1	BEFORE THE REAL	ESTATE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF NEVADA	
3		
4	SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator, REAL ESTATE DIVISION,	CASE NO.: 2023-724
5	DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA,	
6	Petitioner,	CAROL ANN GRECO'S RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF
7	VS.	HEARING FI IL FI D
8	CAROL ANN GRECO (S.0055973)),	MAY 2 8 2024
9	Respondent.	REAL ESTATE COMMISSION
10		BY Kelly Valader
11	Respondent Carol Ann Greco, by and through her attorneys at LIPSON	
12	NEILSON P.C., hereby submits her response to the State of Nevada, Department of	
13	Business and Industry, Real Estate Division ("the Division") Complaint and Notice of	
14	Hearing filed April 12, 2024.	
15	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS	
16	1. In response to Paragraph 1, Respondent admits she is a licensee, working	
17	at Realty Club Las Vegas. With respect to the remaining allegations, Respondent is	
18	without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the	
19	allegations and therefore denies the same.	
20	2. In response to Paragraph 2, F	Respondent denies the allegations.
21	3. In response to Paragraph 3, Respondent denies the allegations.	
22	4. In response to Paragraph 4, Respondent denies the allegations.	
23		Respondent denies the allegations.
24		Respondent denies the allegations.
25		Respondent denies the allegations.
26	8. In response to Paragraph 8, Respondent denies the allegations.	
27		Respondent denies the allegations.
28	10. In response to Paragraph	10, Respondent is without knowledge or
	Page	e 1 of 5

information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and therefore
denies the same.

11. In response to Paragraph 11, Respondent is without knowledge or
information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and therefore
denies the same.

12. In response to Paragraph 12, Respondent admits the allegations.

13. In response to Paragraph 13, Respondent admits the allegations.

14. In response to Paragraph 14, Respondent admits the allegations.

15. In response to Paragraph 15, Respondent admits the allegations.

16. In response to Paragraph 16, Respondent admits the allegations.

17. In response to Paragraph 17, Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and therefore denies the same.

18. In response to Paragraph 18, Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and therefore denies the same.

19. In response to Paragraph 19, Respondent admits the allegations.

20. In response to Paragraph 20, Respondent is without knowledge or
information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and therefore
denies the same.

21. In response to Paragraph 21, Respondent admits the allegations.

22. In response to Paragraph 22, Respondent admits the allegations.

23. In response to Paragraph 23, Respondent admits the allegations.

## **VIOLATIONS OF LAW**

1. In response to Paragraph 1, Respondent denies the allegations.

2. In response to Paragraph 2, Respondent denies the allegations.

3. In response to Paragraph 3, Respondent denies the allegations.

4. In response to Paragraph 4, Respondent denies the allegations.

Page 2 of 5

LIPSON NEILSON P.C. 9900 Covington Cross Drive, Suite 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 (702) 382-1500 FAX: (702) 382-1512 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

,		
1 2		
3	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
4	Complainant's claims are waived as a result of Complainant's acts and conduct,	
5	and as provided in the transaction documents at issue. SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
6	Complainant is estopped from asserting the claims herein as a result of	
7	Complainant's acts and conduct, and as provided in the transaction documents.	
8	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
9	Complainant has unclean hands.	
10	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
10	Some or all of Complainant's claims for relief are barred by Complainant's own	
12	acts, omissions and/or negligence.	
13	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
14	Complainant has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.	
15	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
16	Complainant's claims are brought without reasonable ground or to harass.	
17	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
18	Complainant's claims are an abuse of process.	
19	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
20	Respondent's conduct was at all times in good faith and with the express consent	
21	of Complainant.	
22	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
23	Complainant's claims are barred by the Doctrine of Ratification.	
24	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
25	Complainant has not been damaged directly, indirectly, or proximately, or in any	
26	manner whatsoever, by any conduct of this answering party.	
27	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
28	Respondent is an elderly and venerable person within the definition of Nevada	
	Page 3 of 5	
	- -	

1	law, and at all times the Complainant's actions where an abuse of Respondent, with	
2	intent to harm and take advantage of Respondent.	
3	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
4	Respondent did not breach any alleged duty owed to Complainant.	
5	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
6	Complainant, at all times, acted with express consent of Complainant and at no	
7	time acted with any intent to commit fraud or deceit.	
8	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
9	WHEREFORE, Respondent prays for judgment as follows:	
10	1. Complete dismissal of alleged violations.	
11	Dated this 28 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2024.	
12	LIPSON NEILSON P.C.	
13	Pur /o/Lioo L Zootrow	
14	By: <u>/s/ Lisa J. Zastrow</u> LISA J. ZASTROW, ESQ.	
15	(Nevada Bar No. 9727) 9900 Covington Cross Drive, Suite 120	
16	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 (702) 382-1500 - Telephone	
17	(702) 382-1512 – Facsimile Izastrow@lipsonneilson.com	
18	Attorneys for Respondent	
19	Automoyo for Noopondoni	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Page 4 of 5	
I		

