposed, if violations of law are proven.
19	JURISDICTION
20	RESPONDENT, at all relevant times mentioned in this Complaint, held himself out and acted as
21	a person licensed as a Broker/Salesperson and was previously licensed as an Appraiser under license
22	number A.0206349-CR, and is, therefore, subject to the jurisdiction of the Division and the Commission,
23	and the provisions of NRS chapter 645 and NAC chapter 645.
24	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS
25	1. RESPONDENT, along with Paul Wynn ("WYNN"), who is a named "respondent" in a
26	related Complaint before this Commission (Case No. 2019-1122), devised a purported real property
27	assignment scheme whereby they have, in effect, acted as "marketing intermediaries" between an actual
28	bona fide buyer and an actual bona fide seller, pocketing a windfall in a matter of days from their roles

as such intermediaries and selling a substantially defective residential property in that process without 1 the requisite disclosures of its defects or of the true nature of the transaction. 2 2. Since February 3, 2017, Joy Haines ("Seller") was the owner of the real property located 3 at 6255 Bryce Canyon Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89156 (the "Property"). 4 3. Sometime thereafter, Seller marketed the Property as a "For Sale By Owner." 5 4. On or about July 8, 2017, Seller executed as Residential Purchase Agreement for the 6 Property (the "Purported Purchase Agreement") with RKA Investments, LLC ("RKA") for the total 7 purchase price of \$ 45,000.00 (the "Purchase Price"). 8 5. At all relevant times, RESPONDENT owned and controlled RKA as its managing 9 member.1 10 On or about July 13, 2017, RKA assigned its rights under the Purported Purchase 11 6. Agreement to Desert Acquisitions, LLC ("Desert") for an "assignment fee" of \$ 14,000.00 (which, 12 shortly thereafter,² was reduced to \$ 13,000.00) (the "First Assignment"). 13 7. At all relevant times, WYNN owned and controlled Desert³ as its managing member. 14 8. On or about July 14, 2017, Desert assigned its rights under the Purported Purchase 15 Agreement to Daniel Leon ("Complainant" or the "Buyer") for an "assignment fee" of \$ 17,000.00 (the 16 "Second Assignment" or the "Actual Bona Fide Sale of the Property"). 17 9. Unlike WYNN or the RESPONDENT, the Buyer intended to complete and did complete 18 the purchase and sale of the Property, by going through the requisite escrow and recordation process, 19 wherein the Property was ultimately recorded in Buyer's name. 20 10. Therefore, as a practical matter, it took only about six (6) days, following the execution 21 of the Purported Purchase Agreement, that the Actual Bona Fide Sale of the Property had then occurred. 22 11. However, to now complete his purchase of the Property, the Buyer had to pay \$75,000.00 23 as follows: 24 the Purchase Price of \$ 45,000.00 to the Seller for the Property, pursuant to the Purported (1)25 Purchase Agreement; 26 27 Since these events, RESPONDENT has sold RKA. ² On or about August 1, 2017. 28 An affiliate/franchisee of HomeVestors.

ľ

1

2

RKA's assignment fee of \$ 13,000.00 to RKA, under the First Assignment; and

(2)

(3)

Desert's assignment fee of \$ 17,000.00 to Desert, under the Second Assignment.

In short, in less than one week, RESPONDENT and WYNN, through RKA and Desert,
have "arbitraged" \$ 30,000.00 in "assignment fees" from a property that RESPONDENT and WYNN
did not plan to own whatsoever but had instead planned to market and sell, while the Seller still remained
the title owner of the Property.

7 13. Once Seller understood the true nature of the transaction, she exclaimed "I got screwed!"
8 by RESPONDENT and that she was not aware of any assignments whatsoever.

9 14. Once Buyer/Complainant understood the true nature of the transactions, he explained that
10 WYNN and his agents did not disclose or explain to Buyer that the Property was not marketed and sold
11 for \$75,000.00, but that Buyer, in substantial part, would be paying for RKA's and Desert's "assignment
12 fees" instead.

13 15. Even more importantly, RESPONDENT, as well as WYNN and his agents,⁴ failed to
14 disclose the defect with the Property, specifically, that there was no proper title to the mobile home on
15 the Property, as the Mobile Home had not been legally affixed to the Property.

16 15. Indeed, RESPONDENT and WYNN knew that the improperly affixed mobile home
would present a problem during the escrow process, whereby WYNN requested of the Title Company⁵
that (1) the Title Company process reflect the land value at \$45,000.000 and the mobile home value at \$
0.00, and (2) the transfer of that mobile home should only occur outside the Title Company's escrow
process.

16. In sharp contrast to their careful and planned statements to the Title Company, WYNN
and his agents specifically represented to Buyer that he was purchasing both the mobile home and the
land with marketable title.

VIOLATIONS OF LAW

24

25

26

27

1. RESPONDENT violated NRS 645.235, by conducting a real estate transaction without a license.

28 Pedro Gongola and Jeff Lysen assisted WYNN on the transaction. ⁵ Ticor Title Company.

DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED

1

2

3

4

5

11

Pursuant to NRS 645.630 and NRS 645.633, the Commission is empowered to impose an administrative fine of up to \$10,000 per violation against RESPONDENT and further to suspend, revoke or place conditions on the license of RESPONDENT. The Commission may impose any combination of those actions.

Additionally, under NRS Chapter 622, the Commission is authorized to impose costs of the
proceeding upon RESPONDENT, including investigative costs and attorney's fees, if the Commission
otherwise imposes discipline on RESPONDENT.

9 Therefore, the Division requests that the Commission take such disciplinary action as it deems
10 appropriate under the circumstances.

NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that a disciplinary hearing has been set to consider the administrative
 Complaint against the above-named Respondent in accordance with Chapters 233B and 645 of the
 Nevada Revised Statutes and Chapter 645 of the Nevada Administrative Code.

15 THE HEARING WILL TAKE PLACE on June 7, 2022 commencing at 9:00 16 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the Commission is able to hear the matter, and each 17 day thereafter commencing at 9:00 a.m. through June 9, 2022 or earlier if the 18 business of the Commission is concluded. The Commission meeting will be held 19 on June 7, 2022, at the Nevada State Business Center, 3300 West Sahara Avenue, 4th Floor - Tahoe Room, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102. The meeting will continue on 20 21 June 8, 2022 at the Nevada State Business Center, 3300 West Sahara Avenue, 4th 22 Floor – Tahoe Room, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102, commencing at 9:00 a.m., and on 23 June 9, 2022, should business not be concluded, starting at 9:00 a.m. at the Nevada 24 State Business Center, 3300 West Sahara Avenue, 4th Floor - Tahoe Room, Las 25 Vegas, Nevada 89102

STACKED CALENDAR: Your hearing is one of serval hearings scheduled at the same time as part of a regular meeting of the Commission that is expected to last from June 7, 2022, through June 9, 2022, or earlier if the business of the Commission is concluded. Thus, your hearing may be continued until later in
 the day or from day to day. It is your responsibility to be present when your case
 is called. If you are not present when your hearing is called, a default may be
 entered against you and the Commission may decide the case as if all allegations
 in the complaint were true. If you have any questions please call Evelyn Pattee,
 Commission Coordinator (702) 486-4074.

YOUR RIGHTS AT THE HEARING: Except as mentioned below, the hearing is an open
meeting under Nevada's open meeting law, and may be attended by the public. After the evidence and
arguments, the commission may conduct a closed meeting to discuss your alleged misconduct or
professional competence. A verbatim record will be made by a certified court reporter. You are entitled
to a copy of the transcript of the open and closed portions of the meeting, although you must pay for the
transcription.

As the Respondent, you are specifically informed that you have the right to appear and be heard in your defense, either personally or through your counsel of choice. At the hearing, the Division has the burden of proving the allegations in the complaint and will call witnesses and present evidence against you. You have the right to respond and to present relevant evidence and argument on all issues involved. You have the right to call and examine witnesses, introduce exhibits, and cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter relevant to the issues involved.

You have the right to request that the Commission issue subpoenas to compel witnesses to testify
and/or evidence to be offered on your behalf. In making the request, you may be required to demonstrate
the relevance of the witness' testimony and/or evidence. Other important rights you have are listed in
NRS 645.680 through 645.990, NRS Chapter 233B, and NAC 645.810 through 645.875.

- 21 22 23 24 25 26 27
- 28 ////

111

111

1	The purpose of the hearing is to determine if the Respondent has violated NRS 645 and/or NAC
2	645 and if the allegations contained herein are substantially proven by the evidence presented and
3	to further determine what administrative penalty is to be assessed against the RESPONDENT, if any,
4	pursuant to NRS 645.235, 645.633 and or 645.630.
5	DATED: May 3, 2022.
6	STATE OF NEVADA
7 8	DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY REAL ESTATE DIVISION
9	By: SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator
10	3300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
11	
12	AARON D. FORD Attorney General
13	
14	By: <u>/s/ Louis V. Csoka</u> LOUIS V. CSOKA (Bar No. 7667)
15	Senior Deputy Attorney General 555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
16	(702) 486-3184 Attorneys for Real Estate Division
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
24	
26	
27	
28	
20	
	Page 6 of 6