

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Shareece N. Bates](#)
Subject: FW: LCB R199-22
Date: Monday, January 29, 2024 11:04:06 AM

Public comment

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, January 29, 2024 11:02 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: LCB R199-22

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Good morning [REDACTED],

Hope you and your family are all well.

I hope to be at the February Commission meeting when you review LCB Draft R199-22. But just in case I am not, I have a few questions regarding proposed regulations.

1. Sec. 2 sub2. I strongly believe that with 25 or more Zoom participates, a monitor should be required to over see the students. It very hard for an instructor to teach and also keep track of what the students are doing while online.

2 Sec.5 sub.2. Aren't all approved CE classes automatically have a General Designation?

3. Sec.11 sub.4 sub.4d Instructor Workshop. I has been my experience that the RED has not always presented Workshop on timely and regular schedule in the past. What happens if a new instructor gets "registered" and approved workshop is not scheduled within the next 6 months.

In addition, some Associations faculties have their own in house "In House Workshops". Can those be used to fulfill the requirement for new instructors or existing instructors? Will attendance at these workshops be mandatory? I have attended many in the past and the attendance was very poor and sometimes the presentation was also very poor. One of the problems with attendance was I don't believe it was mandatory, more importantly the dates were not set far enough in advance to the instructor to calendar it.

If you consider give credit for the In house workshops, the RED could identify certain areas that you would want covered in the workshop.

Would we have more participation if these workshop could also be done on ZOOM?

Please reach out with thoughts or questions.

Thanks,

