BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION 1 STATE OF NEVADA 2 SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator, 3 REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT Case No. 2024-165 OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY, 4 STATE OF NEVADA, 5 Petitioner. FILED 6 VS. 7 JAN 29 2025 CHRISTOPHER B. CAGUIAT, REAL ESTATE COMMISSION 8 (S.0181383) Respondent. 9 10 OPPOSITION TO RESPONDENT'S PETITION REQUEST FOR REHEARING 11 The REAL ESTATE DIVISION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY 12 OF THE STATE OF NEVADA ("Division"), by and through its attorneys of record, Aaron D. Ford, 13 Attorney General, and Christal P. Keegan, Deputy Attorney General, brings this Opposition to 14 Respondent's Petition Request for Rehearing. 15 DATED this 28th day of January 2025. 16 17 AARON D. FORD Attorney General 18 19 CHRISTAL P. KEEGAN (Bar No. 12725) 20 Deputy Attorney General 5420 Kietzke Lane, Suite 202 21 Reno, Nevada 89511 (775) 687-2141 22 ckeegan@ag.nv.gov 23 Attorney for Real Estate Division 24 25 26 27 28

I. BACKGROUND

Much to everyone's disappointment, Respondent Christopher "Chris" Caguiat ("Caguiat") didn't show up at the November 19, 2024 Commission Hearing (the "Hearing"). While Caguiat evaded facing the victim, Ma. Theresa Lim, who appeared at the Hearing in hopes of finding answers for the \$351,350.00 he stole from her and never paid back, and avoided his four (4) brokers who also appeared, justice was not delayed by such cowardice. The Commission revoked all Caguiat's licenses and ordered fines in the amount of \$113,075.37 ("Total Amount Due"), which included a \$100,000 fine for ten (10) violations of law (\$10,000 x 10 violations of law) and \$13,075.37 for the Division's costs and attorney's fees, due January 27, 2025 ("Default Order").

Caguiat is obviously aware of the Commission's Default Order.⁴ On the exact due-date January 27, 2025, Caguiat acknowledged to the Division that his fine was due and made his request for rehearing.⁵ Pursuant to NAC 645.820(5), the Division, by and through its attorneys, timely submits its answer in opposition to Caguiat's Rehearing Request for the reasons set forth below.

II. LEGAL ARGUMENT

NAC 645.820 sets forth the procedures for a rehearing. The following procedures are to be used for a rehearing in a case where a ruling or decision of the Commission is against the licensee:

- 1. The licensee may within 10 days after his or her receipt of the decision petition the Commission for a rehearing.
- 2. The petition does not stay any decision of the Commission unless the Commission so orders.
- 3. The petition must state with particularity the point of law or fact which in the opinion of the licensee the Commission has overlooked or misconstrued and must contain every argument in support of the application that the licensee desires to present.
- 4. Oral argument in support of the petition is not permitted.

3 Ia

¹ Exhibit 1. DRAFT Nevada Real Estate Commission Minutes, November 19, 2024, see Item 6-H, NRED v. Christopher Caguiat, Case No. 2024-165, P. 12: "Mr. Caguiat is aware of his attendance today and he decided not to appear, which is a disappointment to the State and assumingly to Ms. Lim and the former brokers as well."

³ Exhibit 2. Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, Case No. 2024-165, Filed November 27, 2024.

⁴ Exhibit 3. Respondent's Request for Rehearing, Email January 27, 2025 1:57 PM.

The Division may file and serve an answer to a petition for a rehearing within 10 days after it has received service of the petition.

6. If a petition for rehearing is filed and the Commission is not scheduled to meet before the effective date of the penalty, the Division may stay enforcement of the decision appealed from. When determining whether a stay is to be granted, the Division shall determine whether the petition was timely filed and whether it alleges a cause or ground which may entitle the licensee to a rehearing.

7. A rehearing may be granted by the Commission for any of the

following causes or grounds:

(a) Irregularity in the proceedings in the original hearing;

(b) Accident or surprise which ordinary prudence could not have

guarded against;

(c) Newly discovered evidence of a material nature which the applicant could not with reasonable diligence have discovered and produced at the original hearing; or

(d) Error in law occurring at the hearing and objected to by the

applicant during the earlier hearing.

8. A petition for a rehearing may not exceed 10 pages of

standard printing.

9. The filing of a petition for rehearing, or the decision therefrom, does not stop the running of the 30-day period of appeal to the district court from the date of the decision of the Commission for the purpose of subsection 2 of NRS 645.760.

Respondent's Rehearing Request is Time-Barred. A.

A licensee's desire to petition for rehearing is discretionary.⁶ But the exercise of such discretion is subject to the timeframes set forth under NAC 645.820(1) which provides "within 10 days after his receipt of the decision."

The Commission's Default Order was certified mailed to Caguiat's last known address on November 27, 2024.7 On November 30, 2024 at 2:46 PM USPS tracking reports "Addressee Unknown" and on December 21, 2024 at 4:42 PM, the mail is deemed "Unclaimed/Being Returned to Sender."8 Nonetheless, Division's Counsel, emailed Caguiat a copy of the Default Order on December 27, 2024 at 6:40 AM.9

⁶ NAC 645.820(1) The licensee may within 10 days after his or her receipt of the decision petition

⁷ Exhibit 2. Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, November 27, 2024, Certified Mail

23

25

26

27

28

⁸ Exhibit 4. USPS Tracking Results for 9589071052700210340078.

the Commission for a rehearing. (emphasis added).

No.: 9589 0710 5270 0210 3400 78.

⁹ Exhibit 5. Order emailed to Chris Caguiat, December 26, 2024 at 2:40:00 PM.

Therefore, the State argues that at the latest, Caguiat's receipt of the Default Order was December 27, 2024, and 10 days thereafter would have been Monday, January 6, 2025. The State further argues that Caguiat's reaching out to Division staff the day after the deadline to submit his Petition, Tuesday, January 7, 2025, did not sufficiently petition for a rehearing: "Im [sic] reaching to see any options of getting to any future agenda and hearing?"¹⁰ As the record demonstrates, Division staff supplied a prompt response to Caguiat on January 8, 2025¹¹, and inexplicably, it was not until 19 days later on January 27, 2025 at 1:57 PM that Caguiat made his untimely request for rehearing. 12

B. Respondent's Rehearing Request Does Not Stay the Default Order, and Caguiat has Provided No Assurances that He Intends to Make Any Payments At All.

Per NAC 645.820(2), the Commission's Default Order is not stayed, therefore, the Payment Due Date of January 27, 2025 holds. Caguiat's Rehearing Request acknowledges that he knew his fine was due "I know my fine is duw [sic] today" but claimed he has "no income until find a job for monthlt [sic] payment."13

Caguiat's Request is completely lacking. 14 Caguiat's Request does provide any financial insights into his ability to pay. 15 Caguiat's Request provides zero proof of income, employment or any demonstrable efforts to secure employment. 16 Caguiat has provided literally nothing in the way of demonstrating his inability to make good on the Commission's Order: no tax returns, no bank statements, no investment account statements, no leases, no benefits, no other compensation, no insurance, no assets - nothing.17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

¹⁰ Exhibit 3. Respondent's Email to Division Staff, January 7, 2025 at 9:09 AM.

Exhibit 3. Division's Staff Email to Respondent, January 8, 2025 at 7:36 AM: "If you would like to be placed on the agenda for the REC meetings scheduled for February 11-13, 2025, you can email me a request to petition the Commission for a rehearing and/or reconsideration of discipline stating the reason your case should be reheard/reconsidered by the Commission. Please read below. NAC 645.820 [pasted in its entirety]."

[p

 $^{14 \}overline{\underline{Id}}$.

¹⁵ $\overline{\underline{Id}}$.

 $^{16 \}overline{\underline{Id}}$.

 $^{17\}overline{Id}$.

The fact is, Caguiat hasn't paid back any of the \$351,350.00 he stole from his client, the victim Ma. Theresa Lim, and comes now, providing the Commission with absolutely no assurances that he intends to pay his fine pursuant to the Commission's Order.

C. Caguiat's Rehearing Request is Completely Unsupported, Fails to State Any Law or Fact, and Should be DENIED.

NAC 645.820(3) requires that Caguiat's Rehearing Request "state with particularity the point of law or fact which in the opinion of the licensee the Commission has overlooked or misconstrued and must contain every argument in support of the application that the licensee desires to present." The problem is that Caguiat has not argued that the Commission overlooked or misconstrued anything. The State as Division's Counsel will not be making Caguiat's arguments for him, and nor should the Commission as the impartial adjudicatory body.

The record clearly demonstrates the Commission proceeded accordingly pursuant to NAC 645.860.¹⁹ Because Caguiat decided not to appear at his hearing, the Commission considered the charges specified in the Division's complaint as true.²⁰ Accordingly, and fully within the Commission's statutory authority, pursuant to the discipline authorized under NRS 645.630 and NRS 645.633, it so lawfully ordered revocation of all Caguiat's licenses in combination with the administrative fine.²¹ To further underscore, that these statutorily defined authorized disciplinary actions were included in its Complaint and Notice of Complaint and Obligation to Respond filed October 4, 2024, so Caguiat cannot pretend he was not aware what would happen if he didn't show up.²²

¹⁸ *Id*.

¹⁹ Exhibit 1. DRAFT Nevada Real Estate Commission Minutes, November 19, 2024, see Item 6-H, NRED v. Christopher Caguiat, Case No. 2024-165, Pp. 12-14, and Exhibit 2. Order, November 27, 2024.

²⁰ <u>Id</u>, and <u>Exhibit 6</u>. Complaint, and Notice of Complaint and Obligation to Respond, Case No. 2024-165, Filed October 4, 2024.

²² Exhibit 6. Complaint, October 4, 2024, P. 7, lines 4-7: "It is your responsibility to be present when your case is called. If you are not present when your hearing is called, a default may be entered against you and the Commission may decide the case as if all allegations in the complaint were true." See also, Notice of Complaint and Obligation to Respond, October 4, 2024, P. 1, lines 15-16 "This is a formal proceeding that may results in fines and/or revocation, suspension, denial of renewal of or conditions being imposed upon you." Further, P. 2, lines 1-2 "If you do not answer on time, the Division may ask the Commission to enter its decision against you and decide the case based solely on the Complaint."

The record clearly demonstrates that Caguiat was afforded due process and had every opportunity to present his case, and by all appearances he just blew it off. At any time prior to his November 2024 Hearing, Caguiat could have asked for a continuance, but he did not.²³ Caguiat made absolutely no indication that he could not have appeared virtually.²⁴ Not once in all the communications Caguiat had with the State's attorney, did he ever claim any impairment in his ability to attend his hearing.²⁵

At this point, it is flagrant for Caguiat to ask for a rehearing. Caguiat's Rehearing Request is insufficient and fails to articulate any such law or demonstrate any fact to support his request for a rehearing.²⁶ The Commission has not overlooked or misconstrued any law or fact, and such request should be DENIED.²⁷

D. Caguiat's Rehearing Request Fails to Allege Any Cause or Grounds Which Would Entitle Him to a Rehearing, and Should be DENIED.

NAC 645.820(7) sets forth the instances when the Commission may grant a respondent a rehearing. Caguiat has completely failed to allege any causes or grounds which would entitle him to a rehearing, and even if he did make those arguments, they would be baseless.²⁸

NAC 645.820(7)(a) No Irregularity in the proceedings at the Hearing. NAC 645.860 provides the statutory procedure and authority of the agency to proceed upon the failure of a party to appear at a hearing. Accordingly, the Division through its counsel presented testimony that proper notice was effectuated and read the filed Complaint to the Commissioners.²⁹ The Commission, as permitted by statute, accepted the charges specified in the complaint as true.³⁰ The hearing was conducted adequately

²⁶ Exhibit 3. Respondent's Request for Rehearing, Email January 27, 2025 1:57 PM.

³⁰ <u>Id</u>.

²³ Exhibit 7. Email communications between Respondent Caguiat and Division's Counsel: Email communications dated October 7, 2024, September 23, 2024, November 5, 2024, November 7, 2024, December 23, 2024, December 26, 2024, December 28, 2024.

 $^{^{24}}_{25} \underline{\underline{Id}}.$

Exhibit 1. DRAFT Nevada Real Estate Commission Minutes, November 19, 2024, see Item 6-H, NRED v. Christopher Caguiat, Case No. 2024-165, Pp. 12-14, and Exhibit 2. Order, November 27, 2024.

Exhibit 3. Respondent's Request for Rehearing, Email January 27, 2025 1:57 PM.

Exhibit 1. DRAFT Nevada Real Estate Commission Minutes, November 19, 2024, see Item 6-H, NRED v. Christopher Caguiat, Case No. 2024-165, Pp. 12-14, and Exhibit 2. Order, November 27, 2024.

to support the Commission's conclusion.³¹ In summary, the Commission followed precisely the statutory framework in exercising its lawful authority to revoke Caguiat's licenses and the imposition of administrative fines.³² Therefore, the Commission should DENY Caguiat's Rehearing Request.

NAC 645.820(7)(b) No accident or surprise occurred. Caguiat had proper notice of the disciplinary proceedings against him pursuant to NRS 645.68033. Therefore, the Commission should DENY Caguiat's Rehearing Request.

NAC 645.820(7)(b) No newly discovered evidence of a material nature. Caguiat does not claim he has new, material evidence that he could not have reasonably produced or discovered at the Hearing that would change the Commission's decision.³⁴ It is inexplicable why at a very minimum Caguiat did not appear virtually despite his demonstrated technological capability to do so.35 Therefore, the Commission should DENY Caguiat's Rehearing Request.

NAC 645.820(7)(d) No error in law. The Commission's discipline ordered was statutorily authorized pursuant to NAC 645.860, NAC 645.810(13), NRS 645.630, NRS 645.633, and NRS 622.400. Therefore, the Commission should DENY Caguiat's Rehearing Request.

24

25

26

27

28

mail nos. 9589 0710 5270 0210 3421 26 and 9589 0710 5270 0210 3421 33.

34 Exhibit 3. Respondent's Request for Rehearing, Email January 27, 2025 1:57 PM.

 $[\]overline{Id}$. NAC 645.860, NAC 645.810(13), NRS 645.630, NRS 645.633, and NRS 622.400. ³³ NRS 645.680 Revocation, suspension or denial of renewal of license, permit or registration: Complaint; notice of hearing. Exhibit 6. Complaint, and Notice of Complaint and Obligation to Respond, Case No. 2024-165, Filed October 4, 2024, Certificate of Service, with USPS tracking results for certified

Exhibit 7. Extensive email communications between Respondent Caguiat and Division's Counsel leading up to the Hearings, and thereafter.

1	III. CONCLUSION
2	Pursuant to NAC 645.820(4) "oral argument in support of the petition is not permitted" and the
3	Commission should rely upon all pleadings and papers on file herein. Based on the foregoing, the
4	Division respectfully requests the Commission DENY Caguiat's Petition Request for Rehearing. The
5	Commission should not stay its decision, and its Default Order should remain in full force and effect.
6	
7	DATED this 28th day of January 2025. DATED this 28th day of January 2025.
8	STATE OF NEVADA AARON D. FORD
9	Department of Business and Industry Real Estate Division Attorney General
10	By: epkeegan
11	SHARATH CHANDRA, Administrator CHRISTAL P. KEEGAN, (Bar No. 12725)
12	CHARVEZ FOGER, Deputy Administrator 3300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Deputy Attorney General 5420 Kietzke Lane, Suite 202 Reno, Nevada 89511
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Reno, Nevada 89511 (775) 687-2141 ckeegan@ag.nv.gov
14	Attorney for Real Estate Division
15	Attorney for Real Estate Division
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	